2	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE BEFORE THE SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE
3	IN re: Docket #AO-F&V-991-A3 FV03-991-0:
4	HOPS PRODUCERS FOR WASHINGTON, OREGON, IDAHO AND
5	CALIFORNIA
6	
7	Hearing held on the 20th day of October, 2003
8	at 8:30 a.m.
9 10 11 12	Doubletree Hotel Yakima Valley 1507 North 1st Street Yakima, Washington 98901
13 14 15	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
16 17	BEFORE: HONORABLE JILL S. CLIFTON FOR PROPONENTS: BRENDAN MONAHAN, ESQUIRE
18	FOR PROPONENTS:
19	BRENDAN MONAHAN, ESQUIRE
20	FOR OPPONENTS:
21 22	JAMES MOODY, ESQUIRE

•	1			II	NDEX			
	2			Direct	Cross	Redir	ect Re	ecross
	3	Raymond J. Fold	well	837	919	966		998
	4	Mark D. Jekano	wski	1016				
	5							
	6							
	7			INDEX T	O EXHIB	ITS		
	8	PROPONENT					MARKED	ADMITTED
	9	26	Folwe	ll repo	rt		840	868
	10	27	Resea	rch bul	letin		844	1009
	11	28	Bookl	et			845	1009
_	12	29	Journ	al			845	1009
	13	OPPONENT						
	14	30	Jekan	owski r	eport		1014	1095

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	October 20, 2003
3	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: We're on record now.
4	It's 8:50 in the morning on Monday, October 20, 2003.
5	This is a continuation of the Hops rule-making hearing
6	that began last week in Portland, Oregon. We're now in
7	Yakima Washington. I'd like to read into the record the
8	case caption. The case caption is IN RE: HOPS PRODUCED
9	IN WASHINGTON, OREGON, IDAHO, AND CALIFORNIA. The
10	Docket Number is AO-F&V-991-A3 FV03-991-01. The
11	transcript being produced, which will contain the
12	witnesses' testimony and all of our other oral record
13	made in this hearing is divided into volumes. Each day
14	has a different volume. Today is Volume IV and the
15	numbers in the transcript should be sequential to those
16	of last week, so where we left off Friday night should
17	be where we begin with page numbers for this volume. My
18	name is Jill Clifton. I'm a United States
19	Administrative Law Judge and I'm assigned to the rule-
20	making hearing for the purpose of gathering in the
21	evidence and then certifying that record to the
22	Secretary. The decision in this case will be that of
23	the Secretary of Agriculture. There are two types of
24	evidence, testimony, and exhibits. We're already in the
25	process in this hearing, and so much of the evidence is
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	already in. We've taken testimony of witnesses and
2	we've taken in exhibits. Some of those witnesses will
3	testify again here, some presented only direct
4	examination in Portland. They'll be here for cross-
5	examination. For the purpose of the record, you must
6	assume that we're starting anew, including identifying
7	yourself completely the first time you're asked to
8	speak, spelling your names as well as saying them, and
9	then if you are going to testify again, identifying
10	yourself fully and spelling your name. If you use a
11	term that might not be familiar to people who are not
12	familiar with the hops industry and are not familiar
13	with this community, please pause and spell that for us,
14	too. Names like names of the varieties of hops or names
15	like the community Toppenish, for example. Most people
16	who are not from here might misspell it. So if you'll
17	just take that in mind and remember that we want the
18	written record to be accurate. I'd like now to begin
19	with the identification of the people who are seated at
20	the tables and I'll start with the table that is to my
21	right and then I will go to the table that is to my
22	left, then I'll go to the table that is across the room
23	and begin at my right.
24	MS. DESKINS: My name is Sharlene Deskins.
25	First name is spelled S-h-a-r-l-e-n-e. The last name is
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1 spelled D-e-s-k-i-n-s. I'm an attorney with the United 2 States Department of Agriculture Office of General 3 Counsel. I represent the Agricultural Marketing Service in this case. 4 5 MS. DEC: Good morning. My name is Anne Dec. 6 It's spelled A-n-n-e D-e-c. I'm with USDA's 7 Agricultural Marketing Service in Washington, DC. 8 MS. FINN: Kathleen Finn, K-a-t-h-l-e-e-n 9 F-i-n-n. I'm with USDA's Agricultural Marketing Service 10 in Washington, DC. DR. HINMAN: Donald Hinman. D-o-n-a-l-d 11 12 H-i-n-m-a-n. Economist with Agricultural Marketing 13 Service, USDA, in Washington, DC. MR. BROADBENT: Barry Broadbent, AMS, 14 15 stationed in Portland Northwest Marketing Field Office. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Spell your name, 16 17 please. 18 MR. BROADBENT: Broadbent. Barry Broadbent, B-a-r-r-y B-r-o-a-d-b-e-n-t. 19 20 MR. OLSON: My name is Gary Olson. G-a-r-y O-l-s-o-n. I'm regional manager of the Northwest 21 Marketing Field Office, Portland, Oregon. 22 23 MR. MONOHAN: Brendan Monahan, attorney for the proponent's committee. B-r-e-n-d-a-n M-o-n-a-h-a-n. 24 25 MR. CARPENTER: Stephen Carpenter.

- 1 S-t-e-p-h-e-n C-a-r-p-e-n-t-e-r. Member of the 2 proponent's committee. 3 MR. ROY: Leslie Roy. L-e-s-l-i-e R-o-y, last 4 Proponent farmer from Yakima Valley. name. MR. GASSELING: Tom Gasseling. It's Thomas W. 5 Gasseling. T-h-o-m-a-s W. Gasseling, G-a-s-s-e-l-i-n-g. 6 7 Proponents committee farmer from Wapato, Washington. 8 W-a-p-a-t-o. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Could everyone in 9 the back hear that? Raise your hand if you could not 10 hear. All right, good. 11 MR. DESSERAULT: Ken Desserault. That's K-e-n 12 13 D-e-s-s-e-r-a-u-l-t, grower, state of Washington. MR. BRULOTTE: Reggie Brulotte, R-e-g-g-i-e 14 15 B-r-u-l-o-t-t-e, grower, Toppenish, Washington. T-o-p-p-e-n-i-s-h. 16 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: And Mr. Moody, if 17 18 you'd now... 19 MR. MOODY: All right. Jim Moody, Washington, 20 DC. M-o-o-d-y. Counsel for the opposing growers. MR. CARSWELL: Matthew Carswell, 21
 - York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

Anheuser-Busch Companies, Inc. That's A-n-h-e-u-s-e-r -

B-u-s-c-h Companies, Inc. Anheuser-Busch is an opponent

M-a-t-t-h-e-w C-a-r-s-w-e-l-l, in-house counsel for

of the proposed order.

22

23

24

25

1	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Mark Jekanowski. I'm an
2	economist with Sparks Companies, Incorporated. My name
3	is spelled M-a-r-k J-e-k-a-n-o-w-s-k-i.
4	DR. TWEETEN: Luther Tweeten, L-u-t-h-e-r
5	T-w-e-e-t-e-n, Professor Emeritus at Ohio State
6	University and representing the opposition.
7	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: And now, what is
8	your most advanced degree?
9	DR. TWEETEN: Ph.D.
10	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Dr.
11	Tweeten. All right, there are a number of you who have
12	not yet identified yourselves, but you expect to be
13	calling witnesses, questioning witnesses, or testifying
14	today. If that is the case, I'd like you to come
15	forward and identify yourself now, even though you will
16	also identify yourself at a later time. So if you will
17	come to a come near a place where there is a
18	stationary microphone, because I want you to be near the
19	amplification mike, as well as the recording mike. If
20	you would do that at this time.
21	MR. FOLWELL: Ray Folwell, R-a-y
22	F-o-l-w-e-l-l, Washington State University, Pullman.
23	P-u-l-l-m-a-n.
24	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: And what is your
25	most advanced degree?
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

	1	DR. FOLWELL: Ph.D.
	2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Doctor.
	3	MR. CHRISTENSEN: Rod Christensen, R-o-d
	4	C-h-r-i-s-t-e-n-s-e-n. I'm a consultant working for the
	5	proponent committee.
	6	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: J. Chandramazumdar.
	7	J. C-h-a-n-d-r-a-m-a-z-u-m-d-a-r, Attorney, United
	8	States Department of Justice, Antitrust Division.
	9	MR. HARMONEIS: Michael Harmoneis,
	10	H-a-r-m-o-n-e-i-s. I'm also an attorney with the
	11	Department of Justice.
	12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right, thank
	13	you. As far as our timetable for proceeding, we were
	14	fearful that we might have to work late while we were in
	15	Portland in order to get all the evidence in. We just
	16	worked a little late a couple of the nights, but we
	i 7	didn't work late late. This week, we again may have to
	18	work late in order to get all the evidence in. I'm
	19	hopeful not, but I want everyone to keep me apprised as
	20	to when you might suggest that we go late to serve the
	21	convenience of a witness or because there's a topic that
	22	we're in that we need to finish before we move to the
	23	next topic, or the like. Because this is a public
	24	hearing, I invite the input of anyone who has a
L	25	suggestion as to how we might proceed. We want, most of
		York Stenographic Services, Inc.
		34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

all, to accommodate growers who want to be heard, either
in favor of the proposals or against them, who need to
go back to their everyday chores. So if you're a grower
and you want to be heard and you don't know a contact
within those people who've identified themselves so far,
you may just come to a microphone and let us know that
you would like to be heard so that you can promptly
return your other responsibilities. Also, I want you to
know that the court reporter is named Kearney Barton.
That's spelled K-e-a-r-n-e-y, Barton, B-a-r-t-o-n. He
advises me that we can call him Kearney and I'm going to
ask him at this time to interrupt at any time for any
reason. I know that he'll interrupt to change the
tapes, which must be done at about 45-minute intervals.
If you're stopped in the middle of a sentence, if you
have something where you can just jot down a note as to
where you were, so that when we get back on record you
can repeat that thought and we can go on from there. So
if you're a witness at the witness stand, I invite you
to bring up a pencil and notepad. It may help you keep
track of the thoughts that you wanted to express because
you may be interrupted, not only to change the tape, but
also because someone may have an objection to where
we're going with the testimony. The other reason it's a
good idea to keep pen and pad is there were a number of
York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	hypothetical questions asked and the witnesses did great
2	keeping all the numbers in their heads, but had it been
3	me, I'd have needed a pen and a pad. Now, preliminary
4	matters that others would like to raise we will break
5	for lunch at some time. I'd like to be guided by the
6	people who are putting on evidence at that time as to
7	when they suggest that we break. We'll also take a
8	break in the morning, one or more, and a break in the
9	afternoon, one or more, for comfort. All right. Any
10	other preliminary matters? Ms. Deskins?
11	MS. DESKINS: Judge Clifton, since we are at a
12	new hearing site, I did want to say on the record that
13	the government hasn't taken a position on this matter.
14	We're here to gather evidence and also that the ex-party
15	rules under the 700 rules I'm sorry, the 900 rules of
16	practice applies and we cannot discuss the merits of the
17	case with anybody. Thank you.
18	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Ms.
19	Deskins. And Ms. Deskins, that applies to everyone
20	seated at the same table as you, is that correct?
21	MS. DESKINS: Yes, Your Honor. It applies to
22	everyone here seated at the table. It also applies to
23	you, Judge Clifton.
24	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. Now,
25	you may need to approach me about scheduling matters and

1	I welcome that. It's easier, though, if you wait until
2	we're all on the record, because I'm going to have to
3	have you do it again, anyway, in order to let everyone
4	voice favor or opposition to that. But if you want to
5	give me a heads-up, that's fine. All right, any other
6	preliminary matters? All right, Mr. Monahan, I
7	interrupted your presentation many times last week and I
8	hope that I will not have to do that as often now that
9	we're here. And would you like now to proceed?
10	MR. MONAHAN: I would, Your Honor, thank you.
11	Can you hear me with this lapel microphone?
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I can hear you,
13	but
14	MR. MONAHAN: Do I need to hold it up to my
15	mouth?
16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I think so. I'm
17	sorry. That's
18	MR. MONAHAN: How about that? Is that better?
19	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: That's great.
20	MR. MONAHAN: Okay.
21	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Can you hear him in
22	the back? Stand up if you can hear him in the back.
23	MR. MONAHAN: Oh. We got a wave. I think
24	we're all right, Your Honor.
25	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay, good.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	MR. MONAHAN: Your Honor, when we last spoke,
2	we had been talking about a new definition for the term
3	handle, which is set forth at 900 I believe
4	excuse me, 991.08. That, I would announce to the court,
5	is still a work-in-progress. That is something that we
6	will be presenting through a witness, but not first
7	thing. When we heard the persons introduce themselves
8	this morning, we heard the term doctor, I want to say
9	four times. I've spoken to Mr. Carswell. We want to
10	take advantage of the presence today of economists, and
11	I believe that the proponents are going to start out
12	with Dr. Folwell, who will offer testimony regarding
13	economic justification for the proposed order, and I
14	believe, with Mr. Carswell's agreement, we'll go right
15	into Dr. Jekanowski and Dr. Tweeten and have today be a
16	day that perhaps only Dr. Hinman would love and that is
17	a focus on economics.
18	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I think to the
19	contrary. I really think the economists' testimony is
20	going to be extremely interesting. So don't disappoint
21	me, men.
22	MR. MONAHAN: Mr. Carswell, is that a can
23	we agree that that's the approach we'll take today?
24	MR. CARSWELL: Actually, I think we should ask
25	Jim, but I certainly am amenable to that, Brendan.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	MR. MOODY: Yeah, that's fine, Brendan. Thank
2	you.
3	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right, good.
4	Then you may call your first economic witness.
5	MR. MONAHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. And
6	first and I believe from our standpoint, only. Dr.
7	Folwell.
8	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Dr. Folwell, do you
9	want to pour yourself some water before you get started?
10	DR. FOLWELL: No, I'm fine. Thank you.
11	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Would
12	you state your full name again for the record and spell
13	your names, please?
14	DR. FOLWELL: Raymond J. Folwell,
15	R-a-y-m-o-n-d J. F-o-l-w-e-l-l.
16	***
17	RAYMOND J. FOLWELL,
18	having first been duly sworn, according to the law,
19	testified as follows:
20	***
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. MONAHAN:
23	Q. Thank you, Your Honor. Dr. Folwell,
24	could you just briefly introduce yourself to those of us
25	here. Tell us who you are and what you do?
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	A. I'm a Professor of Agriculture and
2	Resource Economics at Washington State University and
3	director of the Viticulture and Enology program also for
4	the university. I've been there for 35 years. This is
5	my 36th year. I've taught a wide array of courses. I
6	work in numerous areas concerning research and
7	extension, of which one of them is the area of hops.
8	Q. Could you briefly describe your
9	educational background, sir?
10	A. I have a Bachelor's Degree, a
11	Baccalaureate Degree from the University of Illinois, a
12	Masters of Science and Agricultural Economics from the
13	University of Illinois, and a Ph.D., Doctor of
14	Philosophy in Agricultural Economics from the University
15	of Missouri.
16	Q. In this case you were asked by the
17	proponents' committee to address the issue of whether
18	there's a need for a federal marketing order in hops,
19	were you not?
20	A. That's correct.
21	Q. And to that end did you prepare a report?
22	A. Yes, I did.
23	Q. And I sent you to the copy machine early
24	and often, did I not?
25	A. Well, I made copies.

1	
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Let's go off record
3	while those copies are distributed. It's now 9:10. Off
4	the record before you
5	***
6	[Off the Record]
7	[On the Record]
8	***
9	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: We're back on
10	record at 9:15. I know you haven't finished reading the
11	report, but I'm reminded of one other housekeeping item
12	that I wanted to do before we went on record. I wanted
13	to make a record of the exhibits that we have here in
14	the hearing room and hand those now to Mr. Kearney.
15	Some of these have been admitted and some have not, but
16	we have them presently here and I'd like to call on Ms.
17	Dec to now identify those that we have as part of the
18	official record.
19	MS. DEC: Yes, Your Honor. I have 25 exhibits
20	that were presented at the session last week in
21	Portland. All but five exhibits, 11 through 15, were
22	admitted into evidence.
23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right,
24	excellent. Would you now hand all 25 of those to the
25	court reporter?

1	MS. DEC: Yes, ma'am.
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right, I'd like
3	to proceed now, even though many of you will still be
4	looking at the report through the direct examination.
5	Mr. Monahan, you may begin.
6	MR. MONAHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. The
7	first thing I'd like to do is to have Dr. Folwell's
8	report, entitled "Is There a Need for a Federal
9	Marketing Order in Hops?". The marking has
10	identification 26.
11	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yes. Would you
12	hand that to the court reporter? I'm going to mark it
13	as Exhibit 26.
14	MR. MONAHAN: Thank you.
15	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: You're welcome.
16	* * *
17	BY MR. MONAHAN:
18	Q. Now, Dr. Folwell, before I ask you
19	questions about this report, I'd like to talk to you a
20	little bit about your background. There has been some
21	discussion and debate in this matter regarding the
22	previous hop marketing order. I'd like to ask if you
23	have any experience under the operation of that hop
24	marketing order?
25	A. Under the previous hop marketing order
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	No. 991, I believe it was, I was the public
2	representative on that federal marketing order.
3	Q. What did the public representative do?
4	A. I usually like to paraphrase that I was
5	protecting the interests of the beer drinkers of America
6	and around the world, but basically, I was there to
7	provide a service to make sure that the administrative
8	committee was operating in an acceptable manner in terms
9	of attempting to balance the supply and demand of hops
10	to the market.
11	Q. And how did you do that as a practical
12	matter?
13	A. As a practical matter, at each meeting
14	there was a statistical sub-committee of the
15	administrative committee which would provide projections
16	of the various components of supply and demand. Each
17	member of the administrative committee then would have
18	an opportunity to raise questions, to give their input
19	into the ideas. Then there would usually be a
20	recommendation on the saleable percentage based upon the
21	balance sheet approach they were using to this and then
22	they would ask my opinion on whether or not I thought
23	the projections were reasonable and accurate.
24	Q. What years did you play that role?
25	A. I believe from about late 1978 or '79
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	until the termination of the order in 1986.
2	Q. Is it fair to say that one of the roles
3	or duties of the statistical sub-committee was to
4	project demand for hops?
5	A. Yes, it was.
6	Q. And based on your experience and
7	observations, how did they do that?
8	A. They had a wealth of information. As a
9	matter of fact, the hop growers in the US had more
10	market information available to them through the
11	marketing order than any other agricultural industry I'm
12	aware of and they would basically look at the trends for
13	each of the components of demand, both domestic and
14	foreign and then make projections based upon those
15	trends.
16	Q. And what is your recollection as to how
17	accurate the statistical sub-committee was with respect
18	to projecting demand for hops?
19	A. If they were taking a course from me in
20	this, I would give them an A. They were very accurate,
21	they carried out their responsibilities in a very
22	serious manner. They had input from various sources.
23	They even contacted sources for what was going on in
24	Europe and around the world.
25	Q. In the course of your duties as you
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	have to remind me of your title, sir, again with the
2	previous order.
3	A. Public representative.
4	Q. In the context of your role as public
5	representative, and with your background in economics,
6	did you have the opportunity to draft or author any
7	materials regarding the volume regulations contained in
8	the prior order?
9	A. Yes, I did.
10	Q. Can you describe those for us, please?
11	A. Basically, in the early probably
12	starting in about 1980, I was somewhat, you know,
13	concerned about the fact that the administrative
14	committee, with a recommendation of the Secretary of
15	Agriculture could influence the flow of hops to the
16	market, so basically, I undertook a research project at
17	Washington State University which attempted to analyze
18	their projections in relation to the actual market
19	outcomes.
20	Q. Sir, I'm going to hand to you three
21	documents that I just got my hands on this morning out
22	of your briefcase. Could you describe those or identify
23	those for the record, sir?
24	A. The first one in a technical research
25	bulletin published by Washington State University, No.

1	97. It was published in 1982. It is entitled "The
2	United States Hop Industry and the Volume Control
3	Provisions of the United States Federal Hop Marketing
4	Order", of which I was the principal author.
5	***
6	MR. MONAHAN: Just to make it clear for the
7	record, Your Honor, I would like to have these three
8	documents marked for identification before I have the
9	Doctor speak about them.
10	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Thank
11	you.
12	MR. MOODY: Brendan, do you have copies of
13	those available?
14	MR. MONAHAN: I don't yet, but we'll have to
15	do some quick copying in one of the breaks.
16	MR. MOODY: Thank you.
17	MR. MONAHAN: I apologize for that.
18	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I am marking as
19	Exhibit 27 "United States Hop Industry and the Volume
20	Control Provisions of the United States Federal Hop
21	Marketing Order" by R.J. Folwell, P.K. Hennessy and
22	that's the initial P., the initial K., H-e-n-n-e-s-s-y,
23	R.K. Mittlehammer the initial R., the initial C.,
24	excuse me. I said K., I think. It's R.C. Mittlehammer.
25	The initial R., the initial C., M-i-t-t-l-e-h-a-m-m-e-r.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	And A.H. Harrington, the initial A., the initial H.,
2	Harrington, H-a-r-r-i-n-g-t-o-n, Agricultural Research
3	Center, Washington State University. And then there's
4	an identification that says XT0097, 1982. Exhibit 28 is
5	a booklet entitled "Department of Agricultural
6	Economics" Washington State University, Pullman,
7	P-u-l-l-m-a-n, Washington. That is by Raymond J.
8	Folwell, dated April 15, 1982. Exhibit 29 is a
9	publication entitled "Agricultural Economics Research -
10	Fall, 1985, Volume 37, No. 4." It is a journal of the
11	United States Department of Agriculture Economic
12	Research Service. Thank you, Mr. Monahan. I've marked
13	these, but you may now return them to the witness.
14	* * *
15	BY MR. MONAHAN:
16	Q. Thank you, Your Honor. Dr. Folwell, I'd
17	just like to go through these documents briefly, and in
18	turn have you describe what they are, what the purpose
19	of the project was and your conclusions?
20	A. Basically, this is the research project
21	which I indicated I undertook, probably starting in
22	about 1980. It consisted of a master's thesis by
23	Patrick Hennessy, in which we analyze the projections of
24	the supply and demand components made by the hop
25	administrative committee and compared them to the actual
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	market results for each year.
2	Q. And what did you conclude?
3	A. On page six of the report I prepared,
4	about halfway down the page there's a summary statement
5	that indicates that the analysis revealed that on the
6	average, the committee overestimated demand components
7	and underestimated supply components. The HAC, being
8	the Hop Administrative Committee, can best be described
9	as being "overly optimistic in their decision process
10	and placing on the market a larger quantity than needed
11	if they had perfect knowledge and were able to project
12	all supply and demand components with complete accuracy.
13	As a result, it can be partially concluded that the HAC
14	did not unduly use its market power in restricting the
15	quantity of hops available to the market from domestic
16	production."
17	Q. Would that be a fair way to summarize the
18	identifications 26, 27, and 28?
19	A. I believe so.
20	Q. Okay. Sir, were you do I understand
21	that you were involved with the hop marketing order and
22	with the administrative committee in the final years of
23	its operation, early mid '80s?
24	A. Correct.
25	Q. You were around when the really, at
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	the	termination	of	the	earlier	order.

23

24

25

- A. I was there, yes.
- Q. Are you able to describe, from your experience and recollection, why the earlier order was terminated?
- Actually, I think there were a lot of 6 7 factors that contributed to it. One was the lack of foresight, both by the hop industry as well as the hop 8 9 dealers and buyers of hops, that a crop failure in the Hallertau region of Europe, primarily Czechoslovakia and 10 Germany, was going to lead to the demise of the hop 11 industry in Europe. There was an overreaction on the 12 part of all parties, including dealers, growers, buyer 13 of hops, to over plant hops in the US. Also, at the 14 same time -- and this happened in the fall of 1979 --15 16 the price of spot hops, those not under contract, went from 75 cents a pound to \$6 a pound overnight and greed 17 sat into this industry. The value of base and allotment 18 went up and there were a number of lawsuits filed 19 concerning the operation of the federal marketing order, 20 and it was just a matter of greed that I think really 21 led to the termination of the order. 22
 - Q. There's been some discussion and conflicting testimony as to whether the prior order was a success or a failure, Dr. Folwell, and I'd like to ask York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	you, as a representative who worked on the order and
2	your professional opinion as a Ph.D. economist, was the
3	prior order a success or a failure?
4	A. I think it was a success as long as the
5	industry continued to use the accounting balance sheet
6	approach that they used in terms of projecting supply an
7	demand and making sure that supply and demand were in
8	balance and when they did this on an annual basis.
9	Q. And just in general terms, when the
10	industry was able to do that, why was it a success? Why
11	did it succeed?
12	A. Basically, because the industry was
13	placing enough hops on the market to meet both domestic
14	and foreign demand and they also had a basic philosophy,
15	if you go back and read the minutes of the Hop
16	Administrative Committee, their basic philosophy was
17	that they wanted to capture a larger share of the
18	market, both domestically and worldwide.
19	Q. Sir, I'd like you to turn then to Exhibit
20	26, which is your most recent report entitled "Is There
21	a Need for a Federal Marketing Order in Hops?" and if
22	it's not too big an imposition, I'd like you to read it
23	into the record.
24	A. The entire document or paraphrase it?
25	Q. Well, I will invite you to paraphrase it.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

Others may ask for more detail. 2 Okay. Maybe it would be best if I just 3 read it. "The hop plant is a perennial that requires a 4 substantial capital investment to establish a hop yard. Hops are marketed under multiple year contracts at fixed 5 prices which also make hops unique from most other agricultural products. The sum of the establishment and 7 8 the first year costs per acre that are required before a 9 mature hop yard is in full production are \$7,732 for 10 aroma hops, \$7,773 for alfalfa hops, \$8,063 for super alpha hops, and these are based upon 1999 input costs. 11 12 Producers are reluctant to make such large capital investment unless there is an expectation of a 13 14 reasonable and stable market demand and profits for the product. In the past, the stability has been 15 demonstrated by the percent of hops sold or contracted 16 17 This percentage has been slowly declining. 18 Without the use of some type of marketing tools, including a marketing order, the US hop industry will 19 20 continue to fact a state of chaos. A major indicator of 21 the chaotic state has been the decreasing number of hop 22 producers and dealers. Towards the end of the last hop marketing order in the mid-1980s, there were 211 base 23 holders or producers. Today, without a federal 24 marketing order, the number of producers has declined to 25

1	about 70. In relation to the number of buyers or
2	dealers, the numbers has [sic] decreased from nine in
3	1986 to only four in 2003, with one of those four being
4	a grower cooperative. Thus
5	***
6	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Dr. Folwell, I
7	don't want to interrupt. You've been going very fast.
8	I just want you to go back to where you talked about the
9	cost.
10	DR. FOLWELL: Yes, ma'am.
11	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: And I thought you
12	said alfalfa hops.
13	DR. FOLWELL: Did I? That could be.
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I just want you
15	DR. FOLWELL: Alpha.
16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:to go back over
17	the numbers and the types of hop again, if you will.
18	DR. FOLWELL: Okay. \$7,732 for aroma hops,
19	\$7,773 for alpha hops, and \$8,063 for super alpha
20	hops
21	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right
22	DR. FOLWELL:based upon '99 costs.
23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:thank you.
24	DR. FOLWELL: Continuing on down the page. I
25	just starting from the fifth line from the bottom.

"Thus, while there has been increasing concentration of
the hop growers on the supply side of the market, there
has been even further concentration in the number of
dealers on the demand side of the market. These trends
suggest that there has been increasing market power on
the demand side of the market relative to the supply
side with producers losing market power. Such an
imbalance in market power is not a desirable economic
situation. A federal marketing order would provide the
opportunity for growers to regain some of their market
power. The countervailing market power that a federal
marketing order with a producer allotment provision
would provide will help bring stability to the US hop
industry. The US hop industry seeks to lessen the
chaotic state and instability that it currently finds
itself in through a federal marketing order. It is
anticipated that the federal marketing order 1) achieve
greater stability of hop farm income. 2) Increase hop
prices in the long run. 3) Transfer some market power
and decision making from hop dealers to hop growers,
i.e., greater participation in the marketing process by
hop grower, and 4) generate market information and
research contributing to improved market understanding
and decision making by US hop growers." There's a
heading "Why a Federal Marketing Order?". "One of the
York Stenographic Services, Inc.

Į

1	primary objectives of the Federal Marketing Order is the
2	creation of an orderly marketing system, which according
3	to Kohls and Uhl is "the coordination of the total
4	supply of a commodity over time, form, and spatial
5	markets, in such a way as to achieve some market
6	objectives." The US hop industry is in need of an
7	orderly marketing program since the structural supply
8	and demand for US hops is inelastic with respect to
9	price. This inelasticity results in wide price swings
10	with very small changes in the quantity supplied or
11	demanded. The inelasticity of the supply and demand for
12	US hops is fully documented in the classic study by
13	Habuki. Habuki found that "the elasticity of the spot
14	market price with respect to the total US hop production
15	is a -3.382 [sic]. This implies that a one percent
16	increase in total hop production will decrease the
17	market price by almost 3.34 percent." These research
18	results indicate an inelastic demand with respect to
19	price in the short run for hops. Habuki estimates that
20	the short run supply elasticities with respect to price
21	are .0500, .0549, and .052 [sic] with respect to prices
22	lagged one, two, and three years respectively. Habuki
23	estimates the long run supply elasticities to be .05579,
24	and .0613, and .0587 with respect to prices lagged one,
25	two, and three years respectively. These results
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1 indicate an inelastic supply of US hops. The above results are in direct contradiction to a report filed to 2 3 the USDA in a letter by Mark -- excuse my pronunciation -- Jenakowski? DR. JEKANOWSKI: Jekanowski. DR. FOLWELL: Jekanowski, okay -- of Sparks 6 Companies, Incorporated, to Charles Parrot, Associate 7 Deputy Administrator of the USDA/AMS. In that report, 8 they -- being Sparks -- concluded that the demand with 9 respect to prices for alpha acid is elastic, i.e., 10 reduce the quantity and the total revenue will decrease. 11 The Sparks model is a complete over-simplification of 12 the supply and demand structures of the US hop industry 13 and has serious statistical problems. In relation to 14 the economic simplicity of the model, such single 15 equation models estimated with ordinary least-squares 16 will always result in calculated elasticities of demand 17 with respect to price that are more elastic than models 18 that contain several equations involving simultaneous 19 relationships which are more reasonable representations 20 of actual market conditions. An example of the latter 21 is the work by Habuki. The Sparks model suffers from 22 serious statistical problems, including insignificant 23 explanatory variables and serial or autocorrelation. 24 First, one variable -- one explanatory variable, the Log 25 York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	of Beer Production, is not statistically significant and
2	should be dropped from the model. If this variable is
3	dropped from the model, the adjusted coefficient of
4	determination is increased marginally, but the serious
5	problem of autocorrelation or serial correlation still
6	remains. The Durbin-Watson statistic, DW, used to test
7	for autocorrelation with the original Sparks model is
8	0.55 and 0.48 with the deleted variable. The Durbin-
9	Watson statistic indicates that the single equation
10	model has positive autocorrelation resulting from a
11	specification error and/or wrong functional form,
12	linear, was used. A good researcher would not place any
13	degree of confidence in such research results.
14	Autocorrelation leads to a downward biased standard
15	errors and thus is incorrect and and thus to
16	incorrect statistical tests and confidence intervals.
17	However, the Sparks model goes on to use the model to
18	indicate that the demand for US hops is elastic. This
19	conclusion is based upon an unacceptable statistical
20	model. Further, the Sparks report fails to acknowledge
21	that the price flexibility coefficient only sets a lower
22	limit of the price elasticity of demand and there's a
23	reference to a standard text book. As indicated by
24	Tomek and Robinson, if there are no cross effects or
25	substitutes for a product, then the reciprocal of the
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	price elasticity [sic] is a good approximation of the
2	elasticity. If significant cross effects exist, the
3	reciprocal of price flexibility is less than the demand
4	elasticity. In the case of the US hops or alpha acid
5	there exists a near substitution in the form of the same
6	product or products from Germany and other hop producing
7	countries. The Sparks model is not acceptable
8	statistically or economically. Given the sophistication
9	the Habuki model and the inclusion of the supply and
10	demand for hops from Germany, it must be concluded that
11	the demand for US hops is inelastic. To illustrate the
12	dynamic nature of the US hop market, the price of alpha
13	and alpha acid production, as taken from the Sparks
14	report, is graphed in figure one, which appears on page
15	eight of this report. Inspection of the scatter plot
16	where each point represents the intersection of a supply
17	and demand curve on an annual basis reveals a definite
18	pattern. The years 1977 to '79, 1980 to '83, 1986 to
19	1990, and 1991 to 2001 fall in four distinct cluster.
20	The clusters with contiguous years within each cluster
21	demonstrate the shifting of the demand and supply curves
22	for the US hops over time. Within each cluster, the
23	demand curve has been more stable than the supply curve.
24	The single equation model developed by Sparks failed to
25	account for these major shifts in demand. Therefore,
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1 i

1	the specification error indicated by the positive
2	autocorrelation is expected given the simple nature of
3	the model. If one were to fit a single equation to the
4	data set the shifts in the demand function must be
5	accounted for by binary variables to change the
6	intercept value for each time period defined by the
7	clusters. The model fit to the Sparks data with the
8	addition of the binary variables to account for the
9	shifts resulted in the following
10	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Could we go off the
11	record for a moment?
12	* * *
13	[Off the Record]
14	[On the Record]
15	***
16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: We're back on
17	record now at 9:57. During the break Dr. Folwell gave
18	an extra copy of his report to the court reporter so the
19	court reporter can send that along with the tapes to
20	assist the typist. That's a very good technique and I
21	would appreciate it if any of you are going to be
22	reading a written statement into the record, if you can
23	provide an extra copy of that statement to the court
24	reporter, it does assist in transcription. All right,
25	Dr. Folwell, you may resume.

DR. FOLWELL: Thank you. I'm on page five
about a third of the way down. I am not going to read
the equation and the definition of all the variables
into the record, but to paraphrase it, basically it's an
equation in which the alpha acid production, which
expresses a function of the real price of alpha, the
logarithm of years acting as a trend and three binary
variables to account for the shifts. Also, paraphrasing
the fit of this equation was extremely good with a
coefficient of determination an R2 of almost .9 as
compared to the Sparks model, which had one of .5.
Basically, we explained 90 percent of the variation in
the alpha acid production as a function of those
variables compared to only 50 percent with the Sparks
model and also that the Durbin-Watson statistic was
inconclusive in terms of testing for positive
autocorrelation. Reading again from the text starting
with the second line from the bottom on page five, that
paragraph, "given that the model reported above is a
double logarithm function form and the quantity variable
on the left hand side, the coefficient of 71456 is the
direct price elasticity. This result indicates that the
demand for US hops is inelastic. These results are in
agreement with those of Habuki. In addition to the
wrong conclusion drawn by the Sparks model above, the
York Stenographic Services, Inc.

 $0 \, J$

elasticity of demand about the elasticity of demand
for US hops, the report repeats numerous times that the
purpose of the hop marketing order with a producer
allotment provision is to maximize total revenues to hop
growers. Past history of the US hop industry and its
constituents strongly suggest that such a behavior
pattern would not exist. An empirical analysis of the
behavior of the Hop Administrative Committee under
Federal Marking Order 991 was conducted to determine if
the HAC restricted the flow of hops to the market. The
comparison was HAC's projected components of supply and
demand to actual market results. In published and
reviewed research concerning the behavior of the HAC
under the federal marketing order, it was concluded that
'The analysis revealed that on the average, the
committee overestimated demand components and
underestimated supply components. The HAC can best be
described as being overly optimistic in their decision
process projections and placing on the market a larger
quantity than needed if they had perfect knowledge and
were able to project all supply and demand components
with complete accuracy. As a result, it can be
partially concluded that the HAC did not unduly use its
market power in restricting the quantity of hops
available to the market from domestic production. The
York Stenographic Services, Inc.

actions of the HAC appear to have developed an orderly
marketing program for hops. The degree of price
variability during the life of the present order has
been less than half that experienced otherwise.' As a
final indicator of the stability of the federal
marketing order can bring to the US hop industry, the
historical price and production data for US hops from
1965 through 2002 are presented in Appendix Table A1.
The price data from 1966 through 1985 when the Marketing
Order 991 was in effect indicates that the average price
of hops increased or remained stable in a fairly regular
pattern indicating an orderly marketing situation.
Starting in 1986 to the present after the order was
terminated, the average price of hops has increased and
decreased in a rollercoaster pattern. Such price swings
are not indicative of an orderly marketing situation and
often leads to a misallocation of resources. A final
document to support a marketing order for US hops is a
letter to the USDA which I wrote in 1981. This letter
supported the concept of the existing federal order and
predicted the consequences of the order's termination.
All of my predictions came true. In addition, I gave an
estimate of the impact of the last federal order had on
the price of beer and malted beverages in the US. It
was so diminutive that a consumer would not know that a
York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	federal marketing order was successful in creating an
2	orderly marketing situation. Overall, I fully support
3	the implementation of a federal marketing order with a
4	producer allotment program for the US hop industry. The
5	industry currently faces an inelastic demand and supply
6	with resulting wide price variations. It has been
7	demonstrated in the past that they, US hop producers, do
8	not unduly restrict the flow of hops to the market when
9	allowed to have some market power through a producer
10	allotment provision in a federal marketing order. US
11	hop producers are in need of such a marketing tool and
12	the countervailing power it can create for the producer
13	side of the market. If the US hop producers are not
14	allowed to use such a marketing tool, the industry will
15	continue to shrink in size and will someday become
16	extinct."
17	* * *
18	BY MR. MONAHAN:
19	Q. Thank you, Dr. Folwell. To those of us
20	here who don't use terms like price flexibility
21	coefficient in everyday conversation, can you explain in
22	laymen's terms some of the more scientific or economic
23	concepts that were discussed in your report?
24	A. Basically, when economists are talking
25	about price flexibility, it's the reciprocal of the

1	elasticity of demand with respect to price or the supply
2	elasticity. We use the term elasticity and we talk
3	about an inelastic demand for a product here, what we're
4	basically indicating is that a small change in the
5	quantity supplied or demanded can lead to very large
6	price swings as was indicated when we had the crop
7	failure in the Hallertau region of Europe back in 1979.
8	Q. That's the situation you described where
9	the price of hops had a major fluctuation.
10	A. Correct.
11	Q. What was that fluctuation?
12	A. From 75 cents a pound to \$6 a pound. I
13	used to say to my students it went from six bits to six
14	bucks overnight.
15	Q. And that's for the price of raw hops?
16	A. That's right.
17	Q. Even given that six bits to six bucks
18	swing, are you aware of whether that price fluctuation
19	resulted in any difference in the hopping of beer by
20	producers?
21	A. The hopping ratio, you're referring to?
22	Q. Hopping ratio, yeah.
23	A. Not that I'm aware of.
24	Q. Which is to say did the price change
25	affect the amount of hops that were purchased and used
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	by the brewers?
2	A. I'm not aware of that.
3	Q. On page two of your report, you state
4	that a federal marketing order will help achieve greater
5	stability of hop farm income. Can you just describe in
6	laymen's terms, sir, how the federal marketing order
7	will accomplish that, will stabilize hop farm income?
8	A. Well basically, hops have traditionally
9	been marketed under multiple year contracts at fixed
01	prices. Just about the only agricultural commodity
11	marketed that way. Given these marketing arrangements,
12	basically a producer knows what price they're going to
13	receive for their production in the future, however, the
14	income, again, is going to be influenced by weather
15	patterns that might occur in variations and yields, but
16	it creates greater certainty as to what the farm income
17	will be from hop production.
18	Q. There's been some discussion about
19	contracting for hops. Was the use of forward contracts
20	in the old order was there a prevalent practice?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Were most hops contracted for under the
23	old order?
24	A. I think as we approached harvest each
25	year under the old federal order, the amount of hops
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	that was contracted would usually approach 90 percent.
2	Q. Okay, do you know if that changed in the
3	aftermath of the hop marketing order?
4	A. Yes, it has.
5	Q. How did it change?
6	A. It's declined.
7	Q. Do you know do you have an opinion as
8	to why?
9	A. Basically, I believe that the buyers of
10	hops saw an opportunity to not enter into these long-
11	term contracts at fixed prices and take advantages of
12	lower prices.
13	Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether a
14	new hop marketing order would affect the use or
15	prevalence of forward contracts?
16	A. Basically, if I would sort of extrapolate
17	from the old marketing order, contracting was still
18	prevalent, very common, and I think it would continue
19	under a new market order.
20	Q. Sir, I see a large bound volume sitting
21	to your left on the table, about an inch, inch and a
22	quarter thick. Can you tell us what that is?
23	A. This is the dissertation that was done by
24	Mr. Habuki at Washington State University. It was an
25	econometric model of the structural supply and demand
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

i	components that the US hop industry faced. It also
2	incorporated international trade flows within it.
3	Q. That's the Habuki report that you
4	reference in your report, sir?
5	A. Correct.
6	***
7	MR. MONAHAN: I'm not going to call for that
8	as an exhibit, Your Honor, but I would like to perhaps
9	make that available if persons wanted to review it
10	during a break.
11	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Just
12	for the record, it's a bound volume and it's multi-
13	pages. Doctor, how would you describe how much is
14	there?
15	DR. FOLWELL: I'm not quite sure by how much
16	of what
17	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: What's the last
18	page number?
19	DR. FOLWELL: 273.
20	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. And the
21	book is roughly an inch and a quarter thick, I think.
22	DR. FOLWELL: Right. It's a bound
23	dissertation from Mr. Habuki's Ph.D.
24	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: What is the date of
25	the publication?
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., Vork, DA 17401 . (717) 854-0077

1	DR. FOLWELL: 1984.
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Thank
3	you.
4	MR. MONAHAN: Your Honor, perhaps someone
5	could ask that official notice be taken of it?
6	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Would you read into
7	the record, Dr. Folwell, the Library of Congress number
8	and so on?
9	DR. FOLWELL: There will not be a Library of
10	Congress number on this yet. This is my department's
11	copy of the dissertation which we keep in our reference
12	room. It's available from the microfilm service of the
13	University of Michigan.
14	MR. MONAHAN: Are there any other identifying
15	characteristics on the volume, sir?
16	DR. FOLWELL: The title, of course, is "United
17	States Hop Industry: Structural Analysis and Forecasts."
18	And the student's full name, first name was
19	Y-o-s-h-i-n-o-b-u, last name Habuki, is H-a-b-u-k-i.
20	MR. MONAHAN: I would ask that this court take
21	judicial notice of the publication.
22	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Would you hand it
23	to me, Dr. Folwell? Let me see if I can identify it in
24	any further way. I'm reluctant to take official notice
25	of it. It is a dissertation submitted in partial
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor
2	of Philosophy. It is not it is a treatise that has
3	been relied upon by this witness for his testimony. I'm
4	not sure it is information that is widely relied upon by
5	professionals in the field of agricultural economics,
6	but I would entertain further testimony about that. Mr.
7	Carswell?
8	MR. CARSWELL: Your Honor, is this
9	dissertation published? Is it is there a publisher
10	where we could get a copy of it or is it just available
11	in this version and then on microfilm at Michigan?
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Dr. Folwell, would
13	you answer that question for me, please?
14	DR. FOLWELL: It would be available at the
15	University of Michigan microfilm.
16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: And to your
17	knowledge is it published by any publisher?
18	DR. FOLWELL: Not that I'm aware of.
19	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I think it could be
20	cited in argument and it could be cited in testimony as
21	it has been, but I'm reluctant to take official notice
22	of the entire volume unless I have additional testimony
23	about the numbers of people that rely on this opinion.
24	MR. MONAHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. We just
25	want to make sure it's available to the opponents to
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	review and understand the resources that Dr. Folwell
2	considered and relied upon in his report.
3	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yes, and I
4	appreciate that. Thank you.
5	MR. MONAHAN: Your Honor, with that, the
6	proponents have no further questions for Dr. Folwell.
7	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right, thank
8	you. I would now invite additional questions of Dr.
9	Folwell. I'd begin with those who are here in favor of
10	the proposais
11	MR. MONAHAN: Your Honor
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Monahan?
13	MR. MONAHAN:I jumped the gun. I would
14	move for the admission of Exhibits 26 through 29.
15	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Would anyone like
16	to voir dire Dr. Folwell with regard to any of those
17	exhibits? Mr. Moody, you may voir dire.
18	MR. MOODY: Well, we haven't seen 27, 28, and
19	29 yet, so I'd like to reserve on those until we've had
20	a chance to review them.
21	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Good point. They
22	won't be easy to reproduce. What are your plans in that
23	regard, Mr. Monahan?
24	MR. MONAHAN: To rely upon the expertise of my
25	support staff over the lunch hour.

1	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Perhaps
2	by this afternoon. Dr. Folwell, are you available all
3	day here today?
4	DR. FOLWELL: Yes, ma'am.
5	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Good. Will you be
6	available other days this week?
7	DR. FOLWELL: I have to be in Walla Walla
8	tomorrow afternoon for an asparagus meeting.
9	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. So I think
10	today's the day. So if you can do that during the lunch
11	hour, that would be good, Mr. Monahan. All right. Let
12	me just start with Exhibit 26. Would anyone like to
13	voir dire Dr. Folwell with regard to that exhibit? Is
14	there any objection to Exhibit 26 being admitted into
15	evidence? There being none, Exhibit 26 is hereby
16	admitted. With regard to 27, 28, and 29, I'll reserve
17	ruling. All right now, questions for Dr. Folwell. I
18	would like to begin, please, with those who are here in
19	favor of the proposals. All right. I would now open
20	the floor to questions from those who are here in a
21	position against the proposals. Yes. Please identify
22	yourself for the record?
23	DR. TWEETEN: Luther Tweeten. I would like to
24	ask Dr. Folwell, on page five, to look at his price
25	elasticities demand. As I understand it, it's71456.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

```
1
       Is that statistically different from one?
                  DR. FOLWELL: I did not run that hypothesis.
2
3
                  DR. TWEETEN: You can do a quick examination.
       Your standard error, according to the t-value would be
4
5
       about .36, is that correct?
                  DR. FOLWELL: Right.
6
                  DR. TWEETEN: The difference between one and
7
        .71456 is roughly equal to that. That means your t-
8
       value is approximately one that is not different from
9
       zero, statistically. That means that your estimate does
10
       not differ statistically from Mark Jekanowski's
11
12
       estimate, is that correct?
                  DR. FOLWELL: I don't have his estimate in
13
14
        front of me at this time...
                  DR. TWEETEN: Well, just unitary. That means
15
        your estimate is not statistically different from
16
17
        unitary.
                  DR. FOLWELL: But it's in the inelastic range.
18
                  DR. TWEETEN: No. Unitary is not inelastic.
19
                  DR. FOLWELL: I understand what you're saying.
20
        That's -1.0. With the estimate here that we have is a
21
        -.7.
22
                  DR. TWEETEN: But there's a high probability.
23
                  DR. FOLWELL: There is some probability...
24
                  DR. TWEETEN: There's a high probability that
25
                          York Stenographic Services, Inc.
```

1	it doesn't differ from one.
2	DR. FOLWELL: I'll admit that there's some
3	probability.
4	DR. TWEETEN: Thank you.
5	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Dr.
6	Tweeten. Other questions for Dr. Folwell? Dr.
7	Jekanowski?
8	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Thank you, Your Honor. Dr.
9	Folwell, I'm a little bit curious about your dummy
10	variables, your D D1, D2, D3. Can you give a go
11	through a little bit more explanation about how you
12	chose those years and whether the decision of the
13	years to choose for dummy variables might affect the
14	results.
15	DR. FOLWELL: It definitely could, but my
16	analysis, at least in the graphical presentation of the
17	data from your report, which appears on page eight, is
18	based primarily upon a classical journal article that
19	E.J. Working [ph] wrote as what those statistical supply
20	and demand curves show, and he, basically, in that
21	article talked about the shifting supply and demand
22	curves over time and if you look at those clusters I
23	identified earlier, there's definitely years that are
24	contiguous to one another within those clusters and it
25	appears that the demand curve has been more stable than
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	the supply curve within each cluster.
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Dr. Jekanowski,
3	your voice projects really well, so you don't need to
4	hold it quite as close. It's fine, but just so that you
5	and the Doctor are about on the same audible level.
6	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Sure. But do you have any
7	explanation about what's going on? Why are those
8	clusters where they are? Can you explain you
9	mentioned that shifting demand and shifting supply,
10	what's actually changing in those years?
11	DR. FOLWELL: Well, if I were to provide my
12	opinion as to what was happening in those years, in the
13	years of 1977 through '79 in there, when you're looking
14	at those, those were pre-Hallertau crop failure years.
15	If you move up then, into the 1980s and later on there,
16	you'll notice that the demand curve is shift upwards and
17	that's basically from 1980 through 1985 is when the hop
18	market was in a almost a little bit of disarray
19	because the price of hops was bid up from 75 cents a
20	pound to \$6 a pound. Then after realizing that that
21	level of hop and the hops that were contracted were no
22	longer necessary. The price started dropping back down
23	and the demand curve shifted down. And that can be well
24	demonstrated by some actions that were taken by dealers
25	in the market. There were actually contracts signed to
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	raise hops by farmers who were not hop growers at the
2	time, back in '79 and '80, and hop dealers had to go
3	back and buy those contracts back. They overbid the
4	price of hops.
5	DR. JEKANOWSKI: So but just to clarify.
6	Those years that you chose are really just a function of
7	what you see in this scatter plot. There's no
8	fundamental explanation from an economic standpoint as
9	to why you would include those years.
10	DR. FOLWELL: I thought I just offered a very
11	good one in the sense of what happened from previous
12	to '79, the overreaction in the hop industry in that
13	upwards cluster in there and then the backing off or the
14	shifting to the left of the demand curve. And this type
15	of analysis is well documented in classical journal
16	articles. Matter of fact, I have my students always
17	make a graph before they start fitting equations.
18	DR. JEKANOWSKI: What would happen if you
19	included beer production in your equation?
20	DR. FOLWELL: I'd have to rerun the equation.
21	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Could it change the results?
22	DR. FOLWELL: Any time you introduce another
23	variable in there all of the coefficients, the values of
24	them will change slightly.
25	DR. JEKANOWSKI: So could it be possible that York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	i oik bioliographic got vicos, inc.

1	there's [sic] some variables in there that you should've
2	included but did not?
3	DR. FOLWELL: Basically, I believe you had the
4	Log of Beer Production in your model and it was not
5	statistically significant.
6	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Well
7	DR. FOLWELL: And I duplicated your results.
8	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Okay. Well, this sort of
9	for the record here. In most of the classes I took
10	or that I ever took, I was always taught that
11	insignificance, alone, isn't a reason for excluding a
12	variable.
13	DR. FOLWELL: That depends upon all of the
14	other statistical problems you might face, including
15	multi-clonearity [ph].
16	DR. JEKANOWSKI: That's all that's all it
17	depends on?
18	DR. FOLWELL: No. But that's one reason for
19	an inclusion of a non-significant variable. You could
20	have also have knowledge of the industry, that in fact,
21	this variable is so highly important that it has to be
22	included in there. It could be that in a large
23	simultaneous supply and demand model that you found out
24	that beer production impacts other components of supply
25	and demand.

1	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Sure. But so there are
2	there could be good reasons for including it in there.
3	DR. FOLWELL: That's not my preference, as a
4	researcher.
5	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Okay. You made reference in
6	your document to the near perfect substitution of German
7	hops for US hops, is that right?
8	DR. FOLWELL: For the same variety that's
9	processed or handled in the same manner with same level
10	of alpha, yes.
11	DR. JEKANOWSKI: So what would happen, in your
12	opinion, if we were to restrict supply of the US hops
13	given that there is a perfect substitute, or a near
14	perfect substitute that's not restricted?
15	DR. FOLWELL: Well, basically, the dealers or
16	the buyers could turn to hops from Europe or other
17	producing areas in the world. There have been occasions
18	in the past when the hop industry has turned to imported
19	hops, primarily from China, which we labeled as food
20	grade vegetative matter in some other research we did
21	on hops at WSU. They had such low capacity for brewing
22	or imparting any type of flavoring to it that they were
23	actually blended with hops from the US. They were
24	imported at 25 cents a pound.
25	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Would you agree that,
	York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077
	57 1401111 George 51., 1018, 171 1/401 - (/1/) 057-00//

i	generally speaking, technology is transferable across
2	international borders, as well as hops?
3	DR. FOLWELL: Yes.
4	DR. JEKANOWSKI: So at least, hypothetically,
5	Chinese growers could start producing high quality, high
6	alpha hops?
7	DR. FOLWELL: Hypothetically, yes. In
8	reality, I doubt it.
9	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Can you expand on that?
10	DR. FOLWELL: I doubt it, because I don't
11	think they necessarily have the knowledge, the
12	background, or the support to do it in terms of massive
13	research programs which support this industry here.
14	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Just as sort of an aside
15	and I understand. I mean, you might not follow this
16	industry, but I want to do sort of an analogy here. Say
17	15 or 20 years ago, did most US soy bean producers
18	expect Brazil or Argentina to one day be the world's
19	largest producer of soy beans?
20	DR. FOLWELL: No, sir.
21	DR. JEKANOWSKI: What happened? How come they
22	are today?
23	DR. FOLWELL: I can't really explain about soy
24	beans. I could tell you about a few other crops, such
25	as asparagus or things of that nature.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	DR. JEKANOWSKI: So certainly, overseas
2	producers have the capacity to invest in technologies
3	and to increase production far beyond what they the
4	situation is currently?
5	DR. FOLWELL: Usually, the environment
6	overseas is completely different than what it is here in
7	the US in terms of producing a comparable product.
8	Typically, the cost of inputs are significantly
9	different. Usually, labor is much cheaper. They
10	substitute a lot of labor for technology, where here in
11	the United States, I think in terms of agriculture, you
12	see the substitution of technology for labor.
13	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Sure, that's right now. But
14	still, hypothetically, it's not out of the realm of
15	possibility for China to become a major producer of hops
16	in the future given that technology is transferable, is
17	that correct?
18	DR. FOLWELL: Well, they might become a major
19	producer of hops, but currently and not dealing with
20	a hypothetical, they produce a very low quality hop.
21	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Now, if the world market
22	price for hops increased, would that increase the how
23	would that affect the incentive for China to invest in
24	technologies to increase their production?
25	DR. FOLWELL: I would assume it would give
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	them a positive indicator that they should invest, but
2	whether they do or not, I can't answer that question.
3	DR. JEKANOWSKI: No, but it I think it
4	would all else equal, it would increase their
5	DR. FOLWELL: Hypothetically, yes.
6	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Hypothetically, sure. How
7	about Germany? If the world price of hops increased,
8	would they decrease production or increase?
9	DR. FOLWELL: Germany's an interesting
10	situation, because a least when I was following the hop
11	industry closely, they actually had a grubbing policy
12	for taking hops out. So I'm not sure if they would or
13	not.
14	DR. JEKANOWSKI: So if the world price
15	increased, they still might take more hops out, you're
16	thinking?
17	DR. FOLWELL: Not well, they could. They
18	could also increase it. It depends upon the policies of
19	their government and the European Union.
20	DR. JEKANOWSKI: And so what would happen to
21	price if, hypothetically, a reduction in US supply,
22	because of the marketing order, was met with an equal or
23	greater increase in production in Germany or China?
24	DR. FOLWELL: Wouldn't the price remain the
25	same? If it was offset with production in other
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	countries?
2	DR. JEKANOWSKI: I don't I mean, that's why
3	I'm asking you. It would, right?
4	DR. FOLWELL: Well, I'm asking you back.
5	That's probably what would happen.
6	DR. JEKANOWSKI: And so, to sort of come right
7	back, is there any fundamental reason to believe that
8	production would not increase overseas with a if we
9	imposed a supply restriction in the US?
10	DR. FOLWELL: It's possible, but you also have
11	to stop and realize the major capital investments that
12	are needed to produce quality hops. And most of the
13	agricultural production in other countries which I'm
14	familiar with, you do not see that amount of capital
15	investment taking place.
16	DR. JEKANOWSKI: But it is possible to get
17	that capital investment?
18	DR. FOLWELL: Perhaps.
19	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Okay. I noticed in other
20	parts of your testimony, you made reference to the I
21	forget how it was phrased lack of competitive
22	position, or competition in the market for hops,
23	implying that maybe there was market power being
24	exercised or something to that effect driving prices
25	lower. Is that roughly paraphrasing correct?
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

l	DR. FOLWELL: Well, I think that when you have
2	only basically three or four buyers of hops versus a
3	number of independent producers out here that the market
4	power's going to be on the side of the buyers.
5	DR. JEKANOWSKI: In your understanding, are
6	aroma hops in equilibrium, in balance?
7	DR. FOLWELL: I really haven't looked at the
8	statistics on that. I assume that the major buyer of
9	the aroma hops would probably say it is right now.
10	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Well, just since you
11	didn't have the benefit of testimony last week, it did
12	sort of come out over and over again that I think
13	there seems to be a general agreement that the problem
14	was not in aroma hops, it was in alpha hops. So given
15	that, can you explain is there a what's the
16	difference in the competitive position of buyers in the
17	aroma hop you know, aroma hops versus hops. That
18	justifies the need for a marketing order?
19	DR. FOLWELL: Well, basically, the marketing
20	order with the producer allotment provision, which
21	they're asking for, is to provide an orderly flow of
22	hops of the market. There's been a tendency in this
23	industry because of the price swings, the price cycles
24	that occurred, that any slight increase in price leads
25	to almost an over response in terms of the amount of hop
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	yards that are put back into production. I've watched
2	this industry go from 50 some thousand acres of hops
3	down to into the low 30s, I believe right now, in
4	terms of their acreages and right now there's hop yards
5	around here that are laying idle. Those resources have
6	very little salvage value in terms of alternative uses.
7	Those poles out there and the wire the poles are
8	basically good for fire wood and the wire you can take
9	to the junkyard. So if they can utilize them in hop
10	production, they're going to attempt to do it.
11	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Utilize the land, you mean?
12	DR. FOLWELL: Um-hum.
13	DR. JEKANOWSKI: All right. So you just said
14	that for a small change in price, there's a dramatic
15	change in supply?
16	DR. FOLWELL: There has been in recent years.
17	DR. JEKANOWSKI: And does that also apply to
18	foreign producers?
19	DR. FOLWELL: I can't I have no knowledge
20	of that.
21	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Okay. Let's see. In your
22	opinion, or maybe in your experience, modeling the hop
23	sector and setting the saleable and whatnot, how did you
24	predict German crop failures?
25	DR. FOLWELL: I never predicted a crop
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

I	Tailure. If I could do that, I wouldn't be sitting here
2	doing this, if I could predict the weather and diseases
3	and insects. The crop failure that occurred in the
4	Hallertau region, to be honest with you, I don't recall
5	what, exactly, the reason was or whether it was weather-
6	related, or some other cultural aspect entered into the
7	problem over there that year. But if there is a
8	failure, it tends to be some type of disease-related,
9	insect-related, or it could be weather, at times.
10	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Is that a concern at all as
11	for a committee to be basically dictating the supply
12	conditions in the US? The inability to control for
13	failures over crop failures in the US or overseas?
14	DR. FOLWELL: The statistical committee, which
15	I think you're probably making reference to here,
16	basically did not put into any of their projections any
17	possible crop failures or anything of that nature. If
18	they knew there were problems in terms of producing the
19	hops in terms of some disease or something and there was
20	no possible control for it, then yes, I mean, that would
21	affect the trends that they're looking at, anyway.
22	DR. JEKANOWSKI: It would also affect the
23	market equilibrium even after all of the effort by the
24	committee.
25	DR. FOLWELL: If there's a crop failure, it's
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	going to impact price, yes.
2	DR. JEKANOWSKI: And if the committee's
3	working as planned, assuming that it's restricting
4	supply to some degree, to raise prices or stabilize
5	prices, or whatever, would that not would then a
6	German crop failure amplify the effect on prices?
7	DR. FOLWELL: Well, first of all, my research
8	indicated that they did not unduly restrict the flow of
9	hops to the market and second of all, yes, if there's a
10	crop failure then, you know, the price is going to move
11	up in a very rapid fashion.
12	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Have you done any, you know,
13	thinking in the process of putting this testimony
14	together have you done any thinking about what the
15	saleable would have to be currently? Under this
16	under the proposed order?
17	DR. FOLWELL: No, I haven't. I actually have,
18	I believe, a copy here of the balance sheet that we used
19	on the HAC. We'd have to go through and make
20	projections here as much as 18 months into the future
21	and then calculate what the saleable would be based upon
22	whatever the 100 percent of the saleable would be set by
23	this new order, so it's it's impossible to do. I can
24	show you the accounting formulas or the balance sheet
25	approach they used here, but not knowing what the base
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	is 100 percent of the base how can you calculate a
2	saleable percentage, even if you make projections as to
3	supply and demand components? I think it's impossible.
4	DR. JEKANOWSKI: But you can come up with some
5	idea of what the initial base would be, can't you?
6	Based on this proposal?
7	DR. FOLWELL: I assume that the that
8	through this hearing process and working with the USDA,
9	that they will set what 100 percent of the saleable will
10	be, at least in terms of pounds. From there on out, no,
11	I can't right now.
12	DR. JEKANOWSKI: But all right, do you at
13	least know that the base is going to be vastly inflated
14	from, say, current production levels of alpha?
15	DR. FOLWELL: I don't know that, personally.
16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Dr. Jekanowski, why
17	don't you lay out a hypothetical that if the base was
18	calculated thus and so
19	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Sure, okay. Yeah. And I'll
20	cover some of this in my testimony so we probably don't
21	need to get too detailed. But the way your proposal is
22	written
23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Not quite so close
24	to the mike.
25	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Oh, I'm sorry. Is this okay?
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

l	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yes.
2	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Okay. The way the proposal's
3	written, each grower chooses their highest production
4	over the past five or six years. Does that bear on a
5	personal base? That's correct, right? It
6	DR. FOLWELL: Is this a hypothetical
7	DR. JEKANOWSKI: No, this is real. I mean,
8	this is
9	DR. FOLWELL: If you say it's in the proposal,
10	then I assume that it's the
11	DR. JEKANOWSKI: So you haven't actually
12	have you studied the proposal?
13	DR. FOLWELL: Not in any great detail.
14	DR. JEKANOWSKI: So you don't necessarily know
15	how this proposal's going to work?
16	DR. FOLWELL: In general, I do.
17	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Okay. All right, well
18	then just to finish with this hypothetical. If every
19	producer picks their highest production period over the
20	past five years and any hop grown over the past five
21	years that has an alpha acid level of less than 10
22	percent is granted 10 percent alpha, those two
23	conditions combined inflate the base, is that correct?
24	This I mean, no producer would ever pick his own past
25	five year production period that's less than the maximum
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	production.
2	DR. FOLWELL: Correct.
3	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Correct, okay. Okay, are you
4	following do you agree that the base is going to be
5	vastly over-inflated? Based on those
6	DR. FOLWELL: I think you're misinterpreting
7	what this base is and what the saleable percentage is
8	and everything. It says if somebody's out here to
9	inflate this base and then unduly restrict the flow of
10	hops, personally, from an economist's point of view, I
11	don't care whether the saleable is 200 percent or 50
12	percent, as long as the administrative committee of the
13	order is allowing an adequate volume of hops or alpha
14	acid flow to the market. I think it's immaterial what
15	the saleable percentage is.
16	DR. JEKANOWSKI: But isn't it material for
17	individual producers that wealth is transferred
18	between producers?
19	DR. FOLWELL: In terms of the transferability
20	of base, which you're getting into now, I have a whole
21	theory upon that and how that can be corrected and
22	furthermore, I don't think the USDA basically wants the
23	value of such a marketing program to be embedded in the
24	base.
25	DR. JEKANOWSKI: So in your opinion, is there
_	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	going to be a value for base on this
2	DR. FOLWELL: If the order is successful, as
3	any farm program, if it's successful, the value of that
4	program will be embedded in some resource which the
5	grower has control of. In wheat and corn and soy beans
6	it's land. Here, it happens to be in a transferable
7	base.
8	DR. JEKANOWSKI: So the full benefits of the
9	program will be capitalized into the value of the base?
10	DR. FOLWELL: If the program is successful,
11	there will be some value capitalized into the base.
12	DR. JEKANOWSKI: And who benefits from that?
13	DR. FOLWELL: Now? Nobody. There's I
14	mean, this doesn't exist. Hypothetically, if this were
15	to come about, what I would suggest to the
16	administrative committee, and they were about ready to
17	shoot me about 17 years ago for supporting or even
18	suggesting this idea, was that there's going to be some
19	office work or paper work necessary to keep track of
20	this of these transfers as they occur, that that
21	money, to cover those costs, go to the administrative
22	committee, that the remainder of it go to the US
23	Treasury to offset the federal deficit, because it was
24	the beer drinkers of America from which it came.
25	DR. JEKANOWSKI: All right, you lost me here.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

l	Okay, where did the federal treasury come into this?
2	DR. FOLWELL: Pardon me?
3	DR. JEKANOWSKI: You completely lost me.
4	DR. FOLWELL: Okay. If there's a value to
5	this base and everything, then it has to be somehow,
6	this price of hops must be "higher" than what somebody
7	expected, right?
8	DR. JEKANOWSKI: I'm asking the questions now.
9	Technically, you're on the stand, I'm asking questions.
10	DR. FOLWELL: If that occurs, then somehow
11	and I think I estimated in a letter to the USDA once,
12	that the price, the average price of a 12 ounce can or
13	bottle of beer was increased by .0000085 cents per 12
14	ounce container. Okay. Somehow, that money, then, is
15	associated with the value of this base. If that base
16	has value, and if one grower wants to transfer it to
17	another grower for many reasons, diseases, fires, et
18	cetera, reasons they can't grow hops, then the cost of
19	keeping track of that base, the cost of it, the grower
20	should pay to the administrative committee anything in
21	excess of the cost of keeping track of that base, as far
22	as I'm concerned, should be given back to the federal
23	government and used to reduce the federal deficit.
24	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Sir, is this part of the
25	original proposal? Is this
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	DR. FOLWELL: No, that was
2	DR. JEKANOWSKI:something that we can
3	question about, or
4	DR. FOLWELL: That was mine when they wanted
5	to shoot me once. They you know, the idea of giving
6	back to the government some money just wasn't very
7	appealing, but in fact, it's the most equitable way I
8	know of returning the money to society.
9	DR. JEKANOWSKI: So in your opinion,
10	currently, there the money is not equitably
11	distributed?
12	DR. FOLWELL: Giving back to society?
13	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Yeah.
14	DR. FOLWELL: Well, under the old order, no,
15	it wasn't.
16	DR. JEKANOWSKI: And under the proposed order?
17	DR. FOLWELL: I have no idea what's going to
18	happen under the proposed order. I have no idea if it's
19	going to be successful. I hope it is for the sake of
20	the industry, but I don't know. And I don't know what
21	the rules are going to be involving transfers. Or
22	selling of the base.
23	MR. BARTON: Can we go off the record?
24	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yes, let's go off
25	record.

1	***
2	[Off the Record]
3	[On the Record]
4	* * *
5	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right, we're
6	back on record. We went off record at 10:42, it's still
7	10:42.
8	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Are we back I'm sorry, I
9	wasn't paying
10	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: We're on record.
11	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Okay. Thank you. I have
12	nothing further for now, Your Honor. Thank you, Mr.
13	Folwell.
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Dr.
15	Jekanowski. Dr. Tweeten?
16	DR. TWEETEN: Luther Tweeten again. I just
17	wanted to go back for one additional question. On page
18	five, your equation, you're referring here in your
19	production estimate, I assume, to US production?
20	DR. FOLWELL: That's correct.
21	DR. TWEETEN: In a demand equation?
22	DR. FOLWELL: The quantities on the left hand
23	side and the prices on the right, yes.
24	DR. TWEETEN: How about international trade?
25	There are other sources of demand quantity and trade is
<i></i>	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	one of them. Stocks are another. How are these
2	accounted for in your equation?
3	DR. FOLWELL: They're not accounted for. I
4	was just trying to follow the example of what Sparks put
5	forward to indicate the limitations of that equation.
6	DR. TWEETEN: If you had accounted for them,
7	what would you anticipate the effect would be on the
8	elasticity of demand?
9	DR. FOLWELL: I
10	DR. TWEETEN: Do these add to the elasticity
11	or subtract from it?
12	DR. FOLWELL: Add or subtract, do
13	DR. TWEETEN: Do they make the price
14	elasticity demand higher or lower? When you take into
15	account the stock demand and the international trade
16	demand.
17	DR. FOLWELL: The Habuki model, which I
18	referred to earlier, came up with an in-elastics demand,
19	which were less elastic than what I had and that the
20	econometric model did account for international trade
21	and stock demands.
22	DR. TWEETEN: But you didn't answer my
23	question, which was if you would have added that to your
24	equation, what would you anticipate? The effect would
25	based on economic theory.

1	DR. FOLWELL: I don't believe you can take
2	into account all those relationships within a single
3	equation. I would assume that if I were allowed to
4	estimate a complete econometric model or redo the Habuki
5	model, they would come out actually probably less
6	elastic.
7	DR. TWEETEN: I might say that that's in
8	variance with all the economic theory that I'm aware of.
9	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Was that a question
10	or testimony?
11	DR. TWEETEN: That's it. I'm responding to
12	his comment that
13	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay, so you want
14	it
15	DR. TWEETEN:elasticity.
16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: You want to ask
17	him, then, do you agree?
18	DR. TWEETEN: Do you agree that economic
19	theory says that when you include stock demand and
20	international trade demand, that tends to make the
21	demand elasticity greater?
22	DR. FOLWELL: In a single equation, model?
23	DR. TWEETEN: Well, any model.
24	DR. FOLWELL: Well, all I can do, Dr. Tweeten,
25	is refer to the Habuki dissertation and in fact, when we
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	accounted for all those, his estimated elasticity was
2	less elastic than what I thought.
3	DR. TWEETEN: But was it greater when he took
4	into account just the domestic production or when he
5	took into account all?
6	DR. FOLWELL: We did not calculate that.
7	DR. TWEETEN: Thank you.
8	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Dr.
9	Tweeten. Additional questions for Dr. Folwell from
10	those who are here against the proposals? Mr. Carswell?
11	MR. CARSWELL: Dr. Folwell, Matt Carswell. A
12	significant portion of your comments, or your paper,
13	consisted of a critique of the Sparks report and forgive
14	me, I'm a layman, and I'm not sure about that exchange
15	between yourself and Dr. Tweeten, but if I'm not
16	mistaken, did I understand that the results of your
17	equation would be statistically insignificant from
18	the results from the Sparks study?
19	DR. FOLWELL: Would not differ significantly?
20	MR. CARSWELL: Yes, sir.
21	DR. FOLWELL: That's what Dr. Tweeten was
22	talking about in terms of the hypothesis testing.
23	MR. CARSWELL: And did you agree with that, or
24	that there was a strong probability that it would not
25	differ significantly?

ì	DR. FOLWELL: I indicated there was a
2	probability that it would not differ not differ.
3	MR. CARSWELL: Thank you. You mentioned
4	earlier that the Chinese hops were described as
5	something to the effect of vegetative matter, pretty low
6	quality. Is that correct?
7	DR. FOLWELL: Food-grade vegetative matter.
8	MR. CARSWELL: When was that assessment given?
9	DR. FOLWELL: After the termination of the
10	last marketing order, the industry, I believe primarily
11	through Hop Growers of America were still attempting to
12	put together market information, supply and demand
13	production prices, et cetera, in various countries and
14	when they were looking at this, basically, they could
15	not account for all of the hops that were out there in
16	the market. There was a problem in the old marketing
17	order we would've referred to it as a balancing item.
18	MR. CARSWELL: Thank you. That points that
19	balancing item. Thank you. Would it surprise you to
20	learn that a substantial percentage, perhaps a majority,
21	but a substantial percentage of the hops used by Chinese
22	brewers are Chinese-grown hops?
23	DR. FOLWELL: I wouldn't be surprised at that.
24	MR. CARSWELL: Have you kept up with the
25	Chinese hop industry over the last 15, 20 years?
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	DR. FOLWELL: Not other than seeing, you know,
2	what the Hop Growers of America put in their
3	publications.
4	MR. CARSWELL: If you wouldn't mind, could you
5	identify some of the other hop growing regions around
6	the world outside the US?
7	DR. FOLWELL: Germany, Czechoslovakia, England
8	do you want me to just read them here or do you want
9	me to it's from the International Hop Growers
10	Convention, the numbers that were reported there.
11	MR. CARSWELL: That would be great.
12	DR. FOLWELL: Australia, Belgium, Bulgaria,
13	China, the Czech Republic, Germany, France, New Zealand,
14	Poland, Portugal, Russia, Slovenia, Spain, England, the
15	Ukraine, the USA I shouldn't have mentioned those
16	Yugoslavía, South Africa, Austria.
17	MR. CARSWELL: Do you know, in a rough
18	approximation, what percentage of the hops per on a
19	pound basis, are grown in the US?
20	DR. FOLWELL: Here the estimate for 2003 was
21	approximately 54 million pounds in the US and for the
22	total for these countries, it was 239 million.
23	MR. CARSWELL: So that's somewhere south of
24	DR. FOLWELL: 50 percent.
25	MR. CARSWELL: Somewhere south of 30 percent

1	and maybe even
2	DR. FOLWELL: Right.
3	MR. CARSWELL:25 percent?
4	DR. FOLWELL: Correct.
5	MR. CARSWELL: That's it's a little over 25
6	percent, I think. Yeah. And do you have any idea on
7	the percentage of alpha on an alpha basis, the
8	percentage?
9	DR. FOLWELL: No, I don't. Not off the top of
10	my head. I'd have to look it up.
11	MR. CARSWELL: Okay. You mention on page two
12	of your report that it's anticipated that the federal
13	marketing order will, and then point two, increase hop
14	prices in the long run and yet, your report also talks
15	about the near perfect substitutability of German hops.
16	Are there other hops grown in the world that are also
17	near perfect substitutes for American hops?
18	DR. FOLWELL: I would assume so, but I can't
19	name them right now.
20	MR. CARSWELL: Would, say, Saaz hops from the
21	Czech Republic be near perfect substitutes?
22	DR. FOLWELL: I have no idea.
23	MR. CARSWELL: Would rich Alsatian hops be
24	near perfect substitutes?
25	DR. FOLWELL: Do they irrigate them?
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	MD CADONETIA Mag
1	MR. CARSWELL: Yes.
2	DR. FOLWELL: I have no idea.
3	MR. CARSWELL: Considering the fact that 20
4	something percent, on a pound basis we don't know
5	what the alpha we haven't established in the record
6	yet what the alpha percentage is, but considering the 20
7	something percent of the pound basis of hops are grown
8	in the US and 70 something percent are grown outside the
9	US, how would you explain why a restriction in the US
10	applied would result in increased prices in the long
11	run?
12	DR. FOLWELL: Based upon history of what
13	happened in the previous marketing order, and the fact
14	that the nominal price of hops for every year in which
15	that order was in existence either remained stable or
16	increased.
17	MR. CARSWELL: Let's go to that first table
18	that you provided us. Or first chart, I'm sorry.
19	Figure one.
20	DR. FOLWELL: Okay.
21	MR. CARSWELL: On the first we could look
22	at the year '77 through '79. This is during the period
23	of the hop marketing order, correct?
24	DR. FOLWELL: Correct.
25	MR. CARSWELL: And when did the prices showing
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

```
1
       there for those years, what is the range of pricing
2
       there?
                 DR. FOLWELL: Oh, between -- what? About 12,
3
       13, and 14. This is the price of alpha now. It's not
4
5
       the price of hops, which I made reference to in my
       previous answer.
6
7
                 MR. CARSWELL: Yes, sir. And then if you have
       looked for the post-marketing years of 1986 to 1990,
8
9
       what is the range of pricing there?
10
                 DR. FOLWELL: They're slightly higher for the
       price of alpha.
11
12
                 MR. CARSWELL: Is it about $15 per pound and
13
       $19 per pound?
14
                 DR. FOLWELL: Yes, sir.
15
                 MR. CARSWELL: As compared to somewhere below
16
       $13 a pound and $14 a pound.
17
                 DR. FOLWELL: Correct.
18
                 MR. CARSWELL: And then if you look at the
19
       years '91 through 2001, what is the range of prices?
20
                  DR. FOLWELL: Basically, the same as what it
21
       was in the late '80s. From approximately 16 up to about
22
       19.
                 MR. CARSWELL: So the prices between '86 and
23
        2001 were higher than -- from the year '78 through --
24
```

excuse me '77 through '79.

25

1	DR. FOLWELL: For the price of alpha, yes.
2	MR. CARSWELL: And so the history of the hop
3	marketing order, at least for the year '77 through '79
4	would not support higher prices for hops, is that
5	correct? Would not support your hypothesis that prices
6	will increase for hops?
7	DR. FOLWELL: Basically, if you look back at
8	the on page 11, the table, you'll notice that the
9	price of hops in '77, '78, '79 went from .90, .90, .98
10	then jumped up to a \$1.50 after the crop failure in the
11	Hallertau region. So and you can look at previous
12	years and basically, the marketing order had the effect
13	of increasing or stabilizing prices every year. This is
14	the price of hops now I'm talking about in this table,
15	not the price of alpha, which you're referring to in
16	figure one.
17	MR. CARSWELL: You just mentioned after the
18	crop failure the prices increased.
19	DR. FOLWELL: Yes.
20	MR. CARSWELL: So was it the crop failure that
21	resulted in the increased prices or was it being under
22	an HMO?
23	MS. FINN: I believe it was a crop failure,
24	because I even had a hop dealer offer me a contract to
25	raise hops and I live over in Pullman, Washington, where
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

you don't raise hops. 1 MR. CARSWELL: So prices increased because of 2 3 the crop... DR. FOLWELL: The crop failure. 4 5 MR. CARSWELL: Because of the crop failure, not because of the hop marketing order? 6 DR. FOLWELL: 7 Right. MR. CARSWELL: So again how does -- figure one 8 in the information there and also your conclusion that 9 the price increases were caused by a hop [sic] failure. 10 11 How does that support a hypothesis that a new hop 12 marketing order would result in higher prices in the 13 long run? DR. FOLWELL: This would be an objective of 14 the order. I'm not saying it's going to necessarily do 15 it. We just hope that that's an objective that's 16 achievable. 17 MR. CARSWELL: But you don't know if it's 18 19 achievable? DR. FOLWELL: No. If I could predict that, as 20 I indicated earlier, it's like predicting the weather. 21 22 I wouldn't be sitting here. MR. CARSWELL: Mark was mentioning the way 23 that the saleable quantity would be set and base 24 allotment, how it would be set. Do you mind if I try a 25

1	hypothesis and walk through that?
2	DR. FOLWELL: Everything seems to be
3	hypothetical. Go ahead.
4	MR. CARSWELL: I appreciate that. Presume
5	that each grower can choose his best production year
6	from 1997 through 2002 and I believe you've already said
7	this and I'm sorry to retread, but I believe you said
8	that it would be economically logical that each grower
9	would choose their production as the amount that they
10	would use to set their base allotment.
11	DR. FOLWELL: Correct.
12	MR. CARSWELL: So it stands to reason that if
13	you take each grower's very best year, then that's going
14	to result in some percentage over the previous year's
15	total hop production, is that correct?
16	DR. FOLWELL: Correct.
17	MR. CARSWELL: And then if you also take into
18	account that aroma hop growers receive a bump from
19	whatever their alpha percentage is, up to 10 percent,
20	that that's also going to result in an inflation of the
21	base allotment that will be received, is that correct?
22	DR. FOLWELL: Correct.
23	MR. CARSWELL: So would you just take for
24	purposes of the hypothesis that this would result in a
25	40 percent increase in the allotment, base allotment
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	from the previous year production. So we're into 140
2	percent.
3	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Carswell, just
4	so I'm clear on your hypothetical, the production is the
5	production of alpha acid or is it the production of
6	pounds of hops?
7	MR. CARSWELL: Alpha acid, Your Honor. Thank
8	you.
9	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Go
10	ahead.
11	MR. CARSWELL: So that the saleable quantity
12	well, if that were the case, so we're at 140 percent,
13	how much would you estimate the saleable quantity? How
14	much would it need to be cut just to get production at
15	some minimal level below current production?
16	DR. FOLWELL: Well, something less than 140,
17	but as I indicated earlier, to me, it's immaterial
18	whether the saleable percentage is at 200 percent or 50
19	percent.
20	MR. CARSWELL: Well, I'll try to
21	DR. FOLWELL: Without restricting the flow of
22	alpha acid to the market.
23	MR. CARSWELL: Yes, sir, I'll try to explain
24	the materiality in a minute, but if we could just take
25	it a step at a time, that would be I'd appreciate it,

```
1
       Dr. Folwell.
2
                  DR. FOLWELL: Um-hum.
3
                 MR. CARSWELL: How much of a percent in the
4
       cut of saleable quantity would you foresee for there to
5
       be a reduction in the amount of hops sold in the US?
6
       From US producers?
7
                 DR. FOLWELL: To reduce the amount of alpha
8
       acid...
9
                 MR. CARSWELL: Yes, acid...
10
                  DR. FOLWELL: ...it would be something less
11
       than 140 percent, but you know, exactly would depend
12
       upon the projections of the administrative committee and
       what their philosophy was about trying to capture a
13
14
       larger share of the market.
15
                  MR. CARSWELL: Right. And you have to look
       into the future, but just to get below the previous year
16
17
       production, you would need to be somewhere in excess of
18
       40 percent, correct?
19
                  DR. FOLWELL: Of the previous year -- of the
20
       highest year, you mean?
21
                  MR. CARSWELL: No. The cut from the base
       allotment, which we presumed is 140 percent of the
22
23
       previous year, you would need a cut of somewhere north
       of 40 percent to achieve a reduction in the amount of
24
25
       hops sold in the US.
```

1	DR. FOLWELL: If they were attempting to
2	restrict the flow of hops, yes, but
3	MR. CARSWELL: Yes. So if they wanted to cut
4	production, which I understand is kind of the basic idea
5	here, isn't it? To cut production to increase prices
6	over the long run?
7	DR. FOLWELL: I believe, under the enabling
8	legislation, it's against the law to try and control
9	production.
10	MR. CARSWELL: So you don't think that they'll
11	have the authority to control production?
12	DR. FOLWELL: They can influence the supplier
13	response, but they cannot control production.
14	MR. CARSWELL: You don't think the way the hop
15	marketing order would work would be give them the
16	ability to control production by setting the saleable
17	quantity?
18	DR. FOLWELL: I think it influences a supplier
19	response, but I think every grower out there, both a hop
20	grower and non-hop growers would still be free to plant
21	as many acres as they wanted to hops.
22	MR. CARSWELL: Okay. And you're absolutely
23	right, but would they would it be able would in
24	enable the control of the sale of hops?
25	DR. FOLWELL: That's what the allotment is
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	about is to create an orderly flow to the market.
2	MR. CARSWELL: And is it the idea to increase
3	prices, you would have to restrict the amount of hops
4	sold, wouldn't it? Wouldn't you?
5	DR. FOLWELL: With everything else held
6	constant, yes.
7	MR. CARSWELL: Yes. Yes, sir. Now, I'm going
8	to give you a little more of the hypothetical. So we're
9	presuming that you would cut it somewhere in excess of
10	40 percent just to achieve a restriction in the amount
11	of hops sold. That's we're on the same page?
12	DR. FOLWELL: Is that a statement or a
13	question?
14	MR. CARSWELL: Question.
15	DR. FOLWELL: Question? We can start there.
16	MR. CARSWELL: Okay. Now, if you could take
17	grower A, who has had expanding production between '97
18	and 2002, so that his and he hopes to keep his
19	production at least steady, and you have grower B, who
20	has had declining production from '97 to 2002, grower A
21	well, grower B would have if he if grower B is
22	not planning to increase his production, but is planning
23	to stay at his reduced level, grower B is going to have
24	base allotment to sell. Is that accurate?
25	DR. FOLWELL: Depending upon the saleable.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	MR. CARSWELL: And grower A if we could
2	assume, for purposes of this hypothesis that 50 percent
3	reduction in the saleable quantity from the base, so
4	that would reduce from the previous year around 10
5	percent. Grower A would need if he wanted to
6	maintain his supply, he would need to buy base, is that
7	correct?
8	DR. FOLWELL: I think that would depend upon
9	how the initial base is allocated under a possible new
10	marketing order. If they each can pick their highest
11	year
12	MR. CARSWELL: Um-hum.
13	DR. FOLWELL:what you're talking about
14	if he picked the highest year, being the last year of
15	2003 or 2002, I can't remember what you said there and
16	if the saleable was set below 100 percent, then
17	possibly, yes.
18	MR. CARSWELL: So if yeah. If his highest
19	year was 2002 and so he received a base for that amount
20	and the saleable quantity was cut by 50 percent, it
21	would seem that he would need to acquire a base, is
22	that
23	DR. FOLWELL: If he was going to market the
24	same volume of hops.
25	MR. CARSWELL: Yes. If he would either
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	steady or expanding, he would need to acquire a base.
2	The grower who has declined say the grower who has
3	declined has declined from a thousand pounds of alpha
4	acid to 500 pounds of alpha acid and the cut was 50
5	percent, he would not need to acquire a base, is that
6	correct?
7	DR. FOLWELL: Correct.
8	MR. CARSWELL: Or let's even say he's gone
9	down to 10,000 pounds from a I'm sorry, a hundred.
10	I'm sorry, a thousand pounds to 100 pounds, then he
11	would have base allotment to sell, is that correct?
12	DR. FOLWELL: Or to rent or lease out.
13	MR. CARSWELL: I'm not sure, but I think it's
14	just the sale now, I don't think you can rest an
15	investment, but in any event, let's presume he's going
16	to sell. So he sells the 40 percent of his base that he
17	doesn't need because he was at a he could grow 500
18	pounds without alpha acid without paying without
19	having to buy a base, but he's only producing a hundred,
20	so he's got 400 pounds of base that he can sell and
21	grower B buys that.
22	DR. FOLWELL: How
23	MR. CARSWELL: From an economic standpoint,
24	wouldn't this be construed as an additional cost for
25	grower whichever growers, the grower that has to

1	acquire a base
2	DR. FOLWELL: That's grower B.
3	MR. CARSWELL: Yes.
4	DR. FOLWELL: Yes, but I've observed this
5	happening under the old federal marketing order. As a
6	matter of fact, one of the largest hop growers in the
7	Yakima Valley I'll even name him. His name is Dave
8	Wykcoff down by Prosser, he's entered hop production
9	under the old order and he either had to rent, lease, or
10	purchase his base and he currently has a couple thousand
11	acres of hops. So it is possible for entry and to grow
12	in size.
13	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Could you just
14	spell Wykcoff for me?
15	DR. FOLWELL: Oh, my. W-y-c-o-f-f.
16	MR. ROY: There's a k in it.
17	DR. FOLWELL: Is it?
18	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: W-y-k-o-f-f?
19	MR. ROY: $W-y-c-k-o-f-f$.
20	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Oh, that's
21	different. W-y-c-k-o-f-f. Thank you, Mr. Roy. I think
22	we need to take a comfort break, about 10 minutes.
23	Please be back and ready to go back on record at 11:16.
24	***
25	[Off the Record]

i	[On the Record]
2	* * *
3	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: We're back on
4	record now at 11:17. Mr. Carswell, one of your earlier
5	questions, identify the variety of hops grown in the
6	Czech Republic. Could you state the name of that
7	variety and spell it for us?
8	MR. CARSWELL: It's Saaz, and on the spelling
9	I'm going to have to get a little help. I think it's
10	S-a-a-z.
11	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right, anybody
12	have a contrary spelling? Saaz, S-a-a-z. Thank you,
13	Mr. Carswell. Mr. Carswell, you may resume.
14	MR. CARSWELL: Dr. Folwell, I believe we left
15	off that I had asked you whether you would describe the
16	payment for purchase of base fund grower B from grower A
17	to be an additional cost to grower B and you responded
18	with a antidotal reference to a grower who had entered
19	during the previous hop marketing order and who had had
20	to acquire a lease or rent base to do so. But if you
21	could answer my question whether you would describe that
22	as an additional by grower B, I would appreciate it.
23	DR. FOLWELL: If the base had oops.
24	Somebody turned me off. Is that better? If, in fact,
25	the base had value, it would add to his production cost.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	MR. CARSWELL: And I believe you indicated
2	that the hop marketing order would work, then indeed,
3	that base would have value, is that correct?
4	DR. FOLWELL: It could, eventually. But
5	whether it would or not initially is anybody's guess at
6	this point in time.
7	MR. CARSWELL: If it indeed had value and thus
8	resulted in higher cost for grower B, would that place
9	him at a competitive disadvantage vis-à-vis grower A?
10	DR. FOLWELL: That depends upon what grower
11	A's production costs are. They could be completely
12	different from what grower B is because he was much
13	smaller in size, there are economies of size in this
14	industry.
15	MR. CARSWELL: Presuming equal cost going in,
16	would you say that grower B would face a competitive
17	disadvantage versus grower A?
18	DR. FOLWELL: Hypothetically, yes.
19	MR. CARSWELL: If grower A used that payment
20	to reinvest in his hop growing operation, would that
21	exacerbate the competitive disadvantage that grower B
22	would be facing?
23	DR. FOLWELL: I guess my question is how would
24	you reinvest in his hop growing operation
25	MR. CARSWELL: Yes, sir.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	DR. FOLWELL:in terms of what? New poles,
2	new wire?
3	MR. CARSWELL: Equipment. Harvesting
4	equipment. Getting state-of-the-art harvesting
5	equipment, for example.
6	DR. FOLWELL: It could.
7	MR. CARSWELL: With respect to foreign
8	competition, would grower B, with this added cost to his
9	production, be put at a competitive disadvantage versus
10	foreign growers of hops?
11	DR. FOLWELL: Possibly.
12	MR. CARSWELL: Thank you. If we could go back
13	to figure one in your paper and then if you and then
14	on page referring also to page six and seven of your
15	paper, you state at the bottom of page six that "the
16	price data from '66 through '85 when Marketing Order 991
17	was in effect, indicates that the average price of hops
18	increased or remained stable in a fairly regular pattern
19	indicating an orderly market situation." If we could
20	and then well, this is then starting in 1986 to
21	the present, after the order was terminated and I'm
22	quoting your paper still. "The average price of hops
23	has increased and decreased in a roller coaster pattern.
24	Such price swings are not indicative of an orderly
25	marketing situation and often leads to a misallocation
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

```
of resources." If we could then look at figure one --
I
       and I'm looking at the period of the hop marketing order
2
       of '77 through '85 and I don't -- either just as a
3
4
       layman, I don't see a lot of stability there. It seems
5
       like there's wild fluctuations in price.
                  DR. FOLWELL: Well, first of all, you're
6
7
       comparing apples to oranges. Figure one is the price of
       alpha. The -- when I referred to the prices for hops is
8
9
       to Appendix Table Al, which is in the back on page 11.
10
                 MR. CARSWELL: Yes, sir.
                  DR. FOLWELL: That's what I'm referring to.
11
12
       And if you'll notice there, basically from 1965 up
       through 1986 the price of hops went from .45 up to $1 --
13
       well, 2.03. The order was terminated in the first part
14
15
       of '86. After that point in time you can see how the
       price of hops went up and down in a roller coaster
16
17
       fashion.
                 MR. CARSWELL: And from '79 to '85 there's a
18
19
       drastic increase in the price of hops, correct?
20
                  DR. FOLWELL: That was the crop failure in
21
       Europe.
22
                  MR. CARSWELL: So that was not attributable to
23
       marketing order, it was attributable to the crop
24
       failure?
25
                  DR. FOLWELL: I believe so.
```

1	MR. CARSWELL: There's a direct correlation,
2	is there not, between the price of alpha and the price
3	of hops? I mean, growers use the alpha I mean,
4	that's what they buy hops for, aren't [sic] they?
5	DR. FOLWELL: Correct.
6	MR. CARSWELL: So in figure one, where we see
7	between '86 and 2001, you describe this you've
8	described on Table Al the roller coaster pricing, but
9	here it would seem, based on the alpha acid price, that
10	it's been a fairly it's been the pricing changes
11	have been fairly modest between '86 and 2001, doesn't it
12	appear to be that way?
13	DR. FOLWELL: But when I talk about a roller
14	coaster fashion, I'm talking about prices going up and
15	down from year to year, where in fact, during the life
16	of the old marketing order, in fact, they either stayed
17	the same or went up every year.
18	MR. CARSWELL: Well, let's just take a
19	couple
20	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Dr. Folwell, could
21	you get just a little closer to the mike, please?
22	DR. FOLWELL: Yes, ma'am.
23	MR. CARSWELL: If you look at, for example,
24	1988 to 1989, there's a decline of somewhere less than a
25	dollar in prices, is that correct?
	Vouls Standardhia Camilan In-

1	MS. FINN. Are you referring to
2	MR. CARSWELL: Alpha acid. Figure one. I
3	believe alpha hops are traded on an alpha acid basis, if
4	I'm not mistaken.
5	DR. FOLWELL: Correct. And what was your
6	question again?
7	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I'm sorry. You're
8	talking over each other. Was your answer correct, that
9	alpha hops are traded on an alpha acid basis?
10	DR. FOLWELL: Correct. They're buying the
11	alpha acid for the
12	MR. CARSWELL: So between '88 and '89 the
13	price was
14	the price change was marginal, was it not?
15	DR. FOLWELL: Yeah, there was a slight
16	decrease between '88 and '89.
17	MR. CARSWELL: And between it's a little
18	more substantial between '89 and '90. There's an
19	increase from between somewhere south of 16 to up to
20	about 17. Is that correct?
21	DR. FOLWELL: That's correct.
22	MR. CARSWELL: But between 1979 and 1980 the
23	difference was between 14 and \$22, so that was a huge
24	price swing attributable to the crop failure, correct?
25	DR. FOLWELL: Yes.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

I	MR. CARSWELL: And so the Hop Administrative
2	Committee could not, I guess, plan well enough to
3	account for the crop failure? Nobody can do that,
4	right?
5	DR. FOLWELL: Maybe you could. I couldn't.
6	MR. CARSWELL: Do you think that a free market
7	system might be able to plan a little bit better for
8	that as to compared to a centralized committee?
9	DR. FOLWELL: The assumption that this
10	industry would ever return to a competitive market
11	situation given its current structure, I think, is
12	erroneous. And furthermore, I don't think a free market
13	situation, as you would call it here, where there's no
14	interference which you called a central committee here
15	could ever project that market failure nor dampen these
16	price swings that occur. That was a result of a crop
17	failure, it was not the result of the actions of any
18	statistical committee or the Hop Administrative
19	Committee.
20	MR. CARSWELL: If you could go back to page
21	one of your report, you note, and I quote, "thus, while
22	there has been increasing concentration on the hop
23	growers on the supply side of the market, there has been
24	even further concentration in the number of dealers on
25	the demand side of the market." And that's a reference
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	to growers going from 211 producers down to 70 and the
2	number of buyers or dealers in hops decreasing from nine
3	to four. Then you say that "these trends suggest that
4	there has been increasing market power on the demand
5	side of the market relative to the supply side with
6	producers losing market power." Hasn't there also been
7	an increase in direct purchasing by brewers such as my
8	company?
9	DR. FOLWELL: Yes. That was one of the things
10	I predicted with the termination of the last order.
11	MR. CARSWELL: Is that a good or a bad thing?
12	DR. FOLWELL: They form a vertical integration
13	in the sense that it's taking one more pricing point out
14	of the marketing channels. Some economists would say
15	it's not necessarily a good thing.
16	MR. CARSWELL: But we constitute another
17	buyer, correct?
18	DR. FOLWELL: That's correct.
19	MR. CARSWELL: So there's a that gets us at
20	least to five buyers at this point, correct?
21	DR. FOLWELL: Oh, correct.
22	MR. CARSWELL: And aren't there other brewers
23	who are buying direct? I believe Coors, aren't they
24	buying direct?
25	DR. FOLWELL: And some of the micro-breweries.

1	MR. CARSWELL: Are foreign any foreign
2	brewers buying
3	DR. FOLWELL: I have no knowledge of that.
4	MR. CARSWELL: Okay. So it's we've had a
5	consolidation of from 211 to 70, so we've had a we
6	have a third of the growers and we have at least six or
7	more buyers, so I would you said there's been even
8	further concentration of the number of you say dealers,
9	but if you look at it from the standpoint of buyers,
10	there's really been more concentration on the growers'
11	side than there has been on the buyers' side, isn't that
12	accurate?
13	DR. FOLWELL: That depends upon how you want
14	to measure the degree of concentration. You basically -
15	- you know, at one point in time there was [sic] 12
16	dealers, actually, in the hop market here in the United
17	States and if you looked at the market share each of
18	them had, it would be a lot smaller than what the market
19	share would be when we've only got four or five or
20	whatever you want to call in terms of buyers today.
21	MR. CARSWELL: Well, we've established there's
22	at least six buyers.
23	DR. FOLWELL: You've established, I didn't.
24	MR. CARSWELL: Well
25	DR. FOLWELL: That's correct. I mean, if you
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

```
Ţ
       want to separate yourself...
                  MR. CARSWELL: Separate.
2
                  DR. FOLWELL: Right.
3
4
                  MR. CARSWELL: Well, shouldn't we count
5
       ourselves, or...
6
                  DR. FOLWELL: I suppose you should. I
7
       wouldn't argue with that.
                  MR. CARSWELL: Okay. With respect to -- I'm
8
       just going to go back to China for just one more minute,
9
10
       okay?
                  DR. FOLWELL: Okay.
11
                  MR. CARSWELL: Based on your knowledge of
12
       China and the hop industry, would it surprise you that
13
14
       the vast majority of hops grown in China -- excuse me,
       of the hops used by Chinese brewers are grown in China?
15
                  DR. FOLWELL: You asked that question before
16
17
       and I said I would not be surprised.
                  MR. CARSWELL: Actually, I said a substantial
18
       percentage...
19
20
                  DR. FOLWELL: Um-hum.
21
                  MR. CARSWELL: ...now I'm asking about a vast
22
       majority. I'm sorry.
23
                  DR. FOLWELL: Well, I can't quantify either
24
       one of those terms.
                  MR. CARSWELL: Would it surprise you that --
25
                          York Stenographic Services, Inc.
```

1	I'm sorry. Have you ever heard of Tsing Tao Brewery?
2	The Chinese brewery?
3	DR. FOLWELL: No, I haven't.
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Would you spell
5	that for us, please?
6	MR. CARSWELL: I believe I'm sorry, Your
7	Honor. I believe it's T-s-i-n-g D-a-o. But I've been
8	corrected. It's T-s-i-n-g and then the separate word T-
9	a-o.
10	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Tsing Dao? Just
11	like it sounds.
12	MR. CARSWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
13	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I'm joking.
14	MR. CARSWELL: Would it surprise to learn that
15	Tsing Tao is one of the largest brewers in China?
16	DR. FOLWELL: It wouldn't surprise me, but I
17	have no knowledge of it.
18	MR. CARSWELL: And would it surprise you if
19	Anheuser-Busch has a substantial interest of 20 plus
20	percent interest in Tsing Tao?
21	DR. FOLWELL: I have no knowledge of that.
22	MR. CARSWELL: And would it finally surprise
23	you if you learned that Tsing Tao exclusively uses
24	Chinese hops in its brewing operations?
25	DR. FOLWELL: It's entirely possible. I have
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	no knowledge of it.
2	MR. CARSWELL: Thank you, Dr. Folwell. That's
3	all the questions I have.
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
5	Carswell. Before I go to the next questioner, I just
6	want to go back to your statement about the competitive
7	market with regard to hops. Do you consider, Dr.
8	Folwell, that the existing market is a competitive
9	market for hops?
10	DR. FOLWELL: No.
11	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: And why is that?
12	DR. FOLWELL: Basically because the amount of
13	market power on the producers' side, the individual
14	producers here, is a lot less than it is on the buyers'
15	side.
16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. All
17	right, the next questioner would that be you, Mr.
18	Moody?
19	MR. MOODY: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
20	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. You're
21	welcome.
22	* * *
23	CROSS EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. MOODY:
25	Q. Dr. Folwell, you described the you York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	abanatorized the approach has deduced
1	characterized the current hop industry as chaotic? Is
2	that correct?
3	A. Chaotic in the sense that it's not stable
4	in terms of the number of producers.
5	Q. Do you believe that had the marketing
6	order continued to remain in place since '85, that it
7	should have been administered in such a way as to keep
8	the number of producers relatively constant?
9	A. No, it's a fact of life in agriculture
10	that sometimes to survive you have to get larger, but in
11	this particular case there was [sic] a lot hop yards
12	that were laid idle. As a matter of fact, they're still
13	sitting out there idle, so the number of producers has
14	declined the lack of a market.
15	Q. All right. Is there anything that the
16	marketing order could do or even should do to keep
17	producers well, 211 I guess was your number to
18	keep 211 producers in business?
19	
	A. Not necessarily to keep 211 producers in
20	business, but there is the possibility of the hop
21	marketing order or the proposed order here, of creating
22	an orderly marketing situation so that the price is high
23	enough or at a level at which an average to a below
24	average size producer could remain in production.
25	Q. All right. And is it is that one of
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	the intents of the order is to keep producers in
2	business, otherwise, a competitive market or go out of
3	business?
4	A. No. The intent is to create an orderly
5	marketing situation.
6	Q. All right. But back to my question.
7	A. I answered it.
8	Q. Okay. So it's not intended to have any
9	impact on the number of producers?
10	A. Not necessarily, no.
11	Q. How about is it intended to have any
12	impact on the number of dealers?
13	A. Not that I'm aware of.
14	Q. I want to I'm very interested in your
15	comment there were only four buyers. And I want to
16	first talk about Yakima Chief. Are you familiar with
17	that operation?
18	A. Somewhat.
19	Q. Are you aware that they or, take hops
20	on a consignment basis?
21	A. I don't know what the arrangements are,
22	but I do know they take hops.
23	Q. All right. And to the extent they take
24	hops on a consignment basis, then Yakima Chief would not

be regarded as a buyer?

25

1	A. If, in fact, they're if it's strictly
2	a consignment and they're just doing sort of a
3	customized processing operation for a grower or
4	something, I really wouldn't consider them a buyer, in
5	that case.
6	Q. All right, then wouldn't you have to
7	count all of Yakima Chief's customers as part of the
8	buying group?
9	A. I don't quite follow your question here.
10	Your logic.
11	Q. Well, if Yakima Chief is taking hops on a
12	consignment basis, wouldn't it be correct to count all
13	of Yakima Chief's buyers as part of what you'd count as
14	the buying group?
15	A. You'd have to define for me what you mean
16	by all of their buyers. You're talking about the people
17	who consign hops to them to be sold?
18	Q. No, but that would be the growers.
19	A. That's right.
20	Q. You indicated that there were have
21	been an undue concentration on the buying side
22	A. Um-hum.
23	Qof the industry. I want to explore
24	that. And the number of buyers have gotten down, like
25	you said, to four major buyers. I want to test that

1	figure. And my question is wouldn't we have to count
2	all of Yakima Chief's buyers, principally brewers, as
3	part of the buying group in examining the level of
4	concentration?
5	A. I don't believe that that's exactly the
6	way the growers would look at the marketing channels
7	they face, that would just be considered Yakima Chies
8	would be considered a buyer.
9	Q. Okay, but you just told me a minute ago
10	that they're you said if they received consignment
11	hops they would not be regarded as a buyer.
12	A. I don't know all the business
13	arrangements they have with people they receive hops
14	from.
15	* * *
16	MR. MOODY: All right. Are you familiar with
17	Janicke?
18	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Would you spell
19	that for us, Mr. Moody?
20	MR. MOODY: J-a-n-i-c-k-e.
21	DR. FOLWELL: I've heard of them.
22	* * *
23	BY MR. MOODY:
24	Q. Do you count them as a buyer?
25	A. Yes.

_	1	Q. And how about Kalsek, K-a-1-s-e-k?
	2	A. I've not heard of them.
	3	Q. How about John I. Haas?
	4	A. I have heard of them.
	5	Q. Do you count them as a buyer?
	6	A. Yes.
	7	***
	8	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: And would you spell
	9	that for us, too?
	10	MR. MOODY: John, like it is, I., middle
	11	initial, Haas, H-a-a-s.
	12	***
	13	BY MR. MOODY:
	14	Q. S.S. Steiner?
	15	A. Yes.
	16	Q. You count them as a buyer?
	17	A. Yes.
	18	***
	19	MR. MOODY: Anheuser-Busch?
	20	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Would you spell
	21	Steiner for us?
	22	***
	23	BY MR. MOODY:
	24	Q. S-t-e-i-n-e-r. Anheuser-Busch?
_	25	A. With their direct contracting, yes.
		York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	Q. You count them as a buyer. And Coors, I
2	think you indicated that you count them as a buyer, as
3	well?
4	A. Correct.
5	Q. Yakima Chief I'm still not quite clear
6	on whether you count them as a buyer or not. They
7	receive consignment hops.
8	A. I'm not sure I would count them as a
9	buyer at this time. I'd have to know more about their
10	business arrangements with who they're receiving hops
11	from.
12	Q. Lupex? L-u-p-e-x.
13	A. I'm trying to remember I'm not sure
14	about them.
15	MR. BARTON: Can we go off record a moment?
16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yes. Do you need
17	to change the tape?
18	***
19	[Off the Record]
20	[On the Record]
21	***
22	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right, we're
23	back on at 11:39. Mr. Moody?
24	***
25	BY MR. MOODY:
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1		Q.	Okay, we were talking about Lupex, Dr.
2	Folwell.		
3		Α.	I have no knowledge of them.
4		Q.	Lupofresh? L-u-p-o-f-r-e-s-h.
5		Α.	I believe they purchased hops in the
6	past.		
7		Q.	Do you count them as a buyer?
8		Α.	If they're still in the business.
9		Q.	Hesselberger? H-e-s-s-e-l-b-e-r-g-e-r.
10		Α.	I have no knowledge of them.
11		Q.	Sierra Nevada?
12		Α.	I have no knowledge of them.
13		Q.	Anchor Brewing Company?
14		Α.	I have no idea how they acquire their
15	hops or ex	ktract	tor pellets.
16		Q.	Hop Union [ph]?
17		Α.	I don't what their business arrangements
18	are right	now,	to be honest with you.
19		Q.	How would you count the 900 or so micro-
20	breweries	that	previous witnesses testified to? Would
21	you count	them	as buyers?
22		Α.	They're eventual end-users of hops. How
23	they actua	ally a	acquire their hops, I'm not sure.
24		Q.	If they acquire them directly from
25	producers	or o	n a consignment basis from something like

1	Yakima Chief or Hop Union, would you count them as
2	buyers?
3	A. Possibly.
4	Q. All right. A couple of questions about
5	China. I may be pronouncing this wrong, but Xin Jing,
6	X-i-n J-i-n-g, are you familiar with that region in
7	China?
8	A. No, I'm not.
9	Q. Or the Western China Hop Company?
10	A. I no. I only am aware of the fact
11	that quite a few of the hops are produced in western
12	China.
13	Q. Are you aware of the fact that they
14	recently installed a state-of-the-art palletizing
15	operation?
16	A. No, I'm not.
17	Q. Are you aware of the fact that they
18	recently starting planting the high alpha varieties of
19	hops, the TCT [ph] varieties?
20	A. No, I'm not.
21	Q. And that they are you aware of the
22	variety of hops known as Marco Polo?
23	A. No.
24	Q. Are you aware of the fact that there's
25	been in recently, in the last two or three years,

1	some expansion some brewers have expanded their
2	operations as well as new brewers have entered the
3	industry?
4	A. I'm aware of the some expansion, I
5	believe, in northern Idaho by a brewer.
6	Q. All right. And some expansion in
7	Washington State, as well?
8	A. I don't have any great knowledge of that
9	Q. Well, wouldn't that be a sign of health,
10	economic health of an industry if there is expansion
11	going on and new investment?
12	A. From a with the brewer making the
13	investment, I consider that backwards integration.
14	Q. All right, but what
15	A. And replacing what I would call consider
16	traditional hop producing.
17	Q. All right, what about buying
18	A. Excuse me? I don't understand your
19	question.
20	Q. Brewers are expanding that are not owned
21	by brewers. Would you consider a sign of health in the
22	industry?
23	A. Possibly. If that's the best use of
24	their resources.
25	Q. You indicated you believe prices have
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	been more variable since termination of the marketing
2	order? Is that correct?
3	A. I believe that they've been more on a
4	roller coaster pattern than they were before, when we
5	had the marketing order.
6	Q. Isn't some degree of price variability
7	useful in terms of sending expansion and contracts
8	singles to an industry?
9	A. Except when they become extremely
10	significant and then the problem that occurs here is
11	that when growers are attempting to obtain financing for
12	their operations, sometimes bankers are not willing to
13	loan the money to these growers.
14	Q. Do you have an opinion of what level of
15	variability in this industry constitutes an unreasonable
16	fluctuation in supply or price?
17	A. Not here today, I don't.
18	Q. Have you done a variability analysis pre
19	and post termination of the old order?
20	A. No, it's just been observation of the
21	price trends that occurred as is shown in Appendix Table
22	1.
23	Q. All right. I notice in your appendix,
24	those appear to be nominal dollars, not constant
25	dollars?

1	A. That's correct.
2	Q. Any particular reason you didn't convert
3	those to constant dollars?
4	A. If I had converted them to constant
5	dollars, there would be some variation up and down in
6	terms of those prices, but given the fact that producers
7	respond to nominal prices when they're making production
8	decisions and not real prices or deflated prices, I
9	didn't.
10	Q. You indicated that the overall industry
11	had been overoptimistic in making its demand projection
12	and overly pessimistic in making its supply projection,
13	is that correct?
14	A. I would say that they had a philosophy of
15	always trying to increase their market share worldwide
16	in terms of trying to say that they thought the sales or
17	the consumption would be there, yes.
18	Q. And what given your observation, what
19	effect did your observation have on the committee
20	setting of the saleable?
21	A. Basically, I thought they often set the
22	saleable a little bit higher than they could've. In the
23	situation existing under the old order, there was a
24	dealers advisory committee to the Hop Administrative
25	Committee. They were non-voting members. They sat at a
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	different table, just like we are here, and they would
2	often make projections where they trying to increase the
3	availability of hops much more than what the HAC did. I
4	thought that the brewers or the brewers the
5	dealers' projection were way too high in terms of the
6	availability of hops, which they wanted, where the HAC's
7	was more in line to create an orderly marketing process.
8	Q. So in you view, should the saleable have
9	been set lower under the old order?
10	A. No, not necessarily, because they had a
11	basic philosophy throughout, if you go back and read the
12	minutes of the meeting, that they constantly wanted to
13	increase their market share around the world and you
14	can't increase your market share unless you've got a
15	product to sell.
16	Q. I'm trying to understand the connection
17	between your observation and the saleable. I mean, it
18	you think the saleable was set correctly under the
19	old order?
20	A. Given their philosophy of trying to
21	increase their market share, yes.
22	Q. From an agricultural economist's point of
23	view, is that the correct
24	A. I don't think it was unacceptable at
25	which they were attempting what they were attempting York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	to do in terms of trying to increase the sales
2	worldwide.
3	Q. Now you also, I think, mentioned the term
4	greed, that the committee got greedy at some point in
5	time?
6	A. Not the committee. I think everybody in
7	the hop industry had a certain amount of greed surface
8	in their behavior.
9	Q. Okay, and what effect did that have on
10	setting the saleable?
11	A. For the official saleable, it was
12	published in the Federal Register, but what happened was
13	that a few hop growers actually, a few, I should say.
14	I can't give you an exact number, but at least one hop
15	grower I know of went out and sold his entire base and
16	then came back to the administrative committee and says
17	give me more base for nothing. And the answer was no.
18	Q. All right. Did this greed amongst
19	members of the industry cause the saleable to be set too
20	high?
21	A. Not for what was published in the Federal
22	Register. I think there was an expectation on the part
23	of the growers beyond when the saleable percentage was
24	published in the Federal Register that it would not be
25	cut back on and a lot of growers went out and signed

1	multiple-year fixed-price contracts, which were at the
2	saleable set for the particular marketing year. I
3	believe it got up to 130 percent and growers were
4	basically going out and signing contracts at 130 percent
5	saleable when, in fact, if one would sit back and look
6	at the projections of supply and demand beyond one year
7	and realizing that the Hallertau region and other areas
8	in Europe were going to come back into production, that
9	that market demand was not going to be there.
10	Q. Could you describe this Sun River
11	agreement?
12	A. I didn't know there was an agreement. I
13	was there at Sun River in October of '79.
14	Q. Okay. And what's your understanding of
15	what occurred there?
16	A. At that particular administrative
17	committee meeting in October of '79, the saleable
18	percentage was recommended to the Secretary of
19	Agriculture through his representative to the committee
20	that it be set at 130 percent. There was discussion
21	about the fact that they hoped that the market would
22	continue at that level in future beyond what they were
23	setting the saleable for that particular marketing year.
24	Q. And wasn't there a general understanding
25	that the saleable would be kept at that level for the

1	next few years?
2	A. I don't well, there was talk of it,
3	let's put it that way, but there could be nothing
4	officially on those lines.
5	Q. And a lot of brewers expanded their
6	production in response to the higher saleable?
7	A. They did, because of the prices and the
8	contracts that dealers were offering.
9	Q. All right
10	A. And that's when the acreage went up to 50
11	some thousand acres here in the US and then realizing
12	that the market demand was not there there's, you know,
13	20 some thousand acres have been idle, and that's a big
14	misallocation of resources as I indicated earlier.
15	Q. Now did that result in an oversupply and
16	an increase in the carry-in percentages?
17	A. In terms of the stocks, yes. Stock
18	levels started increasing.
19	Q. And do you have a view as to what the
20	desired level of carry-in is?
21	A. That has changed over time. When we're
22	not in this world of alpha and we're talking about aroma
23	hops, et cetera, basically the desirable level of stocks
24	was approximately two years. The brewers wanted to make
25	sure that they had an adequate supply of hops, even if

I	there was a crop fallure. So there was sort of a target
2	there of having at least two years on hand in case some
3	disease, pest, or something else would invade the
4	industry. With the introduction of the high alpha
5	varieties, and I should say simultaneously, all of this
6	happened at the same time, the concern about the
7	production of alpha instead of the production of pounds
8	of hops, that was all changing at the same time, from
9	the late '70s into the early '80s, and all at once now
10	we had products with new technology where the hops were
11	storable for more than just a year and still had
12	adequate characteristics for brewing. So there was a
13	change in philosophy about the level of stocks we
14	actually needed. And the stock level went because or
15	the alpha varieties and because of the processing, the
16	palletizing the extract, went much higher than was
17	probably needed by the industry.
18	Q. It occurred during the mid-'80s?
19	A. Correct.
20	Q. Carry-in got too high?
21	A. Correct.
22	Q. Okay. Well, what was at that time,
23	what was the desirable level of carry-in? Two years?
24	A. No. I think it was something less than
25	that. It was probably down closer to one year.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	Q.	Okay. Now, has that changed since then?
2	Α.	Probably not much. I mean, in terms of
3	the one year.	That's probably a reasonable level of
4	stocks.	
5	Q.	And you indicated that the spot market
6	price jumped q	uickly from .75 cents a pound to \$6 a
7	pound?	
8	Α.	Yes, it did.
9	Q.	And what percentage of the hops were sold
10	on the spot ma	rket?
11	Α.	A very small percentage. There weren't
12	that many real	ly available out there, but this was the
13	price signal t	hat the producers were receiving and
14	that's what th	ney responded to.
15	Q.	All right. Like under five percent?
16	Α.	I would probably say less than 10
17	percent. I do	on't know if it was five percent. It was
18	probably less	than 10 percent.
19	Q -	All right. So that spike in the spot
20	price didn't a	affect the contract price, though?
21	Α.	The contract prices went up after the Sun
22	River meeting.	
23	Q.	And
24	A.	The multiple-year contracts and the
25	prices offered	d with those multiple-year contracts went
		York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	up.
2	Q. Now, is that a result of the crop
3	failure, or is it a result of the agreements at Sun
4	River?
5	A. I think it was more a function of the
6	crop failure and the fact that, in particular, I don't
7	know why, but why the industry felt that Europe would
8	not come back was false because if hop production was
9	the highest and best use of those resources in Europe,
10	even though they had a crop failure, those producers
11	were going to come back into hop production. They
12	weren't going to switch over to wine grapes or
13	something.
14	Q. All right. Now, hasn't the technology
15	changed since the end of the old order to make hops much
16	more storable in terms of being stored as alpha acid
17	than in terms of just leaf hops?
18	A. Yes, sir.
19	Q. And how has that affected the market?
20	A. Well for one thing, they're now pricing
21	at an alpha instead of just, you know, pounds per
22	pounds of hops in general. It's also reduced the need
23	for having two years of carry-in or stocks or hand.
24	There's been, you know, multiple changes there.
25	Q. But doesn't isn't the storability of a
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	commodity like hops a market mechanism to provide
2	stability and reduce risk?
3	A. Would you say that again?
4	Q. Isn't the storability of a commodity like
5	hops a free market mechanism that can reduce instability
6	and risk?
7	A. It can. There is [sic] many demands for
8	stocks, both by brewers as well as people speculating in
9	the market, et cetera, so there's lots of reasons and
10	different demands for holding stocks.
11	* * *
12	MR. MOODY: All right
13	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Moody, just so
14	the typist gets this right, you've been using the term
15	carry-in?
16	MR. MOODY: Yes.
17	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: And you would spell
18	that c-a-r-r-y - i-n?
19	MR. MOODY: Yes.
20	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. And
21	that's what you said, also, Doctor?
22	DR. FOLWELL: Um-hum.
23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. Go
24	ahead.
25	***

1	BY MR. MOODY:
2	Q. All right. So the ability of the
3	industry since the termination of the old order to store
4	hops at in the form of alpha acid is a stabilizing
5	feature of the free market?
6	A. It's a stabilizing feature of the
7	industry, that's correct.
8	Q. All right. And what waste of hops has
9	been occurring since termination of the old order?
10	A. Waste?
11	Q. Yes.
12	A. I'm not sure what you mean by waste.
13	Q. Well, sometimes when people speak of
14	mechanic marketing conditions, they end up talking about
15	waste of a commodity, it's thrown out or disposed of or
16	used as salvage.
17	A. Um-hum. What amount of I think
18	there's been a trend towards only harvesting those hops
19	which are have enough alpha in order to justify the
20	processing the costs. If you want to consider
21	un-harvested acreage as waster, that would be one
22	example.
23	Q. But isn't that a rational decision to
24	make by the farmer who didn't necessarily have a
25	contract for those hops?

1	A. Not necessarily didn't have a contract,
2	but at the time of harvest, the hops didn't have the
3	characteristics that would justify the process.
4	Q. Do you have examples of that happening
5	recently?
6	A. Yeah, I can take you out here on the
7	highway at Bean Road [ph] and show you a hop yard that
8	wasn't harvested this year.
9	Q. Okay. And is but it was the producer
10	who made that decision. Made it on the basis of
11	deciding to save some money.
12	A. Of not incurring the cost of processing
13	and because of the low alpha.
14	Q. That's correct. Presumably he didn't
15	have a contract?
16	A. I don't know if the individual had a
17	contract or not for those hops.
18	Q. All right. Could it also have been a
19	baby production year?
20	A. The you're referring to baby hops,
21	when in fact, we used to get a partial crop in the first
22	year, I'm not sure of that.
23	Q. In preparing your testimony, did you work
24	out any scenario as to how the saleable would be set had
25	the order been placed, say, starting in 2000 to examine
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	the economic effects of how the order would operate?
2	A. No, sir.
3	Q. Had it been in operation since 2000,
4	would the inventories, carry-in inventories, had been
5	lower, in your view?
6	A. Possibly. It would depend upon what
7	arrangements were made for producers to put the hops
8	into a reserve or whatever. It's a hypothetical
9	question, because I don't know how the order would've
10	operated from 2000 forward.
11	Q. Well, to the extent the carry-ins
12	would've been lower, isn't it true that the industry
13	would've given up its profit opportunity because of the
14	current crop failure in Germany?
15	A. That's possible, but there's a cost
16	associated with carry-in inventories to take advantage
17	of those opportunities and most people who have a demand
18	a speculative demand for carry-ins or stocks, I would
19	say in most industries are a definite minority and there
20	wouldn't be a great opportunity for the industry,
21	overall, to enjoy that opportunity.
22	Q. Do you have a projection of what the
23	proper value of base should be?
24	A. No, I don't.
25	Q. Do you recall, under the old order, what

1	how expensive base got?
2	A. The highest price I ever heard of and
3	of course, these were never recorded or anything else,
4	this was probably over a bottle of beer after an HAC
5	meeting was that I heard prices of \$2 a pound.
6	Q. All right. And then express those on an
7	alpha acid basis for a high-yielding variety, say 15
8	percent. Wouldn't that be \$30 a pound?
9	A. It could be.
10	Q. All right, and so the price of base,
11	to set it that high, would be equal to the entire cost
12	of sale of that pound of alpha acid in a given year.
13	A. It could be, yes.
14	Q. All right. And to the extent a grower
15	had to acquire a base in order to sell his hops,
16	wouldn't that tend to discourage innovation?
17	A. It could, but at the same time we had
18	entry of new growers and we also had existing growers
19	who were going out and buying base and selling hops.
20	Q. Since the old order was terminated,
21	haven't there been a variety of technological
22	innovations in the industry?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Including the development of higher alpha
25	acid varieties?

1	A. Correct.
2	Q. And the installation of new extracting
3	plants?
4	A. Correct.
5	Q. And improved palletizing equipment?
6	A. Correct.
7	Q. And all these occurred under the free
8	market?
9	A. All these occurred under the industry
10	that exists right now. I don't know if you want to call
11	it a free market.
12	Q. All right. Hasn't the by reducing
13	acreage, hasn't the industry largely adjusted to the
14	drop in brewer demand for hops?
15	A. I would say yes. There's been a major
16	adjustment in this industry, with fewer growers and
17	fewer acres.
18	Q. And one of the opponent's witnesses
19	testified last week that in his view, the industry was,
20	at least at the moment, in '03, in balance? Would you
21	agree with that?
22	A. No.
23	Q. And why's that?
24	A. Because basically the price of hops is so
25	low right now that I would say there's an oversupply
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	situation.
2	Q. And how is that oversupply expressed? It
3	is expressed in carry-ins that are too high?
4	A. Carry-ins are too high and prices are too
5	low.
6	Q. Well, what is the current price for hops?
7	A. Today?
8	Q. Yes.
9	A. I don't know. I know of hops during this
10	past year, some of them were selling down between .25
11	and .50 cents a pound.
12	Q. And given the crop failure in Germany,
13	has the price gone up?
14	A. It could. I don't follow the hop market
15	daily.
16	***
17	MR. MOODY: I think that's all for now.
18	Thanks, Dr. Folwell.
19	DR. FOLWELL: Thank you.
20	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Other questions for
21	those from those who are here in a position against
22	the proposals? Mr. Carswell?
23	MR. CARSWELL: Just a couple of quick
24	questions. You mentioned between the two of you, that
25	on an alpha basis, if the base was \$2 a pound for 15

1	percent alpha, that it could be the purchase of base,
2	it could be \$30 a pound for the acquisition of base?
3	DR. FOLWELL: I assume Mr. Moody was taking
4	two times 15.
5	MR. CARSWELL: Wouldn't that result in a very
6	large competitive disadvantage with respect to a near
7	perfect substitute coming in from or not coming in,
8	but competing with a near perfect substitute from, say,
9	Germany?
10	DR. FOLWELL: It would appear to on the
11	surface, but yet I observed growers during the last
12	marketing order go out and pay the equivalent for base
13	of what the hops were selling for in dollars per pound.
14	MR. CARSWELL: When were they doing that?
15	What years are we talking about?
16	DR. FOLWELL: Oh, I would say probably '80,
17	'81, '82, sometime in there.
18	MR. CARSWELL: So wasn't that in response to
19	the German crop failure? Is that what made that
20	profitable?
21	DR. FOLWELL: It was in response to the spike
22	in the price that occurred in what the dealers were
23	offering to the growers.
24	MR. CARSWELL: Thank you, sir.
25	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Dr. Folwell, is the
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	global market today considerably different from what it
2	was 20 years ago?
3	DR. FOLWELL: I would yeah, I would say so.
4	There's a for instance, the entry of China into hop
5	production and actually exporting what I referred to as
6	food-grade vegetative matter to the industry here. The
7	European economy has changed. The politics over there
8	have changed. The setting of agricultural policy has
9	changed, so yes, you know, things have changed. The
10	world has not stood still.
11	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: There's been
12	mention that we might take official notice of the
13	contents of the hearing that led to the termination of
14	the old order. Do you believe there are so many
15	variables between then and now that it would be
16	difficult for that information to be useful or do you
17	think there is use for it?
18	DR. FOLWELL: Well, I'm not quite sure what
19	information you're referring to at the time. I think
20	that one of things that occurred that was not
21	necessarily a good thing was that the industry was
22	supposed to be working in partnerships with the USDA and
23	the Secretary of Agriculture and that became an
24	adversary-type relationship. The industry was sort of
25	I don't know how to exactly say this, but they were

I	sort of frustrated, sort of stressed out over the
2	position that the federal government was taking about
3	federal marketing orders with producer allotments.
4	There was a lot of press releases that started an anti-
5	marketing move at that time. For instance, in Time
6	magazine there was an article which had a huge pile of
7	oranges in California and they were saying it was
8	because of these marketing orders that those oranges
9	were not being sent to the needy kids in New York City.
10	Well, the truth of the matter was those oranges were
11	hardly suitable for even processing, let alone shipping
12	to a fresh market. There was just, at that point in
13	time, seemed to be a lot of anti-marketing type behavior
14	going on in the US and it created a level of frustration
15	which was interesting to observe.
16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: And so there was a
17	lot of sentiment against efforts to influence supply?
18	DR. FOLWELL: I believe there was, yes.
19	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: And do you have any
20	statistical information for how much supply what
21	percentage of supply needs to be available to be
22	influenced for it to have an impact in the market?
23	DR. FOLWELL: In terms of depressing the
24	market prices, I think that any time that you have a
25	production level in a given year and the carry-in stocks
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	that are not sufficient to meet the demands in the
2	market, both domestically and internationally, that it's
3	going to have an impact on price. There's just no doubt
4	about it. If that overall supply in terms of from
5	domestic production, from stocks as well as imports,
6	fall short of what the demand is, there's going to be an
7	increase in price and vice versa. If the demand
8	components, in terms of the domestic demand for hops,
9	the export demand for hops, the demand for hops in
10	storage exceeds the supply, you're going to see a major
11	increase in price.
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: If the US controls
13	or rather, excuse me, if the US supplies 50 percent
14	of the world supply of hops, the influence, then, on
15	price could be substantial.
16	DR. FOLWELL: If, in fact, our market share
17	was that high, but it's really not. We talked earlier -
18	- there was about in 2003 at least, as of, I don't
19	know, August 5, they were talking about 54 million
20	pounds from the US and worldwide it was 239 million
21	pounds. So we're not 50 percent of the world hop
22	industry.
23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Have you given any
24	thought to what impact on the marketing order proposal
25	it would cause if aroma hops were exempted from its
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	provisions?
2	DR. FOLWELL: No, not I really haven't. I
3	mean, the concern has, you know, has been stated to me
4	in other places has been the alpha hops, the varieties
5	high in alpha. But I think that the you know, part
6	of this picture is also the aroma hops. As one dealer
7	said to me one time in Portland during a meeting, he
8	says you know, he says, you can't even tell what variety
9	of hops went into a beer into most beers because most
10	of them are pasteurized. So yes, a hop unless, you
11	know, if you have un-pasteurized beer, is different.
12	But a hop tends to be a hop in terms of its alpha acids
13	and it's ability to flavor malted beverages.
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: And so the
15	distinctiveness of an aroma hop is lost in
16	pasteurization?
17	DR. FOLWELL: In terms of the variety it is.
18	In terms of the taste of the beer, in terms of the
19	hopping ratio that the particular brewer, brew master,
20	uses, I think most experts in the beer industry can tell
21	you approximately, you know, how many pounds of hops
22	were used per barrel of beer, et cetera. But in terms
23	of the actual variety, not necessarily.
24	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: And is there any
25	use of hops other than in brewing beer that you're aware
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	of?
2	DR. FOLWELL: A little bit of pharmaceutical
3	use and I have one. It's a good weed killer at times,
4	too. I've watched a hop vine kill weeds if you lay it
5	down next to them.
6	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you.
7	Additional questions from those who are here in a
8	position against the market order proposals? There
9	being none, I'd now like to turn to those who are in a
10	neutral position, beginning with representatives of
11	USDA. Dr. Hinman?
12	DR. HINMAN: Good afternoon, Dr. Folwell.
13	DR. FOLWELL: Hello, Don.
14	DR. HINMAN: Well, the first two questions
15	actually relate to sort of a clarification of terms you
16	used. And one was this is a new one to me
17	grubbing. Would you spell that and explain that?
18	What
19	DR. FOLWELL: G-r-u-b-b-i-n-g. It's a policy
20	within the European community to actually pay producers
21	for removing hops.
22	DR. HINMAN: Okay.
23	DR. FOLWELL: To plow them out.
24	DR. HINMAN: And what years was is that
25	common in the past?
	Wards Chang annuluin Complete Inc

DR. FOLWELL: Yeah, it was very common. 1 2 often hear about it during HAC meetings from the late 3 '70s into the mid-'80s. DR. HINMAN: Okay. You mentioned the 4 5 balancing item as an issue that was, I guess, problematic or represented a problem in accounting. 6 7 Could you discuss that? DR. FOLWELL: There really wasn't a problem. 8 9 Basically, what it was is that once they put together all of the -- their projections of the components of 10 supply and demand, and since they were basically working 11 12 -- using a formula, or a balance sheet, which I'm sure you have seen, where they've got all the demand 13 14 components and all the supply components, when they would project those out, they wouldn't come -- they 15 wouldn't equal each other exactly. So we used to -- I 16 used to joke with them and say well, it's plus two 17 million this year and next year it'll be a minus two 18 million. It's just because their projections did the 19 aggregate of their projections on the demand side didn't 20 21 equal the aggregate of their supply projections. And it was just an item put in there to make sure supply equals 22 23 demand. And it was usually a very minor quantity that was put in there. 24 25 DR. HINMAN: Thank you. I want to ask some

1	particular, basically, some source and clarification
2	numbers from your paper.
3	DR. FOLWELL: Sure.
4	DR. HINMAN: On page one near the top, you
5	mentioned the cost figures. If you could state what the
6	source of those cost figures were.
7	DR. FOLWELL: If you look at page nine of my
8	report, there's a complete reference to everybody I
9	cite. Herb Hinman, who is a colleague of mine, a
10	faculty member at Washington State University has been
11	doing these hop marketing studies for establishment
12	production for, I don't know, 15, 20 years it seems
13	like. And he basically meets with producers and
14	establishes a common cultural practice, collects the
15	input prices and puts together these cost of production
16	budgets.
17	DR. HINMAN: I think I may have to go explore
18	whether he may be a long lost relative.
19	DR. FOLWELL: Oh, okay.
20	DR. HINMAN: Do you have that study available?
21	DR. FOLWELL: In my office, yes. Or I can
22	make them available.
23	DR. HINMAN: In the middle of the page there,
24	you talk about the decline in the number of producers to
25	about 70. Could you state the source of that number?

1	DR. FOLWELLE: Dasically, in talking to nop
2	producers, I believe, who actually, I think, conducted a
3	survey of sort of a pull straw vote on this proposed
4	marketing order this past summer. I understand now it's
5	less than that, though, now.
6	DR. HINMAN: Do you have a more recent
7	estimate?
8	DR. FOLWELL: I don't have one, no.
9	DR. HINMAN: On the bottom of page two, you're
10	referring to the thesis by Habuki
11	DR. FOLWELL: Um-hum.
12	DR. HINMAN: And you mention the spot market
13	price elasticity of what, minus with respect to total
14	US hop production of -3.3. My question relates to
15	understanding which price you're talking about. You
16	said spot market price and I'm trying to relate that to
17	then, the season average grower price in nominal terms
18	that you have in your appendix. Is that the same,
19	different? Can you explain that difference?
20	DR. FOLWELL: It's different. In the
21	econometric model, we actually had a price equation for
22	those hops sold on the spot market. That information or
23	statistics, it was readily available from the Hop Market
24	News published by the USDA, where we would obtain that,
25	and of course, then the direct price elasticities that
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	we're talking about are on those that were sold under
2	contract.
3	DR. HINMAN: Thank you. On page six, towards
4	the bottom, you talk about you're quoting your own
5	paper there "price variability during the life of the
6	present order has been less than half that experienced
7	otherwise." I just want to ask was that computation
8	made or, you know, your estimate related to just
9	comparing the period with the order to without the
10	order, or was there any kind of estimation made of the
11	factual. A model to say what it would have been without
12	the order.
13	DR. FOLWELL: No, it was not we made no
14	computations as to what it would've been without the
15	order. It was the price variability within the life of
16	the order versus what had existed previous to that. You
17	have to realize that was done in the early 1980s.
18	DR. HINMAN: On page seven you mention the
19	impact of the federal order on the price of beer and I
20	believe you're I want to make sure you're referring
21	to, I believe the same estimate that appears on page 13
22	and you actually gave that number for
23	DR. FOLWELL: Right.
24	DR. HINMAN:12-ounce model? Can you

explain that computation?

25

```
1
                 DR. FOLWELL: What that was based upon was, at
2
       the particular time when I wrote that, it was what the
3
       base was trading for under the old marketing order, then
4
       taking into account what the hopping rate was in terms
       of the pounds of hops that went into a 31-gallon barrel
5
       of beer and then just carrying it forward to how many
6
       12-ounce bottles or cans of beer you're going to get
7
       from that 31-gallon barrel.
8
9
                 DR. HINMAN: Okay, so...
10
                  DR. FOLWELL: A very simplistic way of looking
11
       at it.
                  DR. HINMAN: It was an estimate of the value
12
       of base at the time.
13
                  DR. FOLWELL: Um-hum.
14
                  DR. HINMAN: And do you remember that number?
15
                  DR. FOLWELL: At this time, I would guess some
16
17
       place between a dollar and a quarter and $2. And I gave
18
       that number to the USDA at a hearing right up the street
       here in the Cascade Natural Gas building back in the
19
20
        early 1980s.
                  DR. HINMAN: I want to then go to the
21
        elasticity estimates...
22
                  DR. FOLWELL: Um-hum.
23
                  DR. HINMAN: ...that have been discussed.
24
25
        Could you state, you know -- basically what I'm getting
```

I	at is your view based on your price elasticity estimate
2	of the actual what's the meaning of that figure in
3	terms of what either a specific number or just a
4	general observation about what that figure means in
5	terms of total revenue to growers within/without a
6	proposed order?
7	DR. FOLWELL: Well, basically, if we assume
8	based upon this and Habuki's study that the demand is
9	inelastic with respect to price, that any decrease
10	actually in the quantity of hops flowing to the market
11	will result in an increase in total revenue to the
12	industry.
13	DR. HINMAN: Thank you. On the top of page
14	six of your paper you had previously referred to
15	Habuki's evidence of the demand for elasticity and the
16	demand for hops and then you refer here to the demand
17	for US hops. Is it specifically, in this case, the
18	demand for alpha acid? In this case?
19	DR. FOLWELL: In my particular case?
20	DR. HINMAN: Yeah, in the
21	DR. FOLWELL: In my case that equation does
22	have alpha acid. It's not based upon
23	DR. HINMAN: But in a sense, it could be a
24	demand for alpha acid is inelastic and do you think, in
25	this case, does it make any difference here? Is your
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

```
1
       basic conclusion the same?
2
                 DR. FOLWELL: It's the same.
                 DR. HINMAN: But this particular case is alpha
3
4
       acid...
5
                  DR. FOLWELL: Correct.
                  DR. HINMAN: You had made a statement and I'm
6
7
       not finding the exact page, about with a more reliable
       supply and less risk, the cost of marketing is less
8
       aiding in overall efficiency.
9
                  DR. FOLWELL: That must come from another --
10
       not this report. I don't believe...
11
12
                  DR. HINMAN: Okay. I guess I thought I'd seen
13
       it in that paper. Referring to the model itself.
14
       developing this model of the demand for alpha, did you
       consider including some other variables typically
15
16
       involved in demand estimations such as income?
                  DR. FOLWELL: I actually have the printouts
17
18
       with me.
                  I have to go back through them, but I did try
       other things such as the production of beer, which was
19
       in the Sparks report. I can't remember whether I
20
21
       actually tried income in there or not. I probably did,
       because it's a readily available statistic.
22
23
                  DR. HINMAN: But whatever it is you did, it
       did not yield adequate results?
24
25
                  DR. FOLWELL: It didn't -- it probably was not
```

1	significant and/or wrong sign according to economic
2	theory.
3	DR. HINMAN: Okay. In the three, you know,
4	talking variables, the binary variables you used there,
5	there is a substantial difference in terms of the
6	statistical significance at a given level of probability
7	of one and two versus three. Could you comment on that
8	and what level of probability would apply to the
9	statistical significance of D3?
10	DR. FOLWELL: Well, there's no doubt that, in
11	terms of the probability level that it would be
12	associated with, it would be a lot less with D3 than it
13	would be with the other ones. However, in terms of
14	hypothesis testing, one has to set a significance level
15	to be a true scientist, before you actually do the
16	hypothesis testing and it's been my experience with
17	econometric models that at any time that you have a t-
18	value that's greater than 1 in absolute value in here,
19	that if you have economic justification for keeping it
20	in the model, to go ahead and do it. However, coming
21	back to your original question. To say which of these
22	variables is more significant than the other, a
23	statistician will tell you you cannot, given the t-
24	values. They're either significant, or they're not
25	significant.

1	DR. HINMAN: Okay. Thank you.
2	MR. BARTON: Can we go off record a moment and
3	change?
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yes, let's go off
5	record at 12:22.
6	***
7	[Off the Record]
8	[On the Record]
9	* * *
10	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: We're back on the
11	record at 1:30 p.m. We were last talking on record at
12	12:22. We took our lunch break and also, Mr. Monahan,
13	would you indicate what you have distributed?
14	MR. MONAHAN: Yes, Your Honor, I've
15	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Can't hear you.
16	MR. MONAHAN: Can you hear me now? No? Can
17	you hear me now?
18	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: No. It's not on at
19	all.
20	MR. MONAHAN: That doesn't sound promising,
21	does it?
22	UNKNOWN: Is that on there? Is this one on?
23	MR. MONAHAN: Well oop, there we go.
24	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: There. Excellent.
25	MR. MONAHAN: How's that? Can you hear me
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	now?
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yes.
3	MR. MONAHAN: All right. Your Honor, we were
4	make copies of Identifications 27, 28, and 29, which
5	were identified for the record earlier by Dr. Folwell.
6	I have handed copies of the original to the court
7	reporter for the purposes of allowing the witness to
8	retain the originals. I have also delivered copies of
9	those three identifications to representatives for the
10	USDA, counsel for the opposition, as well as the
11	professional witnesses in attendance.
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. I need
13	for someone, preferably, Dr. Folwell, although it's a
14	little beneath him to do it, to compare the original
15	with the copy that has become the official copy to make
16	sure they are duplicates. I know that'll take a little
17	time, but that will give the participants here who have
18	copies of those exhibits a chance to look at them. So
19	let's go off record while that comparison is made. And
20	we can decide whether we really need to take his only
21	original from him. I'm not sure we have to do that.
22	* * *
23	[Off the Record]
24	[On the Record]
25	***

1	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. Are we back
2	on record? All right, we're back on record at 1:35.
3	Dr. Folwell, in which exhibit did you find some of the
4	footnotes not to have copied completely?
5	DR. FOLWELL: 28.
6	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Exhibit 28. Can
7	you tell us on what pages the copy is incomplete and
8	what also shows on the original that does not show on
9	that copy?
10	DR. FOLWELL: On page 11 the last line of the
11	footnote did not copy very well.
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: What does it read
13	on the original?
14	DR. FOLWELL: It says the whole line says,
15	"for the government to reduce the value of land used in
16	producing other."
17	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. On that
18	exhibit, was that the only discrepancy you found?
19	DR. FOLWELL: Yes.
20	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay, I'd like to
21	know from those participants whether we can take it as
22	the official record copy, the one that's slightly
23	incomplete in that respect so that Dr. Folwell may keep
24	his original. Mr. Carswell?
25	MR. CARSWELL: Yes, Your Honor. I'm sorry.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	On that footnote six, Dr. Folwell, does it end at "in
2	producing other" that seems to kind of trail off there?
3	DR. FOLWELL: Well, I think the footnote
4	continues
5	the footnote continues on to the next page. That's
6	when we were still using typewriters.
7	MR. CARSWELL: The note on the next page. It
8	looks like footnote seven, so I just want to be sure we
9	have all of six.
10	DR. FOLWELL: To tell you the truth, right
11	now, as I look at it, it appears that on page 11 of this
12	copy that I brought with me, it wasn't all copied to
13	begin with.
14	MR. CARSWELL: Okay. So we don't have all of
15	footnote six.
16	DR. FOLWELL: That's correct. It's not there.
17	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: So it's it just
18	stops where it stops, even on the best copy we've got
19	here?
20	DR. FOLWELL: Yes.
21	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay.
22	DR. FOLWELL: Exhibit 27 appears to be correct
23	as copied. Exhibit 29, the only relevant part to that
24	exhibit find it is from page 17 through 32.
25	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: And let's go off
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	record while you compare those.
2	***
3	[Off the Record]
4	[On the Record]
5	***
6	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Back on record at
7	1:39. Dr. Folwell, with regard to Exhibit 29?
8	DR. FOLWELL: It appears to be the relevant
9	pages of 17 through 32 were copied.
10	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Back to
11	Exhibit 28, is there anyone who objects to my allowing
12	the record copy to be the most recently made copy which
13	has the last line of the footnote difficult to read on
14	page 11? All right, there's no objection, so Dr.
15	Folwell, you may take with you the documents that you
16	brought with you and we'll make do with those copies of
17	them.
18	DR. FOLWELL: Thank you.
19	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: You're welcome.
20	Now I realize you've just been handed them, so I'll
21	defer the voir dire examination of the witnesses to
22	these for a little bit later in this process. Dr.
23	Hinman, did you have additional questions for Dr.
24	Folwell?
25	DR. HINMAN: Yes.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: You may proceed.
2	DR. HINMAN: Dr. Folwell, I'm going to re-ask
3	the question I asked earlier, because I found the quote.
4	It's actually not in the paper, but in the 1981 letter,
5	which is on page 13 of your report. I'm just going to
6	read the quote here, then ask you to comment on that.
7	"The marketing system is more stable in terms of having
8	a reliable supply as a result of the order. Facing this
9	risk, the cost of marketing hops have been less, which
10	aids in the overall efficiency of the hop industry." My
11	question to you is if you could just explain in a bit
12	more detail how the cost of marketing hops has been less
13	and the way in which that aids the efficiency of the
14	industry, in your view?
15	DR. FOLWELL: Basically, with a more stable
16	supply and the fact that inventories at that particular
17	point in time were not building up, there was less
18	waste. Also, you've got to take into consideration the
19	cost of holding inventories and by overall efficiency,
20	I'm referring to the cost associated with the marketing
21	of the hops.
22	DR. HINMAN: In terms of viewing the proposed
23	order in terms of you said that you can view it terms
24	of its total costs, it terms of its total benefits,
25	would you be able to say to the extent to which you
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	believe the total benefits exceed the total costs of
2	implementing on order such as the one proposed?
3	DR. FOLWELL: Well, I think I can state
4	unequivocally that the benefits will outweigh the cost.
5	To quantify those here would be next to impossible.
6	DR. HINMAN: I think that concludes my
7	questioning. Thank you.
8	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Dr.
9	Hinman. Any other questions from representatives of the
10	United States Department of Agriculture? Ms. Finn?
11	MS. FINN: Thank you. I have one question,
12	Dr. Folwell. I would like to ask you your opinion on
13	this. In order for a future marketing order for hops to
14	be effective, do you believe it's important that all
15	domestic production be included and the regulated area?
16	DR. FOLWELL: I think it would be highly
I 7	desirable. The I think I'll just leave my answer to
18	that. I think it would be highly desirable because as I
19	indicated earlier, alpha is alpha at times in terms of
20	the beer industry and I think having both the aroma and
21	high alpha hops would be very desirable.
22	MS. FINN: Would that also extend to as far as
23	all of the states that produce hops?
24	DR. FOLWELL: Yes. I was going to add, I've
25	heard California mentioned here. I'm not sure if
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	there's still hop yards left in California.
2	MS. FINN: Okay. Thank you.
3	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Are there any other
4	questions from the USDA? None? Now, I'd like to open
5	the field for questions from anyone. Additional
6	questions for Dr. Folwell before we go on to the issues
7	of the three exhibits that have just been distributed.
8	Mr. Monahan?
9	***
10	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. MONAHAN:
12	Q. Thank you, Your Honor. Dr. Folwell,
13	there was some question on cross-examination about what
14	would happen, what hypothetically to the United States
15	hop growers market here and I want to ask if you know
16	what happened to US hop growers' market share, if
17	anything, under the old order?
18	A. My recollection, without doing the actual
19	calculations, is it either remained stable or slightly
20	increased.
21	Q. Do you have any knowledge or familiarity
22	with what has happened to the United States market share
23	in the last 10 years?
24	A. My general impression is that the market
25	share that the US industry holds is declining.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	''

1	Q. Is there any reason to think that it
2	wouldn't continue to decline, even if there is no
3	marketing order?
4	A. Not really.
5	Q. On the issue, again, of market share. Do
6	you know how many of that long list of nations that are
7	apparently now qualified as hop producing nations, how
8	many of those actually export hops?
9	A. The major exporters, of course, are
10	number one, Germany. The I can look it up, possibly
11	here. The major importers into this country under the
12	old order were, at least, West Germany, Yugoslavia,
13	Czechoslovakia, Poland, and others. Since then, China
14	has entered into the trade flow. And there could be
15	others.
16	Q. Just from a basic economic principle, or
17	standpoint, Doctor, if another country is able to bring
18	hops to market for less than it costs American hop
19	growers to produce that hop, isn't the loss of market
20	share going to be inevitable with or without a hop
21	marketing
22	A. That's correct.
23	Q. Does it make any economic sense to try to
24	preserve market share at the expense of profit?
25	A. No.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	Q. Have other industries tried that?
2	A. Not that I'm aware of and existed in the
3	long run. They eventually went out of business.
4	Q. You were asked some questions by one of
5	the attorneys about this list of buyers, whether it's
6	four or perhaps higher. And there were some questions
7	about or rather, representations made about the
8	Anheuser-Busch Company. Do you know which I'll refer
9	to as AB, if you don't mind does AB buy super alpha
10	hops?
11	A. They might someplace in the world. My
12	understanding is currently they buy primarily aroma hops
13	here in the US.
14	Q. Do you know which variety of aroma hops?
15	A. Willamettes, I believe.
16	Q. Do you know what percentage of US hop
17	production is comprised of the Willamette variety?
18	A. I could look it up. It's a fairly high
19	percentage.
20	Q. I'm going to ask you to assume that it's
21	about 15 percent.
22	A. Right.
23	Q. Okay. I'm also going to ask you to
24	assume that 90 percent of the Willamette variety is
25	purchased by Anheuser-Busch? You were asked a number of
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	questions about whether this type of scenario with these
2	number of buyers in the market is indicative of a
3	competitive market and I would ask you, Doctor, if there
4	is a variety comprising 15 percent of US production, and
5	if there's one purchase buying 90 percent of that
6	variety, is that indicative of a competitive market?
7	A. It's not, in my opinion.
8	Q. Mr. Moody asked you questions about
9	waste, what constitutes waste in the American hop
10	industry. In your opinion, from an economic standpoint,
11	does it constitute waste of a product to sell it out of
12	inventory at less than the cost of production?
13	A. Well, it's an economic waste in the sense
14	that no firm or operation can continue to do that
15	forever.
16	Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether
17	there was waste under the old order?
18	A. No. The only opinion I would have in
19	relation to the old order was that many of the hops that
20	were sold out of the reserve pool, their quality had
21	deteriorated over time. They were basically not worth
22	much money to a major brewer, mostly to the home the
23	person making beer at home.
24	Q. There's been some testimony and I'll
25	have to make representations to you, because you weren't

1	in Portland last week. Some testimony that the hop
2	industry at this window in time is somewhat in balance,
3	at least in part because of a crop failure in Germany.
4	I would ask you, Doctor, in the absence of a hop
5	marketing order, would you reasonably anticipate this
6	current state supposed state of balance to continue?
7	A. I'm not so sure if I agree with the
8	statements that it's imbalanced to begin with, because
9	the level of stocks has continually increased, at least
10	
	over the last three to four years and it's having a
11	detrimental effect upon the price level. Whether or not
12	it's going to continue will depend upon how the order's
13	administrated and the decisions of the administrative
14	committee.
15	Q. My question, sir, is in the absence of a
16	order?
17	A. Well, in the absence of an order, more
18	than likely because of the nature of the inputs used in
19	hop production. Any time a hop producer out here who
20	has idled his yard or her yard sees a possibility of
21	utilizing those resources, they will probably overreact
22	to the price signal in the market place and possibly
23	over produce.
24	Q. Has that been the history and the
25	experience of the hop industry, sir?

1	A. I would say so.
2	***
3	MR. MONAHAN: Your Honor, those are all the
4	questions I have. I believe Mr. Roy has a question.
5	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
6	Monahan. Mr. Roy?
7	MR. ROY: Thank you, Your Honor. Dr. Folwell,
8	you know since you worked with the old marketing
9	order and in your testimony you indicated that the HAC
10	policy committee overestimated demand and underestimated
11	supply. Is that correct?
12	DR. FOLWELL: Correct.
13	MR. ROY: So if I remember right, the reserve
14	pool, which would be hops that were in excess that
15	were grown in excess of saleable quantity did not change
16	much through the years?
17	DR. FOLWELL: That's correct.
18	MR. ROY: So those excess hops went to
19	DR. FOLWELL: Into the pool.
20	MR. ROY: Yeah, but after they came out of the
21	pool would that indicate that maybe some market share
22	has been gained?
23	DR. FOLWELL: Possibly, but I think a lot of
24	those hops were not necessarily sold to major brewers
25	around the world. But if they came out of the pool and
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	were actually sold, it would indicate there is some
2	increase in market share. It might not have been among
3	major brewers.
4	MR. ROY: Yeah. Okay, thank you.
5	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr. Roy.
6	Are there any additional questions from anyone before we
7	get would you come forward to the microphone, please
8	before we get into the questions about the three
9	exhibits. Thank you. I know we've taken away your
10	podium. I hope there's enough table space there.
11	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: There is.
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Do you want a
13	chair? You've got a chair, too. This is great.
14	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: I'm all set and I'm
15	Chandramazumdar from the United States Department of
16	Justice, Antitrust Division. Good afternoon, Dr.
17	Folwell. How are you?
18	DR. FOLWELL: I'm just fine.
19	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: Is has there been any
20	new entry by hop dealers recently?
21	DR. FOLWELL: New dealers? I'm not really
22	sure, but I think there's possibly been some re-
23	organization, but the major dealers are, you know, still
24	or buyers, if you want to include them, are Haas and
25	Steiner and Anheuser-Busch, et cetera.

1	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: And how easy would it be
2	for a new dealer to enter?
3	DR. FOLWELL: Well, if they're well
4	capitalized, there shouldn't be too much of a problem,
5	assuming that they have the skills and the ability to
6	develop markets for the hops.
7	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: So it's fair to say that
8	the barriers to entry are low?
9	DR. FOLWELL: For a dealer? No, I wouldn't
10	say that because of the amount of capitalization it
11	really takes to enter the industry.
12	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: How much capitalization
13	does it take?
14	DR. FOLWELL: That would depend upon the size
15	of the dealer and how many, you know, pounds of alpha or
16	hops that they were purchasing and what arrangements
17	they had with their contracts and how they would sell
18	them to the brewers.
19	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: For a small dealer?
20	DR. FOLWELL: Handling how many pounds?
21	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: Can you of alpha
22	acid?
23	MS. FINN: Well, I think what you
24	basically, what you'd have to do is just about take the
25	price at which the alpha acid is being priced at and
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	they're valued at and multiply it times the amount of
2	pounds or the volume he wants to deal with and add on to
3	that the cost of doing business and at least for one
4	year you better have access to capital for that because
5	most agricultural producers I know want to be paid for
6	their crop in the year in which they produce it.
7	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: So I would need access
8	to capital in order to forward contract with growers?
9	DR. FOLWELL: If you're receiving the hops
10	from their actual production, yes.
11	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: And are there any other
12	entry barriers?
13	DR. FOLWELL: I'm sure there are, because
14	there tends to be relationships established within the
15	industry between brewers and dealers and the variety of
16	hops that the different brewers want.
17	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: And can you stepping
18	back, can you quantify how difficult it would be to
19	develop these relationships?
20	DR. FOLWELL: I think it would be extremely
21	difficult because, you know, the major brewers, they
22	probably account for the vast majority of the hops that
23	are being purchased both here domestically and from
24	international sources and it would be extremely costly
25	to try and represent all these micro-breweries that we York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	have around the US today. I mean, that would not be a
2	very cost-effective way of being a dealer.
3	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: Turning to the micro-
4	breweries, if I'm a micro-brewery apparently purchasing
5	from a dealer such as Steiner or Haas, how difficult is
6	it for me to go in direct contract with a grower?
7	DR. FOLWELL: I assume that you could do it.
8	I mean, I don't see any major barriers other than the
9	fact that perhaps the growers themselves do not want to
10	deal in small lots with these micro-brewers. I mean,
11	most of these at least when we used to bail the old
12	aroma hops, a bail was 200 pounds and that makes a lot
13	of beer. When you're only putting two-tenths of a pound
14	or less in for 31 gallons of beer.
15	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: Is it your conclusion
16	that the dealers have market power?
17	DR. FOLWELL: I believe so.
18	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: And is that conclusion
19	based on the fact that there are four dealers,
20	currently, in the market?
21	DR. FOLWELL: Well, four or six, whatever.
22	Whichever number you want to use. And I think also that
23	the in one of the exhibits that I have read, there
24	were statements made which indicated they had market
25	power, that they weren't quite sure what they were going
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	to do in terms of forward contracting until the findings
2	of this hearing were released.
3	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: And what else?
4	DR. FOLWELL: And what else?
5	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: What else goes into your
6	conclusion?
7	DR. FOLWELL: About the market power
8	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: Market power.
9	DR. FOLWELL:dealers? Anything I say to
10	you right now would be hearsay and I don't know if you
11	want that or not, but basically, you know, I've heard
12	that the largest brewer in the world was in the
13	Northwest here talking about this proposed marketing
14	order and did not have very positive statements to make
15	about it. That's you know, and that was hearsay.
16	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: Okay. I understand that
17	are both for contracts and spot hops. Do forward
18	contracts serve a different function than selling on the
19	spot market?
20	DR. FOLWELL: Do they serve a different
21	function? The contracting, you know, provides the
22	grower with an assured market outlet and an assured
23	price which we talked about earlier, which means I can
24	go to my banker and show him, you know, my books and
25	basically say, look, I want to borrow this much money
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	and I have the ability to service this debt loan. For
2	the brewer, yes, it has advantages. It assures the
3	brewer of the variety and the quality of hops they want
4	for a product that they're producing out there for the
5	consumer and the brewers go to great lengths to
6	establish a product with certain characteristics which
7	their consumers would like to have. So there's
8	advantages on both sides.
9	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: When I go to my banker,
10	do I need a forward contract? Do those forward
11	contracts enable me to decide how I'm going to invest in
12	infrastructure?
13	DR. FOLWELL: Since I am a banker and serve on
14	a board of directors, I can tell you that when
15	agriculturalists come to us for loans that, in fact, we
16	want them to demonstrate to us that they have the
17	ability to service the debt load that they're going to
18	take on. So the contract is very important. If you're
19	planting something without a contract, it's basically
20	being planted on a speculation basis and it's much more
21	risky.
22	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: And what function does
23	the spot market in hops serve?
24	DR. FOLWELL: Well, basically, it's an outlet
25	for, you know, that quantity of hops which are not under

1	contract. It provides a way of sort of balancing what
2	was produced with what is being demanded in the market
3	place and it's not surprising to see a spot market price
4	vary more than what you'll ever see under contracts.
5	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: And when spot prices
6	increase, dealers or brewers or growers who have an
7	inventory release that inventory?
8	DR. FOLWELL: Some do, but you have to realize
9	that the amount of spot hops, at least historically in
10	this country have been a relatively small proportion.
11	Those who have inventory and feel that they can profit
12	from releasing the stocks will do so.
13	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: And conversely, when
14	spot price are low, people increase their inventories?
15	DR. FOLWELL: Growers? Brewers might increase
16	their inventories slightly, growers don't necessarily
17	like to increase their inventories because they've got
18	an investment in terms of the cost of production plus
19	the cost of carrying those inventories, so growers are
20	not very anxious to increase their inventories.
21	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: In your statement, what
22	I believe has been marked by the court as Exhibit 26, in
23	the first paragraph you state that "in the past, the
24	stability" I'll give you a chance to turn to it. Do
25	you have it? You basically say that "in the past the York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	stability has been demonstrated by the percent of hops
2	sold or contracted ahead. This percentage has been
3	slowly declining." Can you explain what you mean by
4	slowly declining?
5	DR. FOLWELL: There was another exhibit which
6	I saw, which I believe was a document created by Hop
7	Growers of America. It was entitled almost the same
8	title I have on this paper. Something related to the
9	need for a federal marketing order. Historically, the
10	percentage of hops that were contracted as harvest was
11	approaching, as we're coming closer and closer to
12	harvest was usually 90 percent or higher. I believe in
13	that Hop Growers of America report in which they conduct
14	a survey, I believe it's down to 66 percent or something
15	of that nature. I don't have the exhibit in front of
16	me.
17	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: Turning your direction
18	to another exhibit, Exhibit 27, your paper
19	DR. FOLWELL: Correct.
20	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR:which is titled "The
21	US Hop Industry and the Volume Control Provision of the
22	US Federal Hop Marketing Order." I'd like to direct
23	your attention to your summary statement, which I
24	believe is at page 16.
25	DR. FOLWELL: Yes.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., Vork. PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: And you state "as a
2	result, it can be partially concluded that the HAC has
3	not unduly used its market power in restricting the
4	quantity of hops available to the market from domestic
5	production." Did I read that correctly?
6	DR. FOLWELL: Correct.
7	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: When you use the term
8	unduly restrict, doesn't that mean that the HAC did
9	restrict?
10	DR. FOLWELL: They set the saleable percentage
11	every year. There could've been individual producers
12	out there who wanted to produce or market, I should say,
13	market more pounds of hops than what the saleable was
14	suggesting they do. But that doesn't necessarily mean
15	that they were restricted in what they were what they
16	could produce.
17	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: The amount marketed?
18	DR. FOLWELL: The amount marketed was
19	regulated under the old marketing order.
20	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: And isn't the amount
21	marketed the same as the quantity of hops available to
22	the market?
23	DR. FOLWELL: That plus the stocks that are
24	being held by brewers and dealers and growers.
25	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: But those stocks aren't
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	available for sale on the market?
2	DR. FOLWELL: As far as I know, they were.
3	Some of the stocks are actually being held by brewers
4	and dealers. They'd already been sold by the growers.
5	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: Okay. In that paper,
6	you did not use any data past 1980.
7	DR. FOLWELL: Correct.
8	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: And this morning you
9	testified that the instability after 1980 was due to
10	market conditions, not the marketing order. And isn't
I l	it
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Just let me get
13	that on record. Doctor, you nodded your head yes, is
14	that so?
15	DR. FOLWELL: I'm understanding what he said.
16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. He's with
17	you. You may continue.
18	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: Okay. And isn't it
19	possible that the stability that you perceived was due
20	to the marketing order was, in fact, due to current
21	market conditions?
22	DR. FOLWELL: Current market conditions
23	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: Current market
24	conditions for the relevant period of your study and
25	I'd like let me rephrase that. Is it possible that
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	the stability that you observed was not due to the
2	marketing order but was, in fact, due to market
3	conditions?
4	DR. FOLWELL: You have to realize that the
5	saleable percentage, though, was a direct function of
6	the market conditions that was set by the HAC, so their
7	interpretation of the trends in the market and the
8	market conditions led to stability in terms of providing
9	and adequate flow of hops to the market.
10	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: You indicated that the
11	instability was due to after 1980 was it was also
12	due to market conditions. And shouldn't the HAC have
13	somehow taken into account those market conditions?
14	DR. FOLWELL: The HAC could only recommend to
15	the Secretary of Agriculture the saleable percentage
16	approximately 18 months ahead. And they could only
17	recommend it for one marketing year. They did not have
18	the tools at hand nor the authority under the existing
19	law to set the saleable percentage for more than one
20	market year.
21	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: Backing up a moment. If
22	market conditions were stable, you would expect that the
23	HAC would be able to accurately predict them? Or
24	predict the demand?
25	DR. FOLWELL: Well, fairly accurately.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: And in contrast, if
2	market conditions were not stable, the HAC would not be
3	able to accurately predict the demand?
4	DR. FOLWELL: The more variation in any supply
5	or demand component there is, the harder it is to
6	produce and as a matter of fact, the component that they
7	had the most difficulty in projecting in terms of the
8	overall accuracy was the foreign trade components, which
9	are as much political at times as they are economic in
10	nature.
11	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: So if market conditions
12	were stable, how can you would expect that the HAC
13	would be able to accurately predict them? Predict
14	demand?
15	DR. FOLWELL: Fairly accurately, and as a
16	matter of fact, the HAC did react to this crop failure
17	that's been alluded to many times today in the sense
18	that they raised the saleable percentage, I believe,
19	from something like I don't have the exact figures,
20	but something like from 107 up to 130 percent to try and
21	fill that void in the market that was created by the
22	crop failure in Czechoslovakia and Germany.
23	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: But that data's not
24	reflected in your paper, that's Exhibit 27. That period
25	of time?

1	DR. FOLWELL: If, in fact I had to reread
2	this thing before, but if, in fact, it included the time
3	period through 1980, it would've.
4	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: Okay. This morning you
5	stated that the hop marketing order could generate
6	useful market information.
7	DR. FOLWELL: Yes, sir.
8	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: Can't useful market
9	information be generated without a hop marketing order
10	that has volume limitations?
11	DR. FOLWELL: It can be, but the typical
12	agricultural producer usually does not have the time nor
13	the expertise always to sit down and collect and
14	assemble such information. As a matter of fact, I
15	brought with me an example here which is approximately I
16	don't know how many pages here of statistics 13, 14, 15
17	pages of statistics that the HAC assembled quarterly and
18	in fact, the administration of the committee as well as
19	the statistical subcommittee and all member of the HAC
20	had opportunities to comment upon their interpretation
21	and what they thought was happening in the hop market,
22	not only in the US, but worldwide and I never saw I
23	have never experienced another industry where individual
24	growers had the information just handed to them in a
25	packet like that.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: But a committee could
2	certainly assemble that information and not administer
3	volume limitations.
4	DR. FOLWELL: That might be possible, but the
5	contacts that this federal marketing order had in Europe
6	and other places was invaluable.
7	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: But couldn't that
8	committee be established just to collect market
9	information and not administer volume limitations?
10	DR. FOLWELL: That's a possibility.
11	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: This morning you also
12	said that unless something happened someday this
13	industry's going to be extinct. Can you expand upon
14	this?
15	DR. FOLWELL: Well, when you drop from 211
16	down to
17	I've heard a number I have 70 in my report but
18	I've heard a number as few as 45 growers, you know,
19	independent growers are still left out here. It's
20	obvious to me that the trend is set there. Maybe the
21	word extinct is a little bit strong, but I could
22	basically see a time when we might be down to a dozen or
23	less hop growers even in my lifetime. The question was
24	asked to me earlier if somebody else can produce the
25	hops cheaper, isn't that where the brewers are going to
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	turn? The answer is yes. I mean, our growers cannot
2	continue to produce hops at less than the cost of
3	production and stay in business.
4	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: And can you estimate
5	what volume these less than a dozen hop growers would
6	produce?
7	DR. FOLWELL: Oh, I would guess believe it
8	or not, probably someplace in the neighborhood of 45 to
9	50 million pounds.
10	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: So slightly less than
11	current production?
12	DR. FOLWELL: Probably.
13	MR. CHANDRAMAZUMDAR: Thank you.
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
15	Chandramazumdar. Mr. Carswell?
16	MR. CARSWELL: Yes. Dr. Folwell, earlier you
17	mentioned with respect the Willamettes that Anheuser-
18	Busch you said the world's largest brewer and that
19	would be Anheuser-Busch purchased, I believe you said 90
20	percent of the Willamettes?
21	DR. FOLWELL: I believe the person asking the
22	question stated that as the framework with which to
23	answer his question.
24	MR. CARSWELL: You concurred with that or
25	DR. FOLWELL: I have no reason to disagree
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	with it. Do I have actual statistics on it? No, I do
2	not.
3	MR. CARSWELL: What would be the significance
4	of that? Would you say then that we have market power
5	in the quote, unquote Willamette market and not put it
6	in quotes because I question the validity of the market
7	so defined, but a market from an antitrust standpoint.
8	DR. FOLWELL: If, in fact, you have a unique
9	demand for those Willamettes and everything and you're
10	buying 90 percent, I would say that you have some market
11	power.
12	MR. CARSWELL: Are you familiar with Anheuser-
13	Busch pricing to its growers for growers of Willamettes?
14	DR. FOLWELL: Not currently.
15	MR. CARSWELL: Considering your indication
16	that you would think that we would have market power,
17	would it surprise you if the growers, including some of
18	the proponents have already indicated on the record that
19	that pricing has been very fair and equitable from a
20	grower's standpoint?
21	DR. FOLWELL: It could be. I have no
22	knowledge of that.
23	MR. CARSWELL: Is it your understanding that
24	aroma hops are the type of hops for which a marketing
25	order is has been do you see the problem with

1	aroma hops vis-à-vis alpha hops in the current economic
2	situation? For hop growers? Sorry.
3	DR. FOLWELL: Do I see the same problem for
4	the aroma growers as I do for the alpha
5	MR. CARSWELL: Yes.
6	DR. FOLWELL:high alpha? Basically, I
7	think that the whether it's imbalanced or not, I'm
8	not in a position to judge that right now, but I think
9	it would be very easy for the aroma market to be
10	completely out of balance and more hops being produced
11	in that for those particular type of hops than was
12	really demanded by the market and we'll go through the
13	same cycle that we have been going through here with the
14	high alpha.
15	MR. CARSWELL: On the alpha side, do you see
16	and you've indicated before that that can be
17	established, I believe, that there are some there's
18	some number more than four buyers of alpha hops. Do you
19	see there being market power on the or monotony [ph]
20	power on the buy side for alpha hops?
21	DR. FOLWELL: You use the word monopsony [ph]
22	which means, you know, one. If you would use the word
23	oligopsony [ph], I'd probably agree with that statement.
24	MR. CARSWELL: Even if there were say, 10
25	buyers, you would still call that an oligopsony?
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	DR. FOLWELL: I think that's getting down to
2	the point where the those buyers are very cognizant
3	of each other's behavior and they don't necessarily have
4	to exchange information or anything else like that, but
5	I think there is the opportunity to exercise market
6	power in those cases.
7	MR. CARSWELL: With respect to the Willamette
8	hops, why do you think that there's a certain amount of
9	Willamette hops grown in this country?
10	DR. FOLWELL: Because there's a demand for
11	them.
12	MR. CARSWELL: And if there was no demand for
13	them and if there was no agreement to grow those hops
14	for one or more brewers, do you think they would be
15	grown?
16	DR. FOLWELL: I think, in the very short run,
17	some of them would be grown. Eventually, if there was
18	no demand, no market, no price for them, that the
19	growers would eventually plow those out.
20	MR. CARSWELL: Are you familiar with any spot
21	market for Willamettes?
22	DR. FOLWELL: No. The spot market
23	information on the spot market has almost disappeared
24	over the years since the USDA is not really recording
25	i t

1	MR. CARSWELL: So it would stand to reason
2	that nobody's going to grow Willamettes unless they have
3	somebody to buy them, is that correct?
4	DR. FOLWELL: I would hope so.
5	MR. CARSWELL: And they wouldn't have anybody
6	to buy them I'm sorry. They wouldn't grow them
7	they would grow another hop if it was in their economic
8	interest to do so, to grow some other crop.
9	DR. FOLWELL: I would assume so.
10	MR. CARSWELL: It would seem to follow, then,
11	that it's that they must be getting a fair price for
12	those hops or they wouldn't grow them.
13	DR. FOLWELL: First of all, I don't know that
14	the price level of Willamettes is versus the cost of
15	production or anything, but as long as they're staying
16	in production and covering their at least their out-
17	of-pocket costs in the short run and hopefully all their
18	costs in the long run, they will stay in production.
19	MR. CARSWELL: Would it be a monopsonous [ph]
20	economic interest to drive the profitability of growing
21	the hops that the monopsonous needs, in the long run,
22	would it be a monopsonous interest to depress prices
23	which would affect quality of the hops and the supply of
24	hops?
25	DR. FOLWELL: Not unless that monopsonous
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	could see some other supply of those particular hops.
2	MR. BARTON: Can we go off record a moment?
3	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right.
4	***
5	[Off the Record]
6	[On the Record]
7	***
8	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right, we went
9	off record at 2:19, it's still 2:19. We're back on
10	record.
11	MR. CARSWELL: Dr. Folwell, you mentioned
12	earlier that in response to a question from Ms. Finn
13	from USDA that you unequivocally, I believe you said,
14	thought that the benefits would outweigh the costs under
15	the marketing order.
16	DR. FOLWELL: That's true.
17	MR. CARSWELL: It's my understanding you
18	hadn't if I'm correct me if I'm mistaken, but I
19	thought from your testimony that you haven't really
20	studied the marketing order, is that
21	DR. FOLWELL: You mean the proposed one?
22	MR. CARSWELL: Yes, sir, the proposed one.
23	DR. FOLWELL: I was answering that in relation
24	to what the state of the industry and what happened at
25	the termination of the last order.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	MR. CARSWELL: So you don't know what the
2	effect of the proposed marketing order, what effect that
3	would have?
4	DR. FOLWELL: If it's anything close to what
5	the last order was, I think the benefits would surely
6	outweigh the costs that would be associated with it. I
7	have observed over I know over 20,000 acres of hops,
8	hop yards being idle in the Northwest here and when you
9	consider the amount of investment per acre to establish
10	those yards and the fact that they have almost except
11	for the land have zero salvage value, that's a
12	misallocation of resources. And that's a cost to
13	society for doing that. It doesn't pay to raise wheat
14	in those hop yards.
15	MR. CARSWELL: You don't think it could be a
16	reflection of the development of super alphas?
17	DR. FOLWELL: The super alphas have
18	contributed to the overall problem, the Tomahawk, et
19	cetera, in the sense that this "new technology" through
20	plant breeding that's come into play here and the
21	which it's contributed to the oversupply situation.
22	There's no doubt about it.
23	MR. CARSWELL: Well, you call it a problem,
24	but isn't it good for society if more alpha is produced
25	on fewer acres?

1	DR. FOLWELL: It is in essence, unless
2	producers have reacted and gone out and planted a lot of
3	hop yards that then are no longer in use and the land is
4	sitting idle, all the investment is lost. That's what
5	I'm considering the cost.
6	MR. CARSWELL: Wouldn't it be a better use of
7	that land to grow something else on the land?
8	DR. FOLWELL: Well, right now in the Yakima
9	Valley there aren't too many good alternatives.
10	MR. CARSWELL: I'm not no expert on the
11	Yakima Valley and I certainly don't mean to
12	DR. FOLWELL: I know
13	MR. CARSWELL:belittle the problem, but if
14	enough hops are being grown on land so that there are
15	thousands of acres of land with hop development that's
16	not being used and it's not needed, wouldn't it make
17	sense from an economic standpoint to grow something else
18	on that land? Such as apples, for example?
19	DR. FOLWELL: No.
20	MR. CARSWELL: Maybe not apples.
21	DR. FOLWELL: Try another one.
22	MR. CARSWELL: Something else
23	DR. FOLWELL: Yes
24	MR. CARSWELL:other than hops?
25	DR. FOLWELL:that's true. But one of the
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

	problems with a hop yard, once it's idled, there's a
2	tendency to leave those poles and wires out there and I
3	can take you by I don't know how many hop yards right
4	now where the land is actually sitting idle. It's not
5	being used. It's not CRP [ph] or anything else, so it's
6	just it's there. It's idled. The growers don't have
7	an alternative to it until they go in to the expense of
8	removing those poles and wires.
9	MR. CARSWELL: So do you think that under a
10	hop marketing order they'll plan to bring those back?
11	DR. FOLWELL: I have no I can't predict
12	that. That depends upon how well the marketing order is
13	administered and their philosophy about trying to
14	increase their market share.
15	MR. CARSWELL: Well, if there's an oversupply,
16	why would they ever bring more land back into
17	production?
18	DR. FOLWELL: Because if in fact this order is
19	initiated, I would expect initially that there will be
20	some producers who have historically produced hops not
21	produce them and as a matter of fact, I would almost
22	suggest here that there will probably be a lot more base
23	allocated out than will ever be used. And eventually,
24	once the market has I don't want to use the word
25	balanced equivocally come back into I'll use the
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	word balance, I guess, here between supply and demand.
2	Then I think you'll start to see some resources flow
3	back into hop production. Now, whether it's the same
4	existing yards out there with the poles and the wire,
5	that's anybody's guess. Perhaps it will be a new yard.
6	MR. CARSWELL: Would you expect the net to
7	increase in hop production from today to that point in
8	the future where these farms may come in?
9	DR. FOLWELL: Not initially, I don't expect
10	it. As a matter of fact, if anything, I see possibly
11	some decline in terms of the amount of hops being
12	produced in order to bring this market back into balance
13	overall in terms of the amount of alpha produced and the
14	demand for it. But eventually, assuming that the beer
15	consumption rises and we increase our market share
16	overseas, then I there is the possibility of some of
17	these families who've been in hop production for decades
18	coming back to the hop production.
19	MR. CARSWELL: Well, if we decline production
20	I don't see how we would I guess you're not saying
21	initially we would increase market share overseas if
22	we're declining production, is that correct?
23	DR. FOLWELL: Probably not. Not initially.
24	It's going to take time to work at that and develop
25	those markets.

ι	MR. CARSWELL: But you would say that long-
2	term we'll have initial decline in production with an
3	eventual expansion of production?
4	DR. FOLWELL: Well, I think you're going to
5	see production at least stay where it's at and not
6	increase. Now, how much it might go down or something
7	is up to the individual producers in their decision
8	making, but eventually I think if the market is
9	effective and they develop markets overseas, increase
10	their market share, then in fact some of these families
11	that have gone out of production could come back. It's
12	a very expensive operation to get back into, though.
13	MR. CARSWELL: But I don't understand how you
14	expand production under the marketing order.
15	DR. FOLWELL: I'm not how do I expand
16	production?
17	MR. CARSWELL: Yes, how do you expand
18	production be expanded?
19	DR. FOLWELL: If, in fact, the market shares
20	start increasing both domestically replacing imported
21	hops as well as selling more hops overseas, I would
22	assume that there would be some positive impact upon
23	prices and with that positive impact on prices, you're
24	going to see producers more willing to produce hops.
25	MR. CARSWELL: And though that increase in
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	prices would have to take into account for some growers,
2	at least, an increase in cost based on buying base,
3	correct?
4	DR. FOLWELL: If they didn't have sufficient
5	base to begin with, but I think initially that the base
6	itself, under a proposed new marketing order and I
7	think this has been experienced under the mid order has
8	very, very little value to begin with. So for somebody
9	to enter at the initiation of an order or shortly
10	thereafter, that this increase in cost of production is
11	not going to be significant.
12	MR. CARSWELL: Even though it was up to \$2 a
13	pound under the previous order?
14	DR. FOLWELL: And when that's also when you
15	saw the price almost increase tenfold.
16	MR. CARSWELL: And you're basing I'm sorry.
17	You're stating this even though you don't know how,
18	under the proposed order, the allotment would be set and
19	you don't know how much it would take to cut for the
20	saleable of the saleable quantity to cut overall
21	production?
22	DR. FOLWELL: I have not made those
23	calculations.
24	MR. CARSWELL: Thank you.
25	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	Carswell. Mr. Moody, do you have any additional
2	questions at this time?
3	***
4	RECROSS EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. MOODY:
6	Q. Yes. I'll just ask a couple. You
7	indicated that in your paper that you thought that
8	alpha was basically alpha and that German hops were a
9	perfect substitute for US-grown hops?
10	A. Of the same variety, yes.
11	Q. When you say variety, do you mean like
12	the CTZ [ph] variety, the substitute for CTZ or the
13	alpha, any alpha's as good as any other alpha?
14	A. No, not alpha. In terms of people in the
15	brewing industry, there has always been a great concern
16	about the variety of hops that they use and even though
17	hops represent a very minute amount in terms of the
18	total cost of beer production, at least my experience
19	has been, under the previous order, is that everybody
20	from the president and CEO on down wants to go down to
21	the loading dock and rub those hops in their hands and
22	smell them and see what odors, flavors, et cetera, are
23	possible from them, so it's hard to say. If it's
24	exactly the same variety, yes, there are substitutes and
25	assuming they have the same brewing characteristics.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	Q. All right. And what in economic terms
2	does it mean if one is the substitute for the other,
3	doesn't that mean that an increase in price in one will
4	cause consumption to shift to the other?
5	A. Correct.
6	Q. Now, we export hops as well, right?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And would the same be true you could
9	say in reverse that the hops we export are also
10	substitutable for by other hops produced elsewhere in
11	the world.
12	A. That's correct.
13	Q. So if our hops increased in price in the
14	world market that it would be expected that brewers
15	would switch to a substitute.
16	A. Assuming a price in the other from
17	other sources did not increase, that's correct.
18	Q. All right. So given that US industry now
19	is somewhere between 25 and 30 percent of the world
20	market, at least with respect to the alpha varieties of
21	hops, they are substitutes both as to imports and
22	exports. How is it you expect to increase the price of
23	US hops in the long run given the ease of substitution
24	with hops produced elsewhere?
25	A. By increasing the demand. I've watched
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	the hop industry here go to great lengths to try and
2	persuade brewers not only domestically, but from other
3	countries to use their hops.
4	Q. All right, I'm sorry. You're going to
5	have to walk me through that a little bit. You want to
6	increase the demand for US-grown hops?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. But I thought well, since US-grown
9	hops are substitutes for German hops, how do you expect
10	to increase the demand for US-grown hops?
11	A. Well, first of all, there's going to be a
12	very high correlation between the price movement of hops
13	in this country, as well as in Germany. Part of this
14	increasing of demand comes from a marketing strategy
15	that the industry might employ in terms of persuading
16	brewers to use their hops.
17	Q. Well, is there something different under
18	a marketing order that I maybe have missed. I thought
19	that US growers, with or without a marketing order are
20	pretty interested in getting brewers to use their hops.
21	A. They are, but the overall collective
22	action of the growers here to put forth a noticeable
23	effort to attract brewers to use their hops is really
24	not there unless they have some type of organization or
25	umbrella. And I'm not saying that all of this occurs

1	under a federal marketing order. In fact, a lot of it
2	occurs with other organizations that are associated with
3	the hop industry. They all work together.
4	Q. Don't the Hop Growers of America already
5	have sort of a promotional cheerleading?
6	A. Yes, they do.
7	Q. But how would a marketing order add to
8	that?
9	A. Only in the sense that all the growers
10	are sort of under one umbrella and working together,
11	otherwise you often have the vision within industries
12	and one faction pulling against the other.
13	Q. Do you expect the price of US hops, then,
14	to increase and the price of German and Chinese hops to
15	remain the same?
16	A. No, I didn't say that. I said there's a
17	high correlation between the prices in the US and other
18	places for similar-quality hops.
19	Q. All right, did you expect the other major
20	hop producing regions of the world to sort of follow the
21	US lead and join the US cartel in some way?
22	A. Cartel?
23	Q. Or marketing order?
24	A. The arrangements for selling hops in
25	other countries I think is a little bit different than
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

what exists around here. But I don't expect them,
necessarily, to form a marketing board, let's say, in
another country which is common in some hop producing
countries such as Australia.

- Q. Okay, then. I apologize for maybe beating a dead horse here, but I just -- I'm having a hard time reconciling your testimony that there's -- that are substitutes and your assumption you increase the price of the US hops without affecting the prices of foreign-grown hops. I'm afraid I just don't understand that. If you could walk me through a little bit. How could they be expected to do that?
- A. Well basically, any producer of a product, whether it be a hop grower here or Anheuser-Busch or Coors, all try to develop some loyalty towards their products. And it is, in fact, through marketing efforts you can do that, then there is the possibility of possibly capturing a larger market share, a larger demand for US hops. There's no doubt that the prices among the US and other producing countries are highly correlated and are going to move together for the same quality product.
- Q. Okay. But is there some feature to the marketing order other than its ability to restrict supply that will engender some loyalty by buyers of US York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	hops?
2	A. Not that alone, no, it will not. And
3	they're not attempting to restrict supply. They're
4	trying to create an orderly marketing situation.
5	Q. I thought wasn't the purpose of the
6	marketing to restrict supply?
7	A. Perhaps we're playing with words here,
8	but the provision, the allotment provision, only allow
9	for setting the saleable percentage, which was the
10	amount of hops available to the market from US
11	production.
12	Q. Right and as cultural economists use the
13	term, isn't that a restriction of supply?
14	A. Assuming that other people are willing to
15	supply more, I suppose you could interpret it that way,
16	but I can also take your words of restriction on supply
17	and interpret that as means I'm trying to restrict
18	production, which is against the law under the federal
19	marketing order.
20	Q. No, I'm just talking restriction on
21	supply and reaching the market.
22	A. That's what a producer allocation
23	provision provides for an orderly flow of hops to the
24	market given what the demand is.
25	O. All right. So then the purpose of this

1	order, I suppose, is to restrict the supply of US hops
2	reaching the market?
3	A. The purpose of this order in at least
4	in my interpretation of it is to create an orderly
5	marketing process.
6	Q. Right. By restricting supply.
7	A. I'm not going to say that.
8	Q. Okay. Then, how do you expect, without
9	restricting supply, how do you expect to increase the
10	price of US hops?
11	A. By providing a more orderly supply and
12	through possibility of the industry becoming more
13	focused upon marketing programs.
14	* * *
15	MR. MOODY: Like well, is there okay.
16	Let me get back to my other questions. Is there
17	something I'm missing about the proposed marketing
18	order? I thought it was pretty much a you keep
19	referring to other features or other something other
20	about the order that will increase demand for US hops
21	besides the saleable percentage provisions. Is there
22	something I'm missing?
23	MS. DESKINS: Your Honor, at this point, I
24	think I need to object. I think the witness has
25	answered Mr. Moody's question and at this point I think

York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	it's just badgering the witness.
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: The objection is
3	noted. If I might just jump in, Mr. Moody. Dr.
4	Folwell, are you aware of anything else in the marketing
5	order besides the balancing of supply and demand that
6	might contribute toward increasing the price?
7	DR. FOLWELL: No, Your Honor.
8	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Mr.
9	Moody?
10	MR. MOODY: All right. So the only price
11	increasing potential in the marketing order is its
12	ability to restrict supply reaching the market, is that
13	correct?
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: That
15	mischaracterizes the witness's testimony. Do you want
16	to talk about restricting the supply when demand is low
17	and increasing the supply when demand is high? Is that
18	what you want to ask about?
19	MR. MOODY: Well, Your Honor and Dr. Folwell,
20	what I'm trying to do is I'm maybe you can answer
21	this. I'm trying to reconcile two parts of your
22	testimony. One opts for substitutes in the world
23	market, both imports and exports based on price. And
24	two, that some feature in this marketing order will
25	increase the price of US hops in the long run. I'm
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

i	trying to reconcile those two notions. They seem, in
2	economic terms, mutually inconsistent.
3	DR. FOLWELL: The orderly flow of hops to the
4	market and an assured supply would possibly increase the
5	demand for US hops. It might also, through the
6	administrative committee and by setting the saleable
7	percentage give growers direction in terms of their
8	decision making on what to produce. And by having
9	better market information overall, in terms of having
10	all of the statistics and market information available
11	on their decision making, I would assume that these
12	growers would be making better decisions in terms of
13	what varieties to produce and in what quantities.
14	MR. MOODY: Well, is there some information
15	that would be provided under the marketing order that's
16	not presently available to them?
17	DR. FOLWELL: I think for the average grower,
18	yes. There's a lot of information that will be provided
19	to the growers that they will not make the time and
20	effort or put forth the time and effort right now to
21	collect and analyze.
22	* * *
23	BY MR. MOODY:
24	Q. Because between HGA and USDA and the
25	states, there's quite a bit of information available
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	already and information they get from the brewers and
2	dealers.
3	A. There is, there is.
4	Q. And what else would be provided under the
5	marketing order?
6	A. Contacts with other organizations
7	overseas in terms of providing their interpretation of
8	the trends in the market, that's an example.
9	Q. Isn't that function presently being
10	performed, essentially, by the dealers?
11	A. Yeah. I suppose you could say that, but
12	there's always, you know, when I'm out to buy something
13	I try to buy at the lowest price and if I'm out to sell
14	something, I try to sell it at the highest price.
15	Q. And isn't organizations in the
16	industry like now, like HGA and Yakima Chief and groups
17	Tike that provide that type of coordinating function.
18	A. I think they do provide some of it, but I
19	don't think it's necessarily supplied at the level of
20	sophistication that I observed under the past federal
21	marketing order.
22	* * *
23	MR. MOODY: All right. Thanks very much, Dr.
24	Folwell.
25	DR. FOLWELL: Thank you.

York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

l	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Moody, HGA?
2	MR. MOODY: Hop Growers of America.
3	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Hop okay. Good.
4	Thank you. All right. If there's no objection, I'd
5	like now to go to the three exhibits that we have not
6	yet admitted into evidence and ask if anyone would like
7	to voir dire Dr. Folwell about Exhibit 27 or Exhibit 28
8	or Exhibit 29? And I'm aware that you haven't had a lot
9	of time to have them in your hands, but knowing that
10	this is his last day, probably, with us we need to get
11	to these issues. Would it help if I took a 10-minute
12	break now about that? Does anyone request a 10-minute
13	break right now about that? All right, let's take a
14	just a 10-minute comfort break then please be back ready
15	to go at 2:51.
16	* * *
17	[Off the Record]
18	[On the Record]
19	* * *
20	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: We're back on
21	record at 2:52. During the break a number of people
22	were looking for coffee, hot water for tea in the back
23	of the room. Our arrangements with the hotel are just
24	to have those in the morning, but if you buy a cup at
25	the hostess desk at the coffee shop, I understand that York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	you can refill that all day long at no charge if you
2	keep your cup, so for tomorrow and the rest of the days
3	you may well want to just refill with the hostess at the
4	coffee shop. All right, are there any questions for Dr.
5	Folwell about Exhibits 27, 28, and 29 that would be in
6	the nature of voir dire? There are none. Is there any
7	objection to the admission into evidence of Exhibits 27,
8	28, and 29? There are none. Exhibits 27, 28, and 29
9	are hereby admitted into evidence. Now if you have any
10	additional questions of Dr. Folwell about those exhibits
11	you may ask them at this time. Mr. Carswell?
12	MR. CARSWELL: I just have one. On Exhibit
13	27, near the end in the conclusions, do you say that on
14	the bottom of page 16, first column, you say the degree
15	of price variability during the life of the present
16	order has been less than half that experienced
17	otherwise. Does this take into account the drastic
18	price swings in the early '80s?
19	DR. FOLWELL: I previously attempted to answer
20	that question and I believe and I don't have a copy
21	of the student's thesis with me, but I believe the data
22	ran through I'm trying to find it here through
23	1979, so it wouldn't have had that sharp increase that
24	occurred in '80, '81, '82.
25	MR. CARSWELL: Thank you very much.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Any other questions
2	of Dr. Folwell? Yes, Mr. Roy?
3	MR. ROY: Dr. Folwell, with your experience
4	with the last marketing order, did you experience or
5	hear of any complaints from any of the breweries around
6	the world for the lack of hops from the marketing order?
7	The last one?
8	DR. FOLWELL: No, not really. And I sat at
9	the table with well, you said from brewers?
10	MR. ROY: Yes, yes.
11	DR. FOLWELL: No, I did not.
12	MR. ROY: Okay. One last question. How
13	could you explain how you came to be involved with this,
14	your presentation today?
15	DR. FOLWELL: Of course, sitting on the
16	previous marketing order was one factor that contributed
17	towards it. Another one was possibly the fact that we
18	had done this research on the previous marketing order,
19	which I will tell you made people in the administrative
20	committee nervous that we delving into their behavior
21	and looking at it to see whether they unduly restricted
22	the flow of hops. Another possible reason would be that
23	a number of the hop brewers have been students of mine
24	at the university over time and possibly the last one
25	was that a group of hop growers called me, I believe
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	last spring, late last spring to indicate that they were
2	proposing this order and I had a meeting with them for
3	approximately an hour earlier this year. It must have
4	been in April, because I was going out to an asparagus
5	field, also.
6	MR. ROY: And since that time, your
7	involvement has been have you received any
8	compensation for your
9	DR. FOLWELL: No. I even paid for my own
10	lunch today.
11	MR. ROY: Thank you, Dr. Folwell.
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Is there any reason
13	why Dr. Folwell could not be excused at this time? He's
14	welcome to stay, but he wouldn't be required to. Mr.
15	Monahan, do you have anything else for him?
16	MR. MONAHAN: No, Your Honor.
17	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Dr.
18	Folwell, thank you so much.
19	DR. FOLWELL: Thank you.
20	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: You may step down.
21	Now, as I understand it, today is economists day. So
22	who would be the next one to testify?
23	MR. MOODY: It'll be Dr. Mark Jekanowski from
24	Sparks.
25	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Dr.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

l	Jekanowski, you may come forward.
2	UNKNOWN: Do you have a technical unit?
3	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yes, we'll be off
4	record for two minutes.
5	***
6	[Off the Record]
7	[On the Record]
8	***
9	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: We're back on
10	record at 2:59. Dr. Jekanowski, would you again state
11	your full name and spell it for the record?
12	DR. JEKANOWSKI: My name is Mark David
13	Jekanowski. M-a-r-k D-a-v-i-d J-e-k-a-n-o-w-s-k-i.
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: And before you
15	begin your testimony and your presentation, would you
16	just acquaint us with your educational background and
17	your work experience?
18	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Sure.
19	MR. MOODY: Excuse me, Your Honor? If it's
20	all right, can we have an oath first?
21	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Oh, thank you, Mr.
22	Moody. The oath. Would you raise your right hand,
23	please?
24	* * *
25	[Witness sworn]
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	***
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you.
3	MS. DESKINS: Your Honor, I have one other
4	thing. I know last time Mr. Moody had trouble looking
5	at the overheads. Is there a copy that can be provided
6	to him?
7	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Jekanowski, you
8	may now distribute copies of the presentation.
9	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Okay. I have one, two,
10	three, four, five. They're a little bit well, let me
11	give one to Mr. Moody to start. They're a little bit
12	small print, but I think Jim is familiar with the
13	presentation.
14	MS. DESKINS: Your Honor, maybe this would be
15	another thing to bring up for the record. We seem to be
16	having the continuing problem of people not bringing in
17	enough copies. I think what's pretty apparent is you
18	need to bring in, I would say at least 15, because the
19	lack of copies is holding things up and time is becoming
20	an issue, so maybe in the future we could remind
21	everyone to bring a sufficient number of copies.
22	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Okay.
23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you.
24	DR. JEKANOWSKI: And I apologize for that.
25	Actually, what happened was they were in the process of
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

I	printing out 12 and the printer jammed and they lost the
2	original, so I just found out now that I don't have the
3	full amount of copies. But I do have
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Court reporter.
5	Mr. Carswell's willing to give up one of his. That's
6	good. Will you want this to be an exhibit that goes in
7	the official record, Dr. Jekanowski?
8	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Yes, I would.
9	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. If you
10	also want the typist to have a copy for any terms you're
11	using that the typist may be unfamiliar with, then the
12	court reporter will need an additional one.
13	DR. JEKANOWSKI: I'll provide one.
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Now,
15	this should be marked, then, as Exhibit 30. I'm going
16	to ask the court reporter to mark that as Exhibit 30.
17	All right, you were going to tell us about your
18	education and your work experience.
19	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Okay. I hold a bachelor's
20	degree from University of Massachusetts in Amherst in
21	Resource Economics and a masters and Ph.D. degree in
22	Agricultural Economics from Perdue University. After
23	leaving Perdue, I worked with USDA's Economic Research
24	Service for about three and a half years prior to coming
25	to Sparks and I've been employed as an economist with
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North Copros St. Vort. DA 17401 (717) 954 0077

1	Sparks for, I think, the past three years.
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: And would you
3	describe for us what type of company Sparks is and in
4	what part of it you work?
5	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Sure. Sparks is an economic
6	consulting company. It we believe we're the biggest
7	of our type of consulting company in agriculture. We
8	work primarily with agricultural firms doing market
9	analysis, helping to helping firms manage risks,
10	manage inventories. And we also do general consulting
11	such as benefit cost analyses and for firms interested
12	in entering different types of industries and whatnot,
13	and also policy analysis. And I work in the Washington,
14	D.C. office of Sparks and my responsibilities are
15	primarily focused on agriculture policy analysis.
16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. So
17	since your achieving your Ph.D., have you had about six
18	or seven years in working in economics?
19	DR. JEKANOWSKI: That's right, yeah. Five and
20	a half to six, I would say.
21	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Thank
22	you. You may proceed.
23	***
24	MARK DAVID JEKANOWSKI,
25	having first been duly sworn, according to the law,
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	testified as follows:
2	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Okay. As you see, I have a
3	presentation here and I again apologize for not having
4	as many handouts as I would like, but what I plan to do
5	is go through my presentation line by line and I'll sort
6	of paraphrase where possible, or where I think
7	appropriate, but I think the presentation really lays
8	out, from my perspective, the argument why this
9	marketing order is generally not a good idea, bad for
10	the industry. Aside from the fact that it will create
11	winners and losers within the industry, I also believe
12	it's just fundamentally not going to work and not going
13	to achieve its intended goals. And I should also note
14	that I'm I don't claim to be an expert in hops.
15	Prior to working on this project, I my only
16	experience with hops was through the end product. I
17	didn't know what a hop plant looked like, but what I am
18	is an economist, and what economists do is study markets
19	and study the effects of different constraints on
20	markets and really try to look at unintended
21	consequences of different policy shifts, or policy
22	changes, and that's really the capacity with which I
23	entered this project. And I think that, from my own
24	perspective, this marketing order provides a
25	fascinating, almost text book case of a policy that will
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	provide tremendous unintended consequences and it
2	ultimately won't work and ultimately can't work. And I
3	hope to demonstrate why over the course of my
4	presentation. Just to kind of get us all on the same
5	page. I wanted to go briefly through the key provisions
6	of the marketing order. We heard a fair amount of
7	testimony from different proponents who testified that
8	there's a need for a marketing order, but then when
9	quizzed, they you know, said that they didn't
10	necessarily study this proposal, in particular. But I
11	think, in order to really get a handle and an
12	understanding of what the effects of this marketing
13	order are, or will be, we need to at least briefly go
14	through what the key provisions are and what and why
15	those provisions will ultimately affect the market.
16	First of all, as has come out throughout the testimony
17	in both direct and cross-examination deal the main
18	provision, or one of the main provisions of this
19	marketing order is allotments, producer allotments,
20	which will be issued based on each producer's highest
21	alpha production between 1997 and 2002. Highest alpha
22	acid production. And a committee, I believe, of eight
23	people it was proposed, will set a saleable quantity,
24	which will legally limit the amount of alpha acid
25	marketable each year by each grower. At least, that's
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

my understanding from a non-regal perspective from
reading the provisions. It will also develop, or
establish a reserve pool. And we haven't heard any
testimony so far about how the reserve pool will operate
or what a reserve pool is, but I think it's sufficient
to say that the reserve pool will be the sole outlet for
hops produced in excess of the saleable. So any grower
who produces more hops than he is allowed to under the
saleable, can only send his hops to the reserve pool.
So that's what really restricts the supply to the
market. And those hops are held off the market until
the following year, or until approved by the committee.
Other provisions of the marketing order, severe
restriction on entry of new growers and I'll go
through in some of my later testimony to describe these
restrictions and their likely implications. And I think
it's also readily acknowledged that allotments can be
sold, leased, or traded among growers, although I'm not
real clear on whether they can be leased or not, but it
can at least be transferred, sold, among growers. So I
think that sort of should put us kind of all on the same
page so we kind of know the basic provisions of this
marketing order. Now, I think it's worthwhile to sort
of step back and take a sort of text book definition
kind of analysis of production controls generally, which
York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	I believe this marketing order, it is a form of
2	production control. And ultimately, the concept is to
3	restrict supply to affect prices and it's to raise
4	prices. And despite what we hear that the intention is
5	to provide orderly marketing and you know, not
6	necessarily raise prices, I think it's fair to say that
7	if prices were at historic highs right now, we wouldn't
8	be sitting here talking about a marketing order. I
9	mean, the goal here, whether it's implied or explicit,
10	is to raise prices by restricting supply, in my belief.
11	So again, back sort of to the text book, you know,
12	requirements for how this might be possible. I think
13	one of the obvious necessary conditions for it is strict
14	enforcement with strict penalties, so in this case, I
15	believe it's dealers who are regulated and restricted on
16	what they can purchase from growers and ultimately sell
17	to their consumers. And we heard a little bit of
18	testimony or cross-examination, at least, by Mr. Moody
19	about what some of those penalties would be under this
20	marketing order, if you get caught selling beyond your
21	saleable, or even not keeping the right types of
22	records, or et cetera. So there certainly has to be
23	penalties in place and they have to be severe in order
24	to make this concept work. But aside from that, there's
25	also a lot of conditions, a lot of market conditions
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	that would be preferred in order for this type of policy
2	to achieve its stated goals. And those conditions
3	include border controls, in other words, some type of
4	restriction on what we can import. Again, I think it
5	came out over and over, maybe, you know, just constantly
6	in the cross-examination that if you only restrict 25
7	percent of the market, you have the remaining 75 percent
8	of the world market, potentially, as the source of
9	import. So ideally, we would have some kind of border
i 0	control, some quotas in place, or very stiff tariffs
11	that would restrict supplies coming in. Another
12	preferred condition is an inelastic demand and price
13	response and that was, in fact, given as one of the
14	justifications for this marketing order. But I think
15	from testimony this morning in cross-examination and
16	then, I think, just among economists, generally, there's
17	some disagreement on whether the hop market is
18	characterized by an inelastic demand and price response.
19	But nevertheless, that's a preferred condition. Another
20	preferred condition is perfect foresight by the central
21	planner. Again, this hop marketing committee is
22	speaking on behalf of the entire industry in determining
23	what every grower, essentially, can produce and well,
24	not produce I'll be careful there but,
25	essentially, it's determining what the entire industry
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

I	can supply into the market. So it would certainly help
2	if we had a real precise, accurate measure of
3	forecasting what the future demand and supply will be.
4	Another preferred condition is would be simplicity
5	and accuracy in measuring output. Now, in this case
6	we're regulating or the the order proposes to
7	regulate alpha acid and just as I can't claim to be an
8	expert in hops, I'm also not a chemist, but I think it's
9	worth at least examining the accuracy with which alpha
10	acid can be measured and different types of hops and
11	under different conditions and whether, you know, the
12	degree to which this output can be accurately measured.
13	And another condition is would be, ideally, the
14	product that we're regulating is ultimately
15	indistinguishable. It's a commodity product and would
16	with little need for substitution within the
17	regulated market. And my, you know, key point in
18	thinking about these preferred conditions and I think
19	this came out and it's coming out over and over again in
20	the especially in the cross-examination, is that most
21	of these preferred conditions, if not all of them, are
22	absent in the current hop industry and the result of
23	that will be ultimately, could be order this
24	marketing order won't achieve its stated goals. So
25	again, just sort of continuing a sort of a text book
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

case of supply controls, text book case of this allotment program, it's worthwhile to think of the short run and the long run. Market impacts, if you will. I think we could possibly say that in the short run, any restriction on supply might artificially boost prices. Might raise prices above current levels. It's just a common, you know, characteristic of the supply curve. common knowledge of economics would tell you that if you restrict supply, you might boost prices al el sequel [ph]. But the al el sequel caveat there sort of becomes less binding pretty quickly and then we can look at the long run. Once markets adjust, what happens after the supply is restricted. And I think it's safe to say I don't think that any of these conditions would be in dispute among economists that in the long run, any revenue gains associated with increased prices that are 17 affected by this marketing order will get capitalized into the allotment base. And I'll talk more about that 18 19 later in my presentation. Also, I think it's safe to say that the customers -- in this case, the brewers or 20 the dealer -- will search for alternative sources of supply, alternative sources of hops, and that means in 22 most likely foreign supply, maybe even supplying 23 24 different states in the US. We just don't know. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Let's change the 25 York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

I	tape.
2	***
3	[Off the Record]
4	[On the Record]
5	***
6	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right, we
7	changed the tape at 3:17. It's still 3:17. You may
8	continue, Dr. Jekanowski.
9	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
10	Just continuing on here. Looking at the long run
11	effects of these types of supply controls. I think it's
12	safe to say that any higher market prices, world market
13	prices that would result from this marketing order would
14	also encourage investment in new planting in other
15	growing regions that aren't regulated overseas,
16	particularly. I think it's also safe to say that
17	domestic incentives for technological innovation are
18	reduced. I think you should we need to sort of ask
19	ourselves that whether for instance, whether high
20	alpha hops ever would've been developed if the marketing
21	order were in place. And I think Mr. Carswell pointed
22	this out. In most cases, economists think technological
23	change is a good thing. If you can more of a product on
24	less resources and less land, that's society benefits
25	from that. But by regulating alpha acid, for instance,
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

```
1
       in this manner, I think incentives to increase
2
       productivity further, are clearly, clearly sacrificed.
3
       And ultimately, US competitiveness is sacrificed.
       benefits primarily go to other producers, to foreign
4
5
       producers who can supply the market at the expense of
       the US industry. Now, kind of getting away a little bit
       from the text book theory, I think it's worthwhile to
7
8
       kind of step back and take a look at some of the basic
9
       characteristics of the hop industry today, at least my
       understanding of the hop industry. I think it's safe to
10
       say that everybody in this room would agree that the hop
11
12
       market is global and that prices are determined in the
13
       world markets. And we believe that the US share of
14
       world production is roughly 25 percent. Maybe we don't
       know exactly what it is, but we certainly know it's far
15
16
       less than 50 percent and maybe more than 10 percent, so
17
       we're kind of using 25 percent as a benchmark. I think
18
       it's also safe to say that if there's any border
19
       controls that are currently in effect are very minor.
       There's a minor duty for leaf hops and a minor duty for
20
       extract. And by minor, I mean minor in terms of in
21
22
       relation to the value of that product that's bough and
       sold in markets. So they're certainly too low to cause
23
24
       any severe restriction on imports. We also know -- or
25
       at least the data shows -- the value of US hop exports
                         York Stenographic Services, Inc.
```

1	are trending higher and that the trade surplus is
2	growing. Ultimately, we're exporting the value of our
3	exports is greater than the value of our imports of
4	hops. And finally and I think this came out pretty
5	clearly in this morning's testimony that the degree of
6	price elasticity is very much open to debate in the hop
7	industry. It's certainly true I'll buy the statement
8	that there's few substitutes for alpha acid, but looking
9	at the market on a global scale, you know, the US market
10	is open to foreign trade, which would imply a more
11	elastic price response. Now, just I mentioned on my
12	earlier slide here that US balance of trade is
13	increasing. This is just data right from the Hop
14	Growers of America, from the statistical packet that
15	they put out each year, and I think it's interesting to
16	note that I marked out the period during at least,
17	for the time period that I had for the data going back,
18	I marked out the period before or I should say during
19	the previous marketing order and after the current [sic]
20	marketing order. And you know, a few things are evident
21	here and you know, the you know, correlation isn't
22	doesn't necessarily imply causality, but I think it's
23	safe to say that after the demise of the last marketing
24	order and after those supply controls were lifted, our
25	trade balances increased, which to me implies that the
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

competitiveness of the US hop industry has increased over time. It trended higher. Certainly, its variable on the trend is certainly up. Now, you might want to point out that big jump in the year 1979 to 1980, but it's my understanding that that is the time period that corresponds with the major German crop failure, so it's not surprising that we had to export, at that period, to supply the market. But if you get rid of that one data point there, I think it's pretty clear that exports -the balance of trade was, at best, steady or trending lower. Now, back to some sort of text book analysis of what we can expect from these types of supply controls are from this market order, in particular. Again, I'll just go through these quick, because we've sort of hit on them over and over again, but I think it's clear to say that any restriction on US supply will provide signals for foreign competitors to increase their own supply. I mean, you can ask yourself if you knew that Germany was going to decrease their supply by some percent, I think most hop growers in this room would probably take that as a signal to increase their own production and I don't think there's any reason to think that German producers or Chinese producers or maybe French producers aren't going to ultimately react in the same way. Again, product flows freely across national York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
1
       borders. I think I mentioned that already. And again,
2
       even just the expectations of reduced US supply provide
       a signal to competitors to increase. If I were a German
3
4
       producer right now and I saw the US hop industry
5
       seriously considering instituting a market order, I
       think I'd start, you know, ramping up my readiness to
6
7
       plant more hops. It's just a signal of what's to come,
8
       especially if the intent is to raise world market
9
       prices. Another point, sort of text book results,
10
       supply controls stifle -- supply controls of this type
11
       stifle domestic innovation and technology adoption. I
        think I mentioned that one already. Certainly decreases
12
13
        the incentives to reduce costs and improve efficiency
14
       and it also decreases incentives to explore new markets
15
       and product uses. It really takes the burden off the
       growers or off the industry, of finding ways to grow the
16
17
        industry. If all you're thinking about is how to
        restrict the industry to raise prices, it clearly
18
19
        provides less incentives to try to grow the industry.
20
        And it also, as I'll show later in my testimony, has a
        potential to increase costs, which is also, you know,
21
22
        adding -- potentially adding to inefficiency in crowding
23
        out investment in new technology. But I'll cover that a
24
        little later in my testimony, as well. A couple of more
        unintended consequences and some of these are actually
25
                         York Stenographic Services, Inc.
```

```
1
        intended, you know, it restricts individual producers'
       ability to respond to market signals. I think that's
2
       the intent of this marketing order, basically, that some
3
       producers don't want other producers to keep production
       at their current level, or some producers don't want
5
6
       other producers to increase their production. So
       certainly, that sort of erodes the ability for
7
       individual producers to make their own choices and to
8
       respond to market signals as they see them. It imposes
9
10
       burdensome costs on producers hoping to expand, increase
       efficiency, or take risks. I think clearly, again, this
11
       came out over and over in the cross-examination. If
12
        supply restrictions increase the value of base, that's a
13
       burdensome cost on some producers. I don't think
14
15
        there's any way around it. And again, it inevitably
16
       will lead to a market for allotments and inequitable and
        inefficient transfers of wealth among producers. And
17
        I'll address that point in more detail real soon. Okay,
18
19
        this is just -- this slide just kind of gives a brief
        review of the issues I'm going to cover in the upcoming
20
        slides. Sort of keeping the focus on economics and
21
        economic theory, I want to first review the inequity of
22
23
       base allocation under this proposed marketing order.
24
        want to talk a little bit about setting the saleable
        quantity. I guess I agree with Dr. Folwell that we
25
                         York Stenographic Services, Inc.
```

1	don't know what we don't know exactly what the
2	saleable quantity will be, but I think we can come
3	pretty close. We can based on some assumptions that
4	we can make, we can come up with a pretty good idea of
5	where we might end up in the first year. I want to tall
6	a little bit about markets for allotments, inter-
7	industry wealth transfers, distribution of costs and
8	benefits that's basically the same as inequity or
9	base allocation potential negative effect on aroma
10	hops. Even though the proponents of the marketing orde:
11	seem to admit from time to time that the problem isn't
12	in aroma hops, it's in alpha production, but I don't
13	think there's any way to get around the fact that this
14	marketing order will affect aroma hops and have a
15	negative effect. And I also want to talk a little bit
16	about implications for the entry of new growers.
17	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Beginning now, Dr.
18	Jekanowski, would you state the page number of your
19	slide as you go on to the next one?
20	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Sure. Okay, moving to slide
21	10 on page four of my handout okay, yeah. I'm going
22	to go through these real quick. I actually I did
23	this at the beginning of my presentation. Marketing
24	order specifics. And this these are what I'm going
25	to use to do my little analysis of the effects on
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	transfers of wealth and setting the allotment. Okay, we
2	know that the base allotments will be allocated
3	according to the highest alpha production from 1997 to
4	2002. We also know that the alpha base determination
5	considers the actual alpha for varieties over 10
6	percent, while lower yielding varieties are provided a
7	flat 10 percent. I don't think that's straight out
8	of the proposed language. And we also know that most
9	recent year production is ignored. And I presume that
10	will always be the case, even if this marketing order
11	didn't come into effect next year, but maybe the year
12	following, perhaps they'll update the years, but in any
13	case, the most recent year production is always ignored.
14	Okay, moving to slide 11, again on page four of my
15	handout. So what I'd like to do now is do sort of a
16	rough analysis and I'll admit, this is a back-of-the-
17	envelope type of analysis, but I think it's pretty
18	powerful, nonetheless and I'd like to determine the
19	likely scenario for industry-wide base allotment in
20	saleable quantity. In one my finding or, you
21	know, one of my main findings will depend upon the
22	fact that industry allotment, as designed, will far
23	exceed recent alpha production trends. In other words,
24	in the way economists would refer to this is that the
25	initial base will not represent a competitive
	York Stenographic Services Inc

York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	equilibrium in this industry. And I find it interesting
2	that actually, in testimony in Portland, I got the
3	impression that perhaps the proponents thought that this
4	was a benefit. That having excess base available was a
5	positive aspect of their proposal. I think it's just
6	the opposite. I think this excess base available is
7	what will exacerbate the need for wealth transfers among
8	producers and ultimately lead to price for
9	allotments. And I'll follow through my reasoning here
10	in a second. But be that as it may, if you have a
11	dramatic inflated base, an inflated allotment base, it
12	will, by design, require a dramatic cut in the saleable
13	in order to reduce supply. And again, the degree of
14	wealth redistribution will be amplified by this inflated
15	base. Now how do I come up with these conclusions? On
16	slide 12 of again, of page four of my handout, just
17	based on the industry characteristics that we know from
18	the statistics that are provided to us, we know that hop
19	production is trending lower and it has certainly
20	trended lower between 1997 and 2002. We know that aroma
21	acreage accounts for between 30 and 40 percent of the
22	total supply. We know or at least, I know now I
23	now know from the people in the hop industry who have
24	been who have taught me about this, that alpha acid
25	from aroma hops averages about six percent or less,
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	sometimes far less, but just based on the language of
2	the order, the allotment will assign a flat 10 percent.
3	And I think it shouldn't be in dispute that given these
4	characteristics, all growers will choose their highest
5	alpha production during this 1997 to 2002 period, also
6	taking into account the hop the aroma hop premium.
7	So just to sort of give a little visual of hop
8	production, again, this is straight out of USDA/NASS
9	data. This isn't alpha acid, this hop pounds, but just
10	I mean, it does illustrate clearly hop production is
11	trending lower, or has been trending lower since 1997.
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Would you tell us
13	about the acronym NASS? What
14	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Oh, I'm
15	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:letters are
16	included and what it means?
17	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Yeah, NASS is USDA's National
18	Agricultural Statistics Service. It's sort of the main
19	market reporting service of USDA. Again, just to recap
20	here, because I don't want anybody to lose this fact
21	that aroma hops contribute a flat 10 percent for base.
22	And then I did make a few assumptions in my analysis.
23	And they're assumptions that are actually sort of based
24	on actual industry statistics as best as I could collect
25	and these assumptions include the bitter hops, in other
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	words, alpha hops, have been increasing in their average
2	alpha percentage over time and just based on a weighted
3	average of production by variety over this time period,
4	and using the alpha percentages that are on the Hop
5	Growers of America's web page, I estimated that the
6	alpha composition of alpha hops was about 12 and a half
7	percent in 1997 and it increased to 13 percent in 2002.
8	And I think that that's very conservative, especially
9	knowing that there's some super-high alpha varieties out
10	there that far exceed these numbers. Of one assumption
11	is that all growers will know their past production
12	trends and alpha averages without any cheating.
13	Clearly, there'll be incentives to cheat, but we'll
14	ignore that for a moment. And again, just to recap, all
15	growers will demand their base equal to the highest
16	estimated alpha production. Okay, moving to slide 15,
17	just based simply on those industry facts, we can come
18	up with a rough estimate of the lower bound for an
19	industry base. And that's simply based on the fact that
20	1997 was the highest year for alpha production again,
21	taking into account the fact that all aroma hops
22	produced that year will contribute 10 percent, much
23	higher than alpha. So if we assumed that there was only
24	one grower in the industry, if the industry was
25	represented by one grower, he or she would certainly
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	17.4 N - - - - - - -

ı	pick 1997 to set his or her base. So again, that gives
2	us a lower bound, but it's at a very conservative lower
3	bound because certainly, some producers increased over
4	this time and will pick 2002 or 2001 instead of 1997.
5	But again, this gives us a very conservative starting
6	place. Moving on to slide 16, this lower bound that I
7	calculated based on my simple analysis comes up to about
8	8.7 million pounds of alpha based on what the alpha
9	production was in 1997 and based on this aroma market
10	premium. So it exceeds 8.7 million pounds. Now, I
11	don't think, given that some producers will choose years
12	later than 1997 because their production has increased,
13	I don't think it's unreasonable to inflate that
14	slightly. And I inflate it just a little bit, five
15	percent to account for the fact that some producers will
16	choose a later year and so from that I come up with a
17	very conservative base estimate of about 9.2 million
18	pounds of base. Now, to put that into perspective, the
19	actual alpha production in 2002 was about 6.9 million
20	pounds and the average production from 1997 through
21	2002, over this whole period, was about 7 million
22	pounds. So clearly, the base is starting out highly
23	inflated. Based on that, without even considering an
24	effect on supply, the saleable quantity will have to be
25	set at roughly 75 percent just to equal 2002 production.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

```
So that's not even talking about a cut in supplies.
Basically, we're starting out from 75 percent. Okay,
moving on to slide 17. Again, 2002 alpha production was
6.9 million pounds. Again, to sort of continue this
back-of-the-envelope analysis, let's assume that
objective of the committee was to reduce stocks that are
-- reduce the amount of stocks in the market and we've
heard, you know, references to the fact that there's
excess supply in the market and maybe stocks are too
high. So let's assume that we want to decrease stocks
to 2001 levels. Now, again, USDA -- the National Ag
Statistic Service estimates that as of September 1 of
this year, stocks held by dealer growers -- now, this is
assuming the category that we want to decrease -- stocks
held by dealer growers were about 34 million pounds.
Now, just merely for simplicity, because it makes the
math easy, let's assume that they average 10 percent
alpha. That implies that stocks held by dealer growers
in -- as of just a couple of months ago were about 3.4
million pounds of alpha. Now, if we assume a perfectly
stable market with no changes in the demand or exports
or imports or domestic use characteristics, to draw down
stocks to 2001 levels, when they were -- when USDA
reports that were 16 million pounds, that would require,
again, assuming at 10 percent alpha, that would require
                 York Stenographic Services, Inc.
```

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
1
       a 1.8 million pound decrease in alpha production for
2
       next year. So moving on to slide 18, again, just to --
3
       I want to keep in your mind the fact that 2002 alpha
4
       production was 6.9 million pounds -- and reducing alpha
5
       stocks to 2001 levels requires 1.8 million pound
6
       production decrease next year. That implies that next
7
       year the committee would -- could conceivably set the
8
       saleable at 5.1 million pounds of alpha. Again, with
9
       the inflated base of 9.2 million pounds, that comes out
       to a saleable of 55.4 percent. And again, I think that
10
       -- you know, you can do your own back-of-the-envelope
11
       analysis. I think I was conservative in every turn
12
13
       here. Conservative in terms of what the actual base
14
       will be. Probably conservative in terms of what the
       saleable will be. And still, we come up with a saleable
15
       of 55 percent. Again, recalling that we're starting out
16
17
       at 75 percent just to even not affect supply.
       does that mean? Moving on to slide 19. If nothing
18
19
       else, I think the most -- for lack of a better word --
20
       offensive aspect of this marketing order is the
21
       transfers of wealth between producers that will result
       from this very characteristic of the marketing order.
22
       And I'm going to do a couple hypotheticals here. I know
23
24
       that we like hypotheticals. But again, you're free to
       question my assumptions. I think they're pretty sound.
25
```

York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	But let's consider two farms. Farm number one has
2	declining acreage over time and has generally not
3	invested in new technology, has generally new
4	varieties or improved varieties. They grow a hundred
5	percent cluster, which with an average alpha of eight
6	percent and a yield of 1870 pounds per acre. And these
7	I'm not really making those numbers up, I those
8	are based on, you know, the range of yields and alpha
9	percentages that provided right on the Hop Growers of
10	America's web page. Okay. So that's farm one, clearly
11	declining over time. Now, farm two is in a little bit
12	different situation. Let's assume that his acreage has
13	been increasing over time and in 1997, he was identical
14	to farm number one in that he was growing 100 percent
15	cluster variety and then but after 1997 he started
16	investing in high alpha hops, perhaps because he had
17	contracts for his alpha production. And as a result,
18	his average alpha increased from eight percent in 1997
19	to let's say 15 percent in 2002 and at the same time
20	yields also increased from 1870 pounds per acre, again,
21	the same as farm number one to 2400 pounds per acre in
22	2002. And this just putting the numbers up. I just
23	kind of assumed the direct trend, I mean, there's
24	nothing fancy here, but it's showing that the alpha
25	production in the yield and acreage for these two
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

```
ì
       separate -- for these two hypothetical farms.
2
       might, you know, think about your own production in this
3
       example, instead, if you don't want to use the
4
       hypothetical. But now, let's return to the fact that we
5
       think that the saleable will be set at 55 percent or
              So what's the implication there? Well, for one
6
       thing, considering farm two, this guy who's been
7
8
       expanding because maybe he has a, you know, the market
9
       opportunities to market his hops. Again, 2002
10
       production, or -- yeah. No -- excuse me, 2003
       production is ignored and it actually equals 353,000
11
12
       pounds of -- 353,600 pounds of alpha. That's the bar on
13
        the far -- it would be your right. Now, he's going to
14
       choose his base based on his highest historic
15
       production, so clearly, he's going to be limited to
        2002, whatever he produced in 2002, which in this case
16
17
        was 300,000 pounds. So that's where he's starting out.
        Now, if we set the saleable at 55 percent of that, then
18
19
        that allows him to market freely 165,000 pounds of hops,
20
        which is actually only 47 percent of what he marketed in
21
        2003. So again, the additional base that he'll need to
22
        purchase to maintain trend production is roughly 235,000
        pounds and just to equal 2003 production, in other
23
24
        words, to not even increase his supply, he'll need to
        acquire about 188,000 pounds of base. So that's farm
25
                         York Stenographic Services, Inc.
```

1	two. Well, now let's take a look at farm one under the
2	same condition of a 55 percent saleable. Now, he's
3	going to choose base equal to his highest production,
4	which is going to be 1997. Now, you might be wondering
5	well, how come his base comes up to 187,000 pounds,
6	which is clearly higher than his 1997 production. But
7	the reason is that his hops produced less than 10
8	percent alpha, so he's given an automatic boost right
9	from the start. And now he set his saleable at 55
10	percent, everybody's subject to the same saleable. That
11	implies 102,000 pounds of alpha he can market which,
12	again, 2003 isn't considered by the marketing order. So
13	despite the fact that he's facing a 55 percent saleable,
14	he still receives excess allotment for use or sale of
15	20,750 pounds, excess beyond what he produced in his
16	most recent year. So clearly, he will be able to higher
17	increase his production despite the marketing order, or
18	sell his base to somebody who might need it more. I
19	don't think this point can be overstated. Moving on to
20	slide 23. Again, the industry base will far exceed
21	normal production. A conservative saleable estimate
22	could be 55 percent or less. And on these two
23	hypothetical farms that I discussed, the expanding
24	producer will need to purchase 53 percent more alpha
25	base just to maintain his 2003 production for really an
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

```
effective saleable of 47 percent facing that producer.
While the declining producer will be rewarded with 25
percent more alpha base than he or she produced in 2003.
So that grower's effective saleable is 125 percent.
maybe -- in our testimony and in some of the testimony
in Portland, some people were curious why, in cross-
examination, we would ask producers whether their
production increased or decreased over time.
think -- I hope that this example makes it clear the
inequity of the transfer of wealth or maybe their
business transaction between the declining producer and
the expanding producer. I think it's pretty clear.
There was some talk -- moving on to slide 24.
some mention that, you know, maybe the inflated base
will allow base to not take on a value, or at least not
to take on a very high value. But I don't think that
makes sense, economically, because base will be viewed
as a productive asset. It almost be definition has to
have a market value to those who need it, to those who
are faced with that constraint, they're going to have to
pay for it in order to gain access to it. Generally
speaking, this again is back to text book economics
here. Economics says that the unit price of base will
equal the present discounted value of all future
revenues from that base. So basically, the value of
                 York Stenographic Services, Inc.
```

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
1
       that base will be bid up to what somebody sees is all of
2
       the future value to holding to that base. That's -- we
       can't say exactly what the price of base will be from
3
4
       the get-go, but we certainly know what will -- from
5
       where the base will derive its value. And its supply --
       it would depend on many factors. The supply of base --
6
7
       tighter restrictions will increase its price and really
       here the tighter restrictions would mean lower
8
       saleables, especially, will increase its price. And the
9
10
       expected future price of hops -- if growers think this
       marketing order will increase the price, then they'll be
11
12
       willing to pay more for it. And interest rates, to some
13
       degree, because I assume that most growers will have to
14
       borrow money to pay for their base. Moving on to slide
15
        25. Just historically -- when I was -- when I started
16
       doing this analysis, it became pretty clear to me that
        there were more records out there of what base used to
17
        sell for. Very few records are available. These
18
19
        transactions occur in private, but estimates range from
20
        about .20 per pound in the 1960s to well over a dollar a
21
        pound in the early 1980s and even today we found out
22
        that perhaps high as $2 and I think in Oregon, in
23
        Portland, one producer mentioned he paid 3.25. But in
24
        any case, the price fluctuated wildly and was not a
25
        minor amount. So to just kind of put this in
                         York Stenographic Services, Inc.
```

perspective, assuming 10 percent alpha just because the math is easy if we assume 10 percent, and again, that's going to be conservative. If we -- if the price of base averaged .50 over the life of the last marketing order, then that would imply about \$5 for one pound of alpha base under a similar situation. So again, to put that in perspective, that expanding producer that I spoke of just a few minutes ago, if he's required to purchase 188,000 pounds of base, that could cost him more than \$900,000. And again, I can't overemphasize that all of the estimates are conservative. I'm not hiding anything here. I think I stated my assumptions very clearly. that producer who has a market for his hops and has expanded because of he has a market for his hops could be faced with next year having to incur almost a million dollars in new, unexpected costs. If leasing is available and that is an available option -- and that's not clear to me, really, by the way the proposal's written -- if leasing is an option, if would certainly be a lower cost option for producers, but it would still be a huge, burdensome, and unexpected new cost to that producer. Moving on to slide 26, and to sort of address this question directly, because it was sort of alluded to in Portland, or at least I though it was, that won't the inflated base decrease the price of base, perhaps, York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	to zero? Maybe if we have extra base out there, it'll
2	be freely available. That's increasing the supply,
3	it'll decrease the price. I mean, that's kind of the
4	logic that we were offered. But I think the answer is
5	absolutely not. That that's not the right way of
6	looking at this market. It's the saleable, not the
7	initial allotment that will determine how scarce base is
8	and ultimately will determine the price of base in the
9	market. The willingness to pay, in other words, the
10	demand for base, will reflect the degree to which some
11	producers are constrained by the allotment.
12	MR. CARSWELL: If you think you're on slide
13	26, you're actually on slide 25. Just so you know.
14	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Oh, you're right. My numbers
15	are slightly different. I'm sorry about that. Okay,
16	you're right. I am on slide 25.
17	MR. CARSWELL: I wanted to make sure you're on
18	the slide you wanted to be on.
19	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Yeah.
20	MR. CARSWELL: Sorry about that.
21	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Yeah, I am on the slide I
22	want to be on, but yeah, thank you for pointing it out.
23	Okay, slide 25. Again, the willingness to pay in
24	other words, the demand for base will reflect the degree
25	to which some producers are constrained by the
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	allotment. So that farm two will be forced to have to
2	pay a large amount just to get the allotment that he
3	needs. And then I would also say that the degree of
4	wealth redistribution increases as base is inflated. So
5	this inflated base adds to the problem, it doesn't solve
6	the problem. It actually adds to the problem because
7	even without a cut in alpha supply under this scenario,
8	the expanding producers would have to buy base from a
9	declining producer. So again, if we assume that this
10	marketing order will work by restricting supply, will
11	work by raising prices by restricting supply, well, if
12	we set the saleable at 75 percent, that'll have no
13	impact on price, no impact on total marketings, but
14	it'll still require some producers to purchase base from
15	others. And I just point that out, again, these
16	hypotheticals. Same two farms oops. Oh, I'm sorry.
17	Actually, I didn't click it. You're absolutely right.
18	Let me just back up for a second and I'm looking at my
19	notes, but I should've been looking at the screen.
20	Again, to address the question of whether the inflated
21	base will decrease the price of base, perhaps to zero, I
22	think the answer is absolutely not. Again, recap. The
23	saleable is what determines the scarcity of base, not
24	the initial allotment. The willingness to pay for base
25	will reflect the degree to which some producers are
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	constrained by the allotment and the degree of wealth
2	redistribution increases as base is inflated. And even
3	without a cut in the alpha supply, expanding producers
4	would have to buy base from declining producers. So now
5	I think I've caught back up on my slides and I'm going
6	to move to slide 27, which again, we're going to revisit
7	these two hypothetical farms. And just assume for a
8	minute that we set the initial saleable at 80 percent,
9	which again, based on my analysis, my back-of-the-
10	envelope analysis, would actually imply increase in
11	supply, not a decrease. It's actually implying an
12	increase in market supply. Well, the declining
13	producer, under this scenario, again with the same
14	187,000 pounds of initial base, would then have 52,350
15	pounds of base available to sell. Moving on to slide
16	28. The expanding producer or I should say the producer
17	who expanded over time, would be required to purchase
18	160,000 pounds of base just to maintain his trend or
19	113,000 pounds of base to equal his 2003 production. So
20	again and this is without a restriction on total
21	market supply. It's clearly a transfer of wealth within
22	the industry. So again, to recap real carefully here
23	what the implications are of the inflated base. And now
24	I'm on page [sic] 29. 80 percent saleable implies 7.4
25	million pounds of alpha, which far exceeds 2002
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	production, so now it would appear to me not to be a
2	supplier restriction. But despite that, farm two must
3	purchase 113,600 pounds of base just to equal his 2003
4	production, which by the way, wasn't even considered in
5	his initial allotment base. And farm one, on the other
6	hand, has decreased over time, has 52,350 pounds
7	available to sell. So even without a restriction on
8	alpha supply, hence, no price impact, some farmers will
9	see sharply higher costs and those farmers who will
10	sharply higher costs are precisely the ones who
11	increased their production over time. Or who produce
12	high alpha varieties, perhaps. Okay, slide 30.
13	Implications for markets for base, which I think has
14	been acknowledged that there will be markets for base
15	and that base will have value. I don't think there's
16	any easy way to get around from the get around the
17	fact that it will be a new cost of operation for some
18	producers. And it will upset previous financial plans.
19	Another implication is that growers who are required to
20	purchase base simply to honor existing contracts could
21	get squeezed. If you recall, perhaps that expanding
22	grower expanded because he has contracts for his
23	production and he's, you know, legally obligated to
24	fulfill those contracts. But under this scenario, he'll
25	still be forced to buy base in order to honor those
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	obligations. Again, if prices do not increase from the
2	hop marketing order HMO I'm using to refer to the hop
3	marketing order higher costs will I would say it's
4	safe to say higher costs will almost certainly force
5	some producers out of business. Again, going back to
6	this example. \$900,000, maybe a million dollars, just
7	to maintain your last year's production without a
8	restriction in supply. I think that could be pretty
9	hard for a lot of producers to swallow. And precisely
10	the ones precisely the producers who will be most
11	affected by that are what, I think as an economist, I
12	would consider the most efficient ones. Those who have
13	increased production over time and those who have
14	perhaps invested in higher yielding varieties.
15	MR. BARTON: May I take a break a moment?
16	***
17	[Off the Record]
18	[On the Record]
19	* * *
20	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right, we're
21	back on record at 4:14. Mr. Moody, before I recognize
22	you, I'd like to recognize Ms. Deskins.
23	MS. DESKINS: Thank you, Judge. I have two
24	procedural things and I want to get them in before the
25	end of the day is one of the rules of practice that
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

I	govern these proceedings, anyone who gives an appearance
2	is supposed to say who they're representing and give the
3	name and address of them and I just want to clarify it
4	for the record, is Mr. Moody representing Sparks
5	Corporation or what's the representation here of any?
6	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right, Mr.
7	Moody, do you represent Sparks?
8	MR. MOODY: Sparks is the consultant, one of
9	our consultants for the hop opposition group. So in
10	that sense, yes.
11	MS. DESKINS: All right. And to also clarify,
12	is the hops opposition group, is that an association?
13	What is the nature of that group and if they have an
14	address, what is the address?
15	MR. MCODY: You're free to use my address is
16	its address, but it's unincorporated association of
17	opponents freely increased by any number of new members
18	as we go along through these hearings.
19	MS. DESKINS: Doesn't it have a board of
20	directors?
21	MR. MOODY: Well, I don't know if those are
22	appropriate questions, frankly.
23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Moody, I'm not
24	picking the sound up real well on your mike. It was
25	good before and it's not as good now. You may not be York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	using the amplification mike, are you?
2	MR. MOODY: Yes. Yes, Your Honor.
3	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay.
4	MR. MOODY: Although I think I agree. It's
5	not quite as loud as it was.
6	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Go
7	ahead, then. You know you don't think
8	MR. MOODY: I was just saying I don't know
9	that, you know, I've always been concerned generally
10	representing opponents in these kind of proceedings,
11	that under cases like NAACP v. Blutton [ph] and things
12	like that, since there is the potential for everything
13	from selected enforcement to a selected handing out of
14	allotments and assigning percentages and whatnot, that
15	it other than opponents who choose to identify
16	themselves through testimony, I don't really think it's
17	a good idea that everybody who may privately choose to
18	vote no on this marketing order, should it go to
19	referendum, needs to have their name identified on the
20	record.
21	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: But the question
22	Ms. Deskins' asked you was not to identify everyone
23	who's a member of your association, but merely to ask if
24	there's a board of directors and that is an appropriate
25	question.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	MR. MOODY: Yes.
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Ms.
3	Deskins?
4	MS. DESKINS: Well, I'm just trying to get a
5	general idea, because the rules do require a name and an
6	address. I don't know that I need the street address,
7	but the city where they're located, just so we have some
8	idea of who this is for the record. And Mr. Moody, this
9	is these rules of practice have been around for a
10	long time. This has been true of any rule-making
11	proceeding.
12	MR. MOODY: No, I understand that and you're
13	right. Certainly, as you know, I have been doing
14	you're free to ask growers who testify what their
15	address is and you're free to use my address as the
16	address for the association.
17	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Moody, you have
18	a client who's a group, or a client that is a group, to
19	be correct grammatically. Your address we can certainly
20	have you put on the record and would you do that now?
21	MR. MOODY: Yes, Your Honor. It's 1101 30th
22	Street, Northwest, Suite 300, Washington, D.C., 20007.
23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. And
24	what is the name of your firm?
25	MR. MOODY: Advocates for a Competitive
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	Economy.
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. And
3	would you prefer that as you have representative members
4	of the group testify, that they give their address
5	individual as individuals, individual growers?
6	MR. MOODY: That's fine, Your Honor.
7	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. And do
8	you have any name of the group that is your client other
9	than Hops Opposition Group?
10	MR. MOODY: Well, I always sort of like sexy
11	names like Front for the Liberation of Hops or something
12	like that. I think we'll just stick with what we've
13	got.
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right, so the
15	official address, then, for the Hops Opposition Group is
16	care of James Moody at Advocates for a Competitive
17	Economy and then the street address you gave?
18	MR. MOODY: Yes, Your Honor.
19	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Ms.
20	Deskins, do you believe that's adequate?
21	MS. DESKINS: Well, Your Honor, if Mr. Moody
22	won't provide any more, I'm unsure of what else we can
23	do. I mean, the rules do have a certain procedure that
24	they want followed.
25	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. I sense
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	that the composition of Mr. Moody's client is changing
2	as we go through this. Is that correct, Mr. Moody?
3	MR. MOODY: It's growing, Your Honor.
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. I have
5	no objection to the group using counsel's address as
6	their address at this point. Now, I am concerned as to
7	the source of payment to Sparks for Dr. Jekanowski's
8	work. I think that should be identified, but we can
9	handle that when he's through with his direct testimony.
10	MR. MOODY: This might be a good time to bring
11	that up, Your Honor. He's got approximately an hour of
12	further direct and $I'm$ wondering if we could a little
13	later tonight in an effort, at least, to finish up the
14	lion's share of the cross-examination, especially since
15	Dr. Folwell will be here only today.
16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: You think by a
17	little later, we could finish cross? Uh-uh.
18	MR. MOODY: Well, at least a significant part
19	of it. You know, I'm concerned that at least Dr.
20	Folwell will have a chance to ask any questions he may
21	have.
22	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Since
23	Dr. Folwell will only be here today, I would like that,
24	as well, but I wouldn't imagine we could finish cross-
25	examination of Dr. Jekanowski tonight and I'd like to
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

i	ask what his availability is on the other days that we
2	have scheduled this week and also Dr. Tweeten's
3	availability on those days. Dr. Jekanowski, would you
4	tell me which of the days this week you will continue to
5	be here?
6	DR. JEKANOWSKI: I plan to be here at least
7	tomorrow and Wednesday.
8	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Dr.
9	Tweeten, what is your availability?
10	DR. TWEETEN: My current plan is to be here
11	all day tomorrow.
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. That's
13	all day tomorrow.
14	DR. TWEETEN: But not necessarily Wednesday,
15	unless I^\prime m absolutely forced to that schedule.
16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right, but not
17	necessarily Wednesday. We may I agree with you, Mr.
18	Moody, I would like to have Dr. Folwell have opportunity
19	to ask questions of the current witness, but then we may
20	not be able to complete the cross-examination of him
21	before we interrupt that to hear Dr. Tweeten's
22	testimony. We'll just have to see how it goes. Okay,
23	good. Dr. Jekanowski
24	MS. DESKINS: Judge Clifton, one of the
25	procedural manner.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yes, Ms. Deskins?
2	MS. DESKINS: On the notice, there were other
3	proposals in there, including 2 through 10, and I'm
4	wondering if we can find out if there's anyone here who
5	wants to testify to those proposals and see when they
6	would be available to testify.
7	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right, that's
8	good. All of you probably notice the handouts that are
9	available in the boxes as you come in and on the last
10	page of that handout there are proposals, 1 through 10,
11	and on the next page there's also a proposal 10 that we
12	identified in Portland, should actually be marked as 11
13	So with regard to those 11 proposals, is there someone
14	here who will be able to testify concerning those? Mr.
15	Moody, do you know?
16	MR. MOODY: Yes, Mr. Lonsing, L-o-n-s-i-n-g.
17	UNKNOWN: L-e.
18	MR. MOODY: L-e?
19	MS. DESKINS: Your Honor, I had asked around
20	about that. I've asked both Mr. Monahan and Mr.
21	Carswell and apparently they are not here today.
22	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Now,
23	the name you said, Mr. Moody, sound a lot like the name
24	that's printed in the Federal Register above the
25	proposals. Is that the name, L-e-n-s-e-i-g-n-e?
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	MR. MOODI. Tes, It's just that I can t spell,
2	Your Honor.
3	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. So what
4	is the first name of the gentleman with that as his last
5	name?
6	MR. MOODY: Leroy.
7	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. And do
8	you know when he'll be available?
9	MR. MOODY: I think we're probably thinking of
10	later in the week, Thursday or Friday.
11	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. And
12	then I believe the last proposal was authored by a
13	gentleman who will be coming here for his cross-
14	examination, if I remember correctly. All right. Okay.
15	Is it too late in the proceedings for us to hear from
16	someone on these ten proposals Thursday or Friday? Ms.
17	Deskins, do you believe that's a little late in the
18	proceedings for us to hear those ideas?
19	MS. DESKINS: We don't mind. We just want to
20	make sure people have an opportunity if they want to and
21	also from the schedule and I know Mr. Monahan and Mr.
22	Carswell have witnesses they want to get on and we just
23	want to make sure that everyone has an opportunity,
24	including people that want to talk about other
25	proposals.
	Vork Stanographic Congress Inc

York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Very
2	good. I hate late nights because I really do think it
3	affects the quality of our work the next day. People
4	get tired and they get irritable and sometimes they
5	fight the more, which makes my job harder, so I hope we
6	don't have to go too late, but we will go late tonight
7	if necessary to finish cross-examination, at least by
8	Dr. Folwell.
9	MR. MONAHAN: Your Honor?
10	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yes?
11	MR. MONAHAN: Brendan Monahan.
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Monahan?
13	MR. MONAHAN: As long as we're talking about
14	schedules, there are two points that I should probably
15	bring up. First is that Mr. Christensen [ph], Rod
16	Christensen, is only available tomorrow, so he we
17	would need to conclude his testimony, which is going to
18	include direct testimony regarding miscellaneous
19	provisions in the proposed order. I also don't know
20	what Your Honor has concluded with respect to the issue
21	of time allotments. I think that with the conclusion of
22	Dr. Folwell's testimony, there is about four hours of
23	direct testimony left in the proponent's case. There
24	may be a couple hours of cross-examination, but I throw
25	that out at Your Honor for the calculations as to how
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

```
1
       the rest of the proceedings and witnesses and time
2
       allotments should be in.
                 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay, thank you.
3
       I'll keep that in mind. It sounds to me that we may
4
5
       have to reserve tomorrow primarily for Mr. Christensen
6
       and Dr. Tweeten, but we'll revisit that in the morning.
7
       And thank you for throwing that into the mix. All
8
       right, now. It's 4:27. Dr. Jekanowski, you may resume.
9
                  DR. JEKANOWSKI: Okay, thank you.
10
       exactly where I stopped on the slide, so let's start
       again with this slide. A little recap here.
11
12
       Implications for having a market -- markets for base.
13
       In other words, implications of base having value.
14
       clearly a new cost of operation for some growers.
       will upset previous financial plans. I recall now I did
15
16
       mention that growers that required to purchase base
17
       simply to honor previous contracts that they're bound
       to, they could get squeezed. If they can't afford the
18
       base, they might be forced with deciding I have to
19
        renege on their contract or purchase an asset that is
20
21
       more than they can afford. If prices do not increase
        from the hop marketing order -- in other words, if the
22
23
        saleable isn't set lower than current production, those
        higher costs, I think, almost certainly will force some
24
25
        producers out of business and at least my understanding,
                         York Stenographic Services, Inc.
```

1	based on this analysis I present before you, is that the
2	most efficient growers, those who've expanded the most
3	recently and perhaps those who have invested in high
4	alpha hop varieties, they are most vulnerable and I
5	think that's aside from the inequity of that, I think
6	it's also a real resource misallocation within the
7	market that will be a direct result of this marketing
8	order. And then finally, I think this new cost of
9	leasing or and/or purchasing base, will almost
10	certainly compete with other investments resulting in
11	less capital being available for new equipment,
12	different varieties, other business ventures. As I
13	pointed out earlier, if one grower's faced with having
14	to pay a million dollars just to maintain his last
15	year's production, that's a million or \$900,000 that he
16	could've used for other more productive assets, I would
17	submit. Okay. Moving on to slide 31. I think clearly
18	there's winners and losers as a result of this marketing
19	order and they're pretty easy to identify. The short
20	term winners are, just based on my hypothetical examples
21	I presented to you, are producers with sharply declining
22	production, because they receive base in excess of their
23	true production trend, so they're winners. I think
24	foreign producers are certainly winners. And that would
25	include China, Europe, and possibly new growing regions.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	You know, I asked Dr. Folwell this morning if anybody 15
2	years ago could've predicted that Argentina or Brazil
3	would've been nearly as large in soy bean production as
4	they are now, and I don't think anybody could've and I
5	think that illustrates that sometimes we can be
6	confronted with changes in technology and increased
7	investment in regions that we never even thought as
8	vital productions regions, so I just throw that out
9	there, as well. Now, the losers from this proposal.
10	Clearly, there's a long list of losers. New growers
11	with no production history, they'll be essentially
12	crowded out entirely, or have to, I guess, buy base to
13	enter. Growers with recent investment in high alpha
14	hops. Their production is going to be cut and they'll
15	be forced and/or forced to buy base to maintain their
16	production. Excuse me. Growers with stable alpha
17	production, because even them, at a 55 percent saleable,
18	they're certainly going to be restricted in what they
19	can market and have to buy base to make up the
20	difference. Growers with expanding production. That's
21	what my hypothetical example worked through. And I
22	think growers with contractual commitments. Again,
23	they're going to be losers because they're going to be
24	faced with the choice of either reneging on those
25	contracts when the base is cut to 50 percent or even 75
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

percent, or buying new base in order just to honor those
contracts. So I think growers with contractual
commitments are clear losers under this proposal. And
just to kind of recap here, main points of this whole
previous analysis is that base will be widely sold and
traded and that's by design of the marketing order.
That will happen. As my simple analysis showed, a
saleable of less than 75 percent, possibly much less
than 75 percent, will be required for any restriction on
total supply. But even at 75 percent, even is the
saleable is set at 75 percent, hypothetically, that
would imply, by my analysis, adequate base being
available to ensure production at 2002 levels. But
steady and expanding growers must, as a result, purchase
or rent base from other growers just to maintain their
output. Alpha producers would see higher costs as a
result of having to purchase a base, but again, no
increase in price if supply isn't reduced. And I think
the burden, as a result of these characteristics of the
proposal, the burden is placed disproportionately on
I'll call them efficient producers, being a that's
what an economist would call them. A producer who has
increased production over time, a producer who is
invested in new higher-yielding varieties. Again, I
don't know I can't claim a lot of knowledge about the
York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	hop industry per se, but from an economist's
2	perspective, we would consider those to be the efficient
3	class of producers. And again, I think that very fact
4	really illustrates the resource effects, the
5	misallocation of resources within the industry, which
6	ultimately is going to decrease competitiveness of the
7	US industry vis-à-vis their worldwide competitors. And
8	ultimately, you know, nobody's a winner from that. The
9	entire industry loses. Moving on to slide 33. You
10	know, I think it's also worth pointing out that this
11	proposal probably hurts small growers even more than
12	large growers and I'll explain why. First of all, with
13	a restriction on the saleable, a small grower will have
14	little opportunity for growth. And as I sort of worked
15	through my analysis, I hoped to point out that the price
16	of the allotment base will be determined by the
17	willingness and the ability to pay for that base. We
18	don't know what that price is going to be, but that's
19	those factors are what's going to give it its value in
20	the market. Certainly, some growers might not be able
21	to afford necessary base, but others will. And I would
22	surmise that the large growers with scale economies,
23	more efficient production, perhaps, and the ability to
24	spread production costs over a larger base of fixed
25	assets will have a greater ability to pay for base than
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

the smaller producers who might be operating at a lower
economy scale. And as a result, the price could
increase beyond the reach of small growers, precisely
the people who, my understanding is, this marketing
order is hoped to help or to preserve. So clearly,
contrary to marketing order intent. I want to talk just
briefly about the aroma or about aroma hops and just
to illustrate that in the proposal there seem to be some
statements that imply that, you know, that the aroma
hops are in balance and this proposal will preserve that
balance by giving the that excess allotment to hops
that are produced at less than 10 percent alpha. Yeah,
at less than 10 percent alpha. Just a few industry
facts just to put out there. By Hop Grower of America
estimates, about 40 percent of US aid which is devoted
to aroma hops accounting for about 26 percent of
production in terms of pounds, and some estimates place
domestic usage at about 80 to 90 percent for aroma hops.
I think we also learned from testimony in Portland and
also just from sort of industry, basic industry
knowledge, that a large percent of aroma hops are
contracted directly grower to grower, which I would say
is a healthy aspect of that market. And some of those
users are not solely concerned with alpha content in
price. In fact, there's other characteristics again,
York Stenographic Services, Inc.
34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	my understanding of aroma nops is that there's other
2	characteristics besides alpha that give them value in
3	the market. But if we kind of think this through
4	logically, if you're still regulating aroma hops based
5	on alpha composition, or based on alpha production, it
6	seems to me that would provide that stand the risk of
7	upsetting some of the conditions that currently balance
8	that market. For instance, clearly, there'll be
9	incentives to try to produce hops with lower alpha
0	content, aroma hops with lower alpha content, which
1	could have fundamental effects on the availability of
12	produce hops with aroma hops with lower alpha
13	content. I'm not sure if I said that correctly the
14	first time. And I think that could have effect on the
15	availability of different varieties and really affect
16	the conditions that have led to the current balance in
17	this in the aroma hop category. It's apparent that
18	US brewers import domestic or, I'm sorry, US brewers
19	import similar quantity of aroma hops to what they
20	sourced domestically, which I think also shows that they
21	have access to world markets and the aroma hops are
22	available on world markets and could be substituted
23	substitutable. And again, sort of the key point here is
24	that, at least, in my discussion with hop growers and
25	with people in the industry, demand and supply appear to
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

be in balance. The level of contracting is high and
prices have generally increased from the mid-1990s into
the future, so anything any tool that stands the risk
of regulating the production of aroma hops, no matter
what perceived safety valves are in there, runs the risk
of upsetting this balance, I would submit. Moving on
and actually, I already talked through most of these in
my previous slide, but again, aroma hops are currently
in balance. I believe that a marketing order will
directly interfere with this balance because, you know,
production is substitutable at the grower level and at
the marketing level, as well, with the availability of
imports. Regulating aroma hops based on alpha content,
which is my understanding is a characteristic that
typically doesn't even provide the value for aroma hops.
Regulating aroma hops based on this alpha content could
affect the types and varieties of aroma hops planted to
the disadvantage of the true market needs that are
typically used to guide the plantings of these different
varieties. And I think that it's also pretty clear that
a marketing order will create uncertainty among buyers
over the future availability of growers to honor
contracts for all of the reasons that I pointed out in
my previous hypothetical examples. And whenever you
increase uncertainty in fact, that likely decreases York Stenographic Services, Inc.
YORK NEDOUTABBLE NETVICES INC

i	the incentives for buyers to lock themselves into a
2	contract that they're not sure if it's going to be able
3	to be fulfilled. So I think that's an important point
4	to bring out, as well. Moving on to slide 36. I think
5	it's worthwhile just, you know, to think briefly about
6	whether these equity or resource misallocation concerns
7	can be fixed. Are there any ways to modify the proposal
8	to get rid of some of those inequitable outcomes, and I
9	think it's pretty unlikely. I think the very nature of
10	this type of program, you know, makes that unlikely.
11	One idea might be to use only the most recent year to
12	set allotments and then, you know, we can imagine that
13	that might remove some of this excess allotment that's
14	going to be on the market and bring the initial base
15	more closer to a competitive equilibrium. As a result,
16	the restriction on saleable would certainly apply
17	equally to all growers. I mean, that would be a real
18	way of, you know, sharing the burden, I guess, so to
19	speak. But it certainly is it's a politically
20	unpopular strategy and there are reasons why I agree
21	that it might not be appropriate and that's what if a
22	grower had one bad year? You're going to penalize him
23	because of bad luck. Moving on to slide 37. Some ideas
24	of maybe fixing the equity concerns. In comments to
25	USDA, I noted that some people suggest well, what if we
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	strictly forbid the sale and transfer of base, just
2	whatever. Say that it's illegal to sell base, won't
3	that eliminate the market? But I don't think that
4	that's realistic, as I pointed out, for the reasons I
5	pointed out in my earlier testimony, base will always
6	have a value and transactions are private. How you
7	can't really police what people do in private. And if
8	somebody's willing to pay for base, they're going to
9	find a willing seller. I don't think there's really and
10	other alternative more efficient method of allocating
11	base besides price, I mean, it should, I guess, if
12	you're forced to have to pay have a market for base.
13	A grower's willingness and ability to pay should guide
14	that market. It still seems to be the most efficient
15	allocation mechanism. I think tighter restrictions
16	would mean even more government regulation and policing
17	of this market, which would be unfortunate and
18	unpopular. You know, I would just, again it's hard
19	to precisely work through the implications, but I think
20	it could lead to an industry under-supply of hops
21	despite the best efforts of the hop administrative
22	committee, because without being able to sell base,
23	certainly growers would have little incentive to
24	transfer it to others. So some growers, those growers
25	who are constrained would really be constrained because
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	they would have no way of receiving base from others.
2	And on that note, I think even the bona fide effort
3	requirement, and again, I'm not a lawyer, so I can't
4	claim to know the true implications of or meaning of or
5	intent of bona fide effort requirement, but my
6	understanding is that even that can interfere with
7	market balance by creating basically a use it or lose it
8	mentality. So some growers, who might have had no
9	intention of increasing their hop production might say
0	well, I have this base and if I don't use it, I'm going
1	to lose it so I'm going to at least produce up to my
12	maximum base, which again, interferes at very least,
13	it interferes with the ability of the hop administrative
14	committee to estimate how saleable will affect the true
15	supply. Moving on to next topic. I want to talk
16	briefly about new growers and the implications for new
17	growers and barriers to entry. Just to make sure again
18	we're all on the same page, I'm going to read real
19	quickly this directly out of the proposal, the
20	implications the provisions governing new growers.
21	"The committee annually shall make additional allotment
22	bases available in the amount of no more than one
23	percent of the total allotment base. 50 percent of
24	these additional allotment bases shall be made available
25	for new producers and 50 percent made available for
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

existing producers" excuse me "provided that in
any year in which the current saleable percentage is
equal to or less than the previous year saleable
percentage, the committee shall not be required to make
additional bases available for ensuing market years." I
think even without being a lawyer, it's pretty clear
that that's a severe restriction on new growers and the
availability of new base to new growers, but again, I
think it's worthwhile to just sort of run a real quick
scenario here to see what this means, you know,
quantitatively. Again, return to my example where we
assume an allotment of 9.2 million pounds of alpha. And
assuming that somehow that becomes the long-term
industry base, one percent of 9.2 million is 92,000
pounds, 50 percent of which will be available for new
growers, in other words, 46,000 pounds will available
for new growers. Assume a new grower wants to produce
high alpha hops, 15 percent alpha yield at 25,000
2500 2,575 pounds to the acre, that implies 401.5
pounds of alpha per acre is what he wants to produce.
The result of this restriction, I mean, clearly just by
simple math is that in the years when the committee
decides that base can be made available, and that's
making available roughly 115 acres to new growers, and
even that would still likely be subject to a saleable.
York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	Again, not knowing a lot about hops, to me that seems
2	very low based on my general knowledge of agriculture
3	and other agricultural industries, so I did a little bit
4	of digging and looked at the 1997 census of agriculture
5	that was done by the United States Department of
6	Agriculture. They report 149 hop farms, which from
7	testimony this morning, it appears to be way too high.
8	But be that as it may, 149 hop farms and using 1997
9	acreage implies that the average size of a farm is 290
10	acres, which I think is people in this room would
11	probably agree is a, you know, pretty severe
12	underestimate of the true average size of farms and
13	almost certainly below a minimum efficient scale. Just
14	to kind of return again to some text book results here.
15	Implications, industry-wide, of this type of marketing
16	order, again, higher costs for many producers,
17	disincentives to invest in capital to improve capital
18	improvements or technology, certainly incentive to
19	invest in higher yield in hops. I think it discourages
20	new farmers pretty clearly, because it increases the
21	barriers to entry. They're going to have to buy base to
22	enter or wait for that 115 acres that might be available
23	five years hence. And I think, also, it's pretty clear
24	that it will provide a signal to foreign producers to
25	increase output. With any restriction in domestic
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	supply, that's a direct signal to foreign competitors to
2	increase output. And just, you know, for the record, I
3	think it's worthwhile noting that the basically,
4	these resource misallocation costs imposed by the
5	allotment program are also in addition to the
6	administrative costs, which that will also result and
7	they're somewhere in the range of we heard estimates
8	of 200,000 per year, though we don't know exactly.
9	We'll have a better idea of what the administrative
10	costs are, I guess, after the committee decides. Moving
11	on to slide 41, real briefly and I'm not going to
12	dwell on this because as we've heard so much about how
13	the last marketing order was a success, but just, you
14	know, stating for the record here that there was, in
15	fact, a similar marketing order similar anyway, in
16	place from 1966 to 1985, and my analysis of it again,
17	I wasn't here, I wasn't in any position to judge it back
18	then, for sure, but from what I've read and in talking
19	to growers and talking to USDA folks, I think it's
20	pretty clear that it was extremely controversial and it
21	was opposed in principle by the Reagan administration,
22	similar to the way, I guess maybe, the Bush
23	administration's policy principles also opposed these
24	types of programs in principle. But this was opposed in
25	principle 20 years ago, as well. My analysis is that
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	or my hypothesis is that it undersupplied the hop
2	market, especially following unexpected foreign crop
3	failures. Certainly, greed entered into it and there
4	was there were numerous law suits and administrative
5	burdens borne by USDA and the industry itself, fighting
6	over whether to increase the saleable in order to allow
7	new base to be issued. Clearly created a market for
8	allotments and imposed costs on many producers and I
9	think it's safe to say inefficient transfers of wealth
10	within the industry. Created barriers to entry. And I
11	think it, you know, another thing hasn't been mentioned
12	here, but I think it's important to point out, that I
13	would guess that it also added to the management burden
14	for producers. You know, no longer is it enough to just
15	monitor the market for hops, now you have to worry about
16	how best to use your base allotment. Do you produce
17	hops yourself, or do you sell your base to somebody
18	else? Do you transfer, do you trade, do you lease?
19	It's just another layer of management burden that's
20	placed on the industry. Just a real quick analysis
21	here. You might ask why do I think the hop market was
22	undersupplied and I can't offer any type of a
23	complicated analysis to prove this, but you know, as an
24	economist, I when you look at prices increasing
25	rapidly at any point in time, or over any point in time,
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	you don't necessarily view that as a healthy market. I
2	would view that as a restricted market. Maybe it was
3	restricted because of German crop failures, maybe it was
4	or it was undersupplied because of German crop
5	failures, but certainly higher production might've
6	brought that market more into balance. Sustained
7	increases of the magnitude we saw in the late 1980s, I
8	think just are not possible. At some point, markets
9	have to adjust. It's kind of like a coiled spring that
10	just keeps getting pressed down. Eventually it's going
11	to pop back and the markets must adjust. And if, in
12	fact, industry the industry was undersupplied, well I
13	would say that total industry revenues were sacrificed
14	as a result. Some producers could've maximized their
15	revenue by increasing the production during those
16	periods when prices were really, really increasing like
17	crazy, but the marketing order restricted that. As a
18	result, again, greed entered into it, lawsuits ensued,
19	and you know, what happened was that production couldn't
20	respond to the to market signals. And I think in
21	previous testimony, we heard and widely acknowledged
22	that greed was a problem in the last marketing order and
23	that's what led to maybe prices rising too fast and then
24	saleables set too high. Greed was part of it, but I
25	have yet to hear a good way to prevent greed from
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

```
entering into the proposed marketing order. I think
       it's human nature. What I would like to do now --
       excuse me, I felt slightly out of -- okay. Another --
       you know, I just sort of throw this out as something to
       think to about. You know, we hear a lot of reference to
       the need to for, you know, a hop marketing order to
       bring stability to the market, to bring stability to
       prices, even if the intent isn't to increase prices,
8
9
       it's all about stability. Well, we haven't really heard
       a good definition of how you -- how exactly you define
10
11
       stability. One measure of stability is the coefficient
       to variation and this is at the mean of the data. It's
12
13
       basically mean divided by the standard deviation.
14
       just to throw it out there that even though the previous
       marketing order is sort of held up as a big success, in
15
16
       fact, if you look at -- if you measure this by this
       measure of stability, prices were actually more variable
17
18
       during the marketing order than they were after the
19
       marketing order and I admit and what's going on there,
       clearly, they're variable in one direction. They're
20
21
        going straight up. But again, I submit that that's not
       necessarily a health industry. And when we got rid of
22
        the marketing order, certainly there was some
23
        fluctuation, but over time this coefficient to
24
        variation, this measure of variability is actually much
25
                         York Stenographic Services, Inc.
```

i

2

3

4

5

6

7

1	less than prior to the marketing order and that's aside
2	from the fact that I think, you know, the years '87,
3	'88, when prices dipped down there, I think part of that
4	is just a function of the market having to readjust from
5	this severely restricting marketing order being removed,
6	so I don't think it's really quite even fair to judge
7	the decline in prices right after the marketing order as
8	a function of the removal of the marketing order. I
9	mean, it's more a lag response to the markets having to
10	return to equilibrium after having been so severely
1	constrained. The market today the factors
12	complicating the marketing order even if you accept
13	that the marketing order, previous marketing order
14	worked, we can't get away from the fact that the
15	industry is different today, so what worked 25 years ago
16	might not work, or least might not work as well today.
17	And some of the factors that I think are important is,
18	you know, increase is the fact that today versus 25
19	years ago, most people would agree that we we're
20	living a global economy with increased trade, generally
21	easier sourcing of goods and materials worldwide, and
22	multi-national brewers and processors. Again, this just
23	increases the ease with which hops can flow across
24	international borders. I think we are seeing and
25	this has come out in testimony, a loss of alpha
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	production base to some foreign producers, including
2	China or perhaps the EU, Eastern Europe, and others, so
3	there are more competitors out there from an
4	international standpoint. I think we've seen processing
5	techniques that enable prolonged storage, which again,
6	storage is typically viewed as a way of managing risk in
7	a way of allowing producers and brewers and all market
8	participants to sort of dampen out the large changes in
9	price. Basically, you can hold your inventory when
10	prices are low and sell it when prices are higher. We
11	certainly have increased production of high yielding
12	varieties, at least, from what some people have told me
13	during the last marketing order you basically only had a
14	couple of varieties. Maybe that's an
15	oversimplification, but I think we certainly have new
16	varieties available today that weren't available 25
17	years ago and it will affect the ability for a hop
18	marketing to work. And again, I believe the technology
19	is fully transferable overseas. So even if you accept
20	that maybe with current technology, China can't compete
21	with the US in terms of hop production, I it's my
22	belief that there's basically nothing stopping them from
23	being able to compete at one some day and that higher
24	world prices will be the incentive for them to transfer
25	this new technology, or to increase their own
	Vade Standardhia Saminaa Ina

York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	production.
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yes, let's
3	change
4	* * *
5	[Off the Record]
6	[On the Record]
7	* * *
8	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right, we're
9	back on record at 5:01. You may resume.
10	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Okay. Thank you. Moving on,
11	I think this slide kind of speaks for itself, to some
12	degree. It this is data from the United Nations, FAO
13	stands for Food and Agricultural Organization. It's the
14	only real source I could find for international
15	statistics of hop production. And these are just
16	acreage shares, so it's not considering alpha, it's just
17	simply acreage of hops in different countries, and we
18	can look at what's happened over time, just over the
19	past 10 years. We see a little bit of an increase in
20	the German share, and these are again, shares.
21	Increased in Germany. In the US, we were kind of
22	increasing a little bit through the early to mid-90s and
23	then leveling off and then declined somewhat. But to
24	me, the one that's really stunning is Asia developing
25	and that's China, essentially. They've increased
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

production tremendously over the past decade and I would
say there's no reason to expect them to stop producing
or to stop growing their world share over time. So when
you're talking about restricting supply in the US
market, it's just going to have less and less of an
effect on world prices as you go forward. It's just
it can't work, in my opinion. So all right, so why,
then, would we even consider a marketing order? I
thought it was worthwhile to even though I noticed in
direct testimony the justification for a marketing order
sort of changed a little bit from the original proposal
that was submitted to USDA that about why a marketing
order is needed and I didn't realize at the time that
the justification might've changed, but I thought it was
worthwhile to sort of go through the problem statements
that were clearly identified in the original proposal
and kind of address those in sequence, or give my
analysis of them. Problem number one, brewers have
moved away from long-term contracts. We've had a lot of
talk about that and that may be true over recent years,
but my response to that would be that the soul in that
position, in other words, contracting, is in fact, a
signal of market needs and the degree of elasticity.
And I have a I'm going to flip ahead just briefly
here. This was a chart that was actually in the
York Stenographic Services, Inc.

```
1
       original proposal. Let me get it. Okay. The way I see
2
       it, the degree of contracting, is in fact, a signal of
3
       market needs. If you take a look at this chart, I think
       you can clearly see that as the degree of contracting
4
5
       declined, especially in recent years, from 1999 through
       2001, it's also matched with generally a decline in
7
       production over time, as we would expect. If you can't
       -- if you don't have market for your hops through a
8
9
       contract, maybe it shouldn't be produced in the first
       place. And then, I'd also just like to point out that
10
11
       the degree of contracting was -- has been as high as 98
12
       percent in 1994, 90 percent in '92, it pretty much
13
       hovered right around upper-80s to 90 until 1997 before,
       for some reason, jumping way up, but I would say that
14
       jump to 122 isn't necessarily a good thing for the
15
16
       industry, but the degree of contracting, I would submit,
       hasn't declined precipitously after the last marketing
17
18
       order. Certainly, we had a couple of years of lower --
19
       of a decrease in the amount of contracting, but that's a
       fairly recent phenomenon, so any recent decrease, I
20
21
       don't think should be necessarily attributed to not
22
       having a market order.
                  ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I'm sorry. I don't
23
24
       understand how you can get higher than a hundred
25
       percent.
```

York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	DR. JEKANOWSKI: You know, I'm not entirely
2	sure, either. This is a Hop Growers of America data. I
3	assume that people contracted for more than they knew
4	they were going to produce.
5	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Roy, you
6	indicated you could explain that?
7	MR. ROY: I'd like to question him on cross-
8	examination, rather.
9	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Oh, okay.
10	MR. ROY: I'd rather
11	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Oh, all right. All
12	right, go ahead.
13	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Okay. Thank you. Just to go
14	back a second, here. Again, we should also just take
15	note that some of the surveys are mandatory, so even the
16	numbers that are presented to some uncertainty, and you
17	know, the fact that the decreased contracting or a lack
18	of contracting is being associated with the need for a
19	marketing order. To me, it best represents an attempt
20	by the proponents to essentially, hold the buyers
21	hostage, saying that we're going to, you know, well,
22	we're going to be producing less in future years, so you
23	better contract with us now. I guess, hypothetically,
24	that might increase contracting, but other than that, I
25	see no real reason to assume a connection there between
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

a marketing order and the degree to which the industry
is contracting. The degree to which contracts are
entered into. But I would also submit, again, as we
said over and over that restricting supply will also
force brewers to search for alternative sources of
supply. Maybe to contract less, in fact, because of the
increased risk of future availability of hops. Okay,
problem two. It was stated as no structure currently in
place to manage the supply of hops, as the fixity has
been the stimulus for continued production. No price
spikes in over a decade since German crop failures are
now a rarity. I think I know why this at least the
last sentence of this problem was probably dropped from
the justification, but in general, my response to that
would be that economic theory and historic experience
shows that efforts to manage supply adversely affect
industry efficiency in productivity. Again, I think
this is basic text book industrial organization theory.
Monopolists are monopolists and cartels are known to
be inefficient and to be notoriously poor at allocating
resources and setting market supply. I would say that
asset fixity is a problem throughout agriculture, but
the variation in hop acreage and production at least
shows to me that the supply is not fixed. There is
supply response, And we've also learned that today
York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	growers can plant hops and get almost a full crop in the
2	same year. If anything, that increases the degree to
3	which market to which producers can supply can
4	respond to market signals. I clearly hold the absence
5	of German crop failures as well outside the domain of a
6	marketing order and plus, I would say that crop failure
7	has only increased volatility, precisely what the
8	marketing order is intended to reduce. It certainly
9	would increase volatility since if you're restricting
10	supply and you can't predict future crop failures, it
11	leads to unwarranted price spikes. I would say that no
12	committee can accurately predict a crop failure. Again,
13	increasing the potential for market undersupply if a hop
14	marketing order is in place, because basically, a crop
15	failure can only reduce supply, so if you go ahead and
16	you set the saleable at some level, then you're faced
17	with a German crop failure, you're automatically
18	you're undersupplying the market. And I think the
19	current German crop failure show [sic] that these events
20	do, in fact, still occur. Problem three. The strong
21	dollar was mentioned and is putting American growers at
22	a disadvantage. And again, the response there is pretty
23	clear. A strong dollar hurts all export-oriented
24	industries, but a marketing order with supply
25	restrictions can't fundamentally address this concern.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

I	There's it may be true that a strong dollar has
2	marginally affected competitiveness with some other
3	countries, but restricting supply in the US isn't the
4	response to that. And at least I found from the data of
5	the hop growers association, that the hop exports have
6	generally been growing despite the stronger dollar,
7	which to me sort of raises a question about whether
8	American growers have really been put at a competitive
9	disadvantage. And finally, recent trends have show a
10	dramatic decline in the dollar's value, which should,
1 1	you know, obviate this problem and ultimately help
12	exports. And but ultimately, currency fluctuations
13	can't be addressed by a marketing order and it has +-
14	they have no place in a marketing order proposal, I
15	would say. And that's just a chart of the value of the
16	dollar. This is against this is the real value of
17	the dollar against all major currencies, not just
18	Germany, but all major trading partners. And clearly,
19	the decline since mid-2001, or 2000, is certainly clear.
20	Okay, here we go. Problem four. Throughout the and
21	this, again, was stated right in the proposal.
22	"Through the internet and email contacts worldwide,
23	routinely provide valuable information on market demand.
24	Growers today are better informed on market decisions
25	than every before, however, no central authority exists
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

to use this information to manage the industry as a
whole. You know, maybe it's just a philosophical
difference here, but I would say that generally
speaking, and I think most economists would agree that
greater availability of market information is rarely
cited as a need for supply in management. Usually that
implies that the market, the free market, is even better
at working than when you have less information. And I
would also say that no central authority will ever be
able to accurately predict market supply and demand
conditions in today's world economy. And if the most
efficient and accurate method for growers to use
method is for growers to use this increasingly available
information that the proponents note is out there. Now,
one other issue this wasn't cited as a problem, but
it was certainly mentioned in the proposal that "grower
problems are compounded by the lack of an exit strategy
from the industry." We heard over and over again that
the value of used hop equipment is almost non-existent
and whatnot, but I think that this statement really
it seems to illustrate, possibly, the real intent of
this marketing order. I a marketing order like this
would certainly offer an exit strategy if growers wanted
to sell base and be paid to reduce their production and
be paid to exit the industry. And that transferable
York Stenographic Services, Inc.

i	asset allotment base would provide that mechanism. But
2	again, the reality is that such a strategy simply of
3	transfer of wealth between producers where existing
4	efficient producers are forced to pay those who are
5	unable or unwilling to compete in the market. Again,
6	just to sort of recap here a list of winners and losers
7	as a result of this marketing order, as I see it.
8	Again, winners are growers with already declining
9	production and overseas producers as I mentioned over
10	and over again. Losers I'll just read these because
11	I think addressed everyone already. Losers, any grower
12	that maintained or expanded production, growers with
13	contractual obligations that they are unable to fulfill,
14	small growers hoping to become larger, non-growers
15	hoping to enter the industry. Aroma producers are
16	potential losers as regulation upsets an already
17	balanced market. Small breweries, I think, are
18	potential losers as they're faced with higher costs and
19	possibly less availability of different varieties and
20	less availability of hops. Buyers with unfulfilled
21	contracts. Buyers are losers is they're, you know, if
22	they're faced with unfulfilled contracts on behalf of
23	their suppliers. I think consumers are losers as prices
24	rise and we heard testimony this morning that maybe the
25	rise in prices is miniscule and I have, you know,
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

nothing to verify or contradict that, but I think at the
margin, it's still an important effect on price and it
can also affect new product introductions. If this
marketing order affects the varieties of hops available
and affects the varieties that are produced in the
market, you know, new product introductions can lag and
ultimately, everybody loses from that. And then,
finally tax payers and USDA are also losers as tax
payers as USDA faces new administrative burdens and
the potential for litigation, which again, that's just a
lesson from the last marketing order. I also I just
wanted to go through a couple other issues real quick
here. I also I can't claim to be a, you know,
necessarily an expert in spearmint or cranberries, or
other industries in which there are marketing orders,
but I thought it would be useful to just take a really
quick look at those industries that are regulated by
marketing orders and you know, think about whether or
not they've been successful. In spearmint, an allotment
program has been operating since 1980. Now, spearmint
is a little bit different from hops in that substitutes
for US spearmint oil include foreign production and
domestically produced peppermint, at least at some
degree, they compete for the same market. But the way I
see it, the results of the spearmint allotment program,
York Stenographic Services, Inc.

34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	which again has been repeatedly pointed to as the
2	program that's working and which we want to, you know,
3	model the hop marketing order after and I'll put up some
4	charts in a minute that the results include stagnant or
5	declining exports, increased availability of the
6	competing product, that being peppermint, increased
7	imports of peppermint and spearmint, and a very dubious
8	effect on grower prices. And this chart, again this
9	is chart 55, or slide 55 this just shows the
10	production of spearmint and peppermint. This is again,
11	this is just the USDA National Ag Statistic Service
12	data, and we can look at the period prior to and after
13	the marketing order. We see clearly that spearmint
14	production, highly regulated, or restricted, has been
15	roughly flat since 1980, but peppermint production, this
16	potential substitute, clearly has been trending higher.
17	And again, the spearmint order has no way of regulating
18	peppermint, but it just shows the substitutability of
19	products. Let's take a look at exports of peppermint
20	and spearmint. Spearmint, again I didn't have data
21	going back real far. I apologize for that, but we can
22	certainly see what's happened since the spearmint
23	marketing order went into effect. Exports of spearmint
24	kind of bounce around pretty level at about, I guess
25	that's a million pounds. Exports of peppermint have
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	done nothing but trend upward. I'd rather be in the
2	industry with the increasing exports, I think. Imports
3	of spearmint and peppermint, I think this one's pretty
4	dramatic. Again, we historically imported very minor
5	quantities of either peppermint or spearmint, but since
6	clearly, since the marketing order and there was
7	maybe a lagged effect here for markets to adjust and for
8	buyers to find alternative sources, but I think the
9	imports the growth in imports of those two products
10	is you know, speak for themselves. Quite dramatic.
11	So now, let's look at grower prices, if the true measure
12	of success is grower prices of spearmint. Well,
13	certainly, there's still a lot of fluctuation in grower
14	prices of both spearmint and peppermint. But it at
15	least appears to me that the price for spearmint, the
16	most recent prices, I think that's 2003, are about the
17	same as they were in 1980 when the marketing order came
18	into effect. Certainly they went up, but right now
19	they're back right back to where they are, which I
20	think illustrates again, with all of put that
21	together with the rise in imports that I showed earlier,
22	and I think it's pretty clear that you can't restrict
23	these markets and not expect a response from
24	competitors. And I also note that actually peppermint
25	prices are, at least according to USDA, now higher than
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	spearmint, for what that's worth. Another thing I
2	thought was quite interesting and rather when I was
3	doing a little bit of research about spearmint marketing
4	order, again, admitting not to be an expert on
5	spearmint, I thought it was worthwhile to look at the
6	Federal Register to see, you know, how they set the last
7	saleable and I found the most recent one and this is
8	from the final rule, I think, for the saleable, probably
9	for last season, I forget exactly. But this is written
10	right into the Federal Register and I just want to read
11	this for you here, that "when the order became effective
12	in 1980, the United States produced nearly 100 percent
13	of the world's supply of Scotch spearmint oil of which
14	approximately 72 percent was produced in the regulated
15	production area in the far west. The far west's market
16	share is a percent of total world sales has average
17	about 45 percent since 1990. Between 1996 and 2000, the
18	committee's marketing strategy for Scotch spearmint oil
19	centered around an attempt to regain historical share of
20	the global market. The committee has since refocused
21	its strategy. Although sales increased somewhat, the
22	far west's market share percentage of total world
23	sales did not increase on average." You know, I read
24	that we heard in testimony in Portland and maybe here
25	today that, you know, the committee will be able to
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

y ork Stenographic Services, inc.

34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	manage its exports and still grow exports and remain
2	competitive well, it sounds to me like that was what
3	the members of the spearmint marketing committee order
4	also intended, but what happened? They watched their
5	market dwindle from nearly a hundred percent market
6	share down to 45 percent and now, as a result, they've -
7	- I read it that they've basically given up on worrying
8	about growing exports and worrying about world markets
9	and are conceding that and just concede that market.
10	So I find that fascinating. I couldn't make something
11	up like this, I mean, this I think this perfectly
12	illustrates the problems maybe we could you know,
13	the problems that are likely in the hop industry maybe
14	next year, maybe 10 years hence, but over the long run
15	going to bring into effect the same going to have the
16	same problems. All right, so that's spearmint. Again,
17	that was pointed out as one that works. Another
18	marketing order is cranberries. And cranberries
19	operates a little bit differently from these other
20	marketing orders in that at least, my understanding
21	is that growers vote every year whether or not to have
22	an allotment program, so in reality, I think 2000, the
23	year 2000 was the first year they had an allotment since
24	like, 1972 or some year much previous. But
25	nevertheless, as a result of low prices, they voted in
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

```
an allotment. I set the initial saleable at 85 percent.
1
       And the 2001 saleable was 64 percent. Now, with
2
       cranberries, the most likely substitutes are imports
3
4
       primarily from Canada. Maybe only from Canada. And as
5
        a result, just like clockwork, just like we would
        expect, based on basic economic theory, after the US
6
       allotments were put in place, imports increased --
7
       cranberry imports increased from Canada. We had growth
8
9
        in Canadian production and like hops, there's a large
10
        fixed investment in putting in a cranberry bog and once
       those acres are in effect -- are in place, you know,
11
        it's hard to get them out of production. And I also
12
       heard -- and this is sort of anecdotal -- just from
13
       talking to some people who administered the cranberry
14
       marketing order, that as a result of the allotment, even
15
       plantings in the US increased that year for future
16
       harvest and again, like hops, or maybe even worse than
17
       hops, it takes several years for cranberry production to
18
        ramp up to full potential. And I -- these are just sort
19
20
       of graphically shown what happened to imports of
21
       cranberries from Canada after the allotments were put in
22
       place in 2000, 2001. Canadian cranberry production,
23
       despite, you know, cranberries are world market, as
       well. Canadians were experiencing low prices, but
24
25
        they've increased their production over time and I would
                         York Stenographic Services, Inc.
```

```
1
       say it was partially -- at least partially because of US
       allotments in place. Okay, so just to sort of wrap
2
       things up here. Conclusions and economic implications.
3
4
       I think it's safe to say that history and historical
       experience and economic theory clearly illustrate the
5
       pitfalls of allotment-based supply controls and these
6
       include long term harm to international competitiveness,
7
       decreased innovation in technology adoption, burdensome
8
       costs and constraints on efficient producers, and
9
10
       inequitable transfers of wealth to others. We're almost
       certain to see a market for allotments and a substantial
11
       administrative burden, especially if the committee
12
       under, overestimates true market conditions. If we get
13
14
       any replay of what happened in the last marketing order
15
       towards its end. A couple things -- I mean, these
       aren't necessarily, you know, economic topics, but I
16
17
       think they're worth discussion and they -- I think most
       of these have not been discussed yet, but I think they
18
       should. One -- you know, how are grower obligations
19
20
       under existing contracts going to be managed, and I
21
       don't know. Again, I'm not a lawyer and I -- but I
       think that's something that needs to be considered.
22
23
       Would a marketing order force growers to renege on
       existing contracts? Committee representation, I think,
24
       is important because, you know, as we know that the --
25
                         York Stenographic Services, Inc.
```

1	you know, the brewing industry also has its own it			
2	has its own interest in seeing the hop industry remain			
3	healthful remain healthy and there should be some			
4	talk about who gets to be represented on these			
5	committees. We I guess last week we did talk some			
6	there was some debate about voting rights and defining			
7	grower and it's clear that that's still not at all			
8	clear. Another issue that I think is worth discussion			
9	is assigning alpha base using actual historic alpha.			
10	Excuse me. And I'm just curious again, not in			
11	necessarily knowing a lot about the industry, but I'm			
12	wondering if that can be done with the right amount of			
13	precision, and is there potential for cheating and abuse			
14	and how does that affect how this marketing order is			
15	administered. I really think, you know, there needs to			
16	be a real honest and direct discussion about the			
17	intended method to set saleable quantity and exactly how			
18	market needs and supply will be forecasted. I don't			
19	think it's sufficient to say that well, it appeared to			
20	work 25 years ago, so it might work again today. And I			
21	don't think it's I also don't think it's sufficient			
22	to say that this something that the committee will deal			
23	with after the marketing order's in place. I mean, this			
24	really the heart of the marketing order, having being			
25	able to provide some assurance that this committee will			
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.			

1	be able to accurately set a saleable quantity.
2	MR. MOODY: Your Honor, I've sat here
3	patiently for the last couple of hours and listening
4	to the presentation. I would ask that this witness
5	limit his remarks I realize he only has a couple of
6	slide left to actual testimony as opposed to
7	commentary and argument over issues that have not been
8	addressed.
9	DR. JEKANOWSKI: I'm sorry. I didn't intend
10	for this to be argument, but I thought it was just, you
11	know, issues that do need to be addressed that I think
12	are going to be difficult to be addressed.
13	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Your objection's
14	noted, Mr. Monahan, but you may proceed
15	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Thank you.
16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:Mr. Jekanowski.
17	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Thank you. And I am almost
18	done here. I've got one slide left. Again, how
19	accurately can alpha be measured? And there this
20	does have economic implications, you know, we're
21	assuming from the outset that alpha can be accurately
22	measured and regulated, but as an economist, I would
23	like to know whether or not that can truly be done. And
24	finally, part of the operating costs of the marketing
25	order we did talk a little bit about that last week.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	So final thoughts, you know, a couple and these are			
2	maybe a little bit philosophical, but I'll go through			
3	them quick. On thing that I think is certainly true,			
4	that once enacted, these types of programs are extremely			
5	difficult to terminate. And it's not surprising why,			
6	because if you have some growers who invested heavily in			
7	purchasing base, they're not going to want to see this			
8	market order go away, because if they do, they'll be			
9	they'll have a direct decrease in their in the value			
10	of the assets that they hold. So once these thing are			
11	put in place, you know, it's not an easy thing to get			
12	rid of them and maybe that's what we've seen with the			
13	spearmint marketing order despite it's questionable			
14	results. I think that the potential for fraud and abuse			
15	and this is an economic conclusion, that the			
16	potential for fraud and abuse increases with the			
17	rigidity of supply controls and it would we would			
18	likely expect, you know, more litigation and industry			
19	in-fighting, greed, hard feelings. I don't think that's			
20	healthy for an industry. Handlers have tremendous			
21	responsibilities under this order, which at least			
22	from testimony last week, it appears to me, could			
23	discourage direct sales to brewers for those growers who			
24	now have learned that if they sell direct to brewers,			
25	they're considerer handlers. It seems to me if that			
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.			

•	were asparagus, we would expect that that would				
2	discourage direct sales to brewers. And then the last				
3	thing, and this is just sort of editorial, or and you				
4	know, but I'll throw it out. It's just something that				
5	kind of struck me and I'm not a legal expert or a				
6	lawyer, but it doesn't seem to me to be necessarily fair				
7	to have growers vote basically on how wealth should be				
8	redistributed in their within their own industry.				
9	You know, I think that, you know, potentially, at least,				
10	it's sort of the tyranny of the majority, if clearly				
11	those who have vested interests in having base the				
12	value of base increase are voting so that other growers				
13	might have to pay them for that. It's just, you know,				
14	my own observation there. You can take it for what it's				
15	worth. And that concludes my direct testimony.				
16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Dr.				
17	Jekanowski. Do you wish to have Exhibit 30 admitted				
18	into evidence?				
19	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Was that my presentation?				
20	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yes.				
21	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Yes, yes.				
22	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Is there any				
23	objection to Exhibit 30 being admitted into evidence?				
24	There is none. Exhibit 30 is hereby admitted into				
25	evidence. Dr. Jekanowski, would you please identify the				
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.				
	34 North George St., York PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077				

1	compensation that you have received for participating in			
2	the work that you've done for the hearing and also, when			
3	you identified Sparks, I'm not sure you actually gave us			
4	a mailing address in Washington, D.C., so if you'd			
5	actually give us the street and so forth, I'd appreciate			
6	that.			
7	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Gladly. I'll do that first.			
8	Sparks mailing address is Sparks Companies,			
9	Incorporated, 6862 Elm Street, E-1-m, Suite 350, in			
10	McLean, it's M-little c-capital L-e-a-n, Virginia, and			
11	the zip is 22101.			
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: And do you maintain			
13	a web site within the company?			
14	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Yes, we do. And it would be			
15	www.sparkscompanies all one wordcom.			
16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you.			
17	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Sure.			
18	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: And your			
19	compensation?			
20	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Sparks is a private company			
21	and we do work for a fee, as most private companies do.			
22	I'm uncomfortable to say what my actual compensation is.			
23	I think that's sort of business, you know, proprietary			
24	business, but we were initially contacted by a hop			
25	grower actually, by a lawyer retained by a hop grower			

York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

I	to provide, you know, to provide an economic analysis of	
2	how this marketing order could affect them and then over	
3	time, you know, our that hop grower has enlisted or	
4	coordinated support from other hop growers to pay our	
5	to pay my professional fee.	
6	MS. DESKINS: Your Honor?	
7	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Ms. Deskins?	
8	MS. DESKINS: Under the rules of practice, I	
9	thought that he was a witness for Mr. Moody and thereby	
10	his client. If he's representing somebody else, under	
11	the rules of practice, he needs to state who that is and	
12	give us an address. And I'm unclear at this point if	
13	Mr. Moody has retained if he's a witness for Mr.	
14	Moody and his group, or what the status is.	
15	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Dr. Jekanowski, who	
16	is your client?	
17	DR. JEKANOWSKI: My client is actually Baker	
18	Botts law firm, who has coordinated us with the growers	
19	who are in opposition to this and then ultimately	
20	coordinated with Jim Moody.	
21	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. And	
22	would you spell the name of the law firm, please?	
23	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Yes. It's B-a-k-e-r. Next	
24	word is B-o-t-t-s.	
25	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: And do you know	
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.	
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077	

1	their street address as you sit there?
2	DR. JEKANOWSKI: I don't.
3	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right, give as
4	much as you can.
5	DR. JEKANOWSKI: It is Washington, D.C., 13th
6	Street.
7	MR. CARSWELL: Your Honor?
8	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Carswell?
9	MR. CARSWELL: I happened to used to work
10	in that same building. It's 1299 Pennsylvania Avenue,
11	Northwest, Washington, D.C., 20004. And I just wanted
12	clarify, so that no one would think that we're trying to
13	be evasive, one of the growers is funding this work that
[4	was done by Mr. Jekanowski is Anheuser-Busch.
15	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
16	Carswell. All right, Dr. Folwell, would you come to a
17	microphone so that I can ask you if you want to cross-
18	examine tonight and if you don't want to do it tonight,
19	if there's any other time this week when we'll know that
20	Dr. Jekanowski is here that might work better for you
21	and the like? If you'd again state your name for the
22	record.
23	DR. FOLWELL: Raymond Folwell, Washington
24	State University. Actually, I can be here in the
25	morning until 9:30, so if you don't go into a late, late
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

_	might bession here, I can come back at 8:30 in the				
2	morning, but I do have to leave then for Walla Walla.				
3	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Do you believe an				
4	hour would be adequate for you to complete the cross-				
5	examination you have in mind?				
6	DR. FOLWELL: Yes, I do.				
7	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. That's				
8	what I would prefer to do unless anyone here strongly				
9	objects. Mr. Carswell?				
10	MR. CARSWELL: I don't strongly object, but I				
11	do want to point out as a reminder that Dr. Tweeten is				
12	also planning to testify and I don't know if that'll				
13	give Dr. Folwell sufficient time to cross-examine him,				
14	as well.				
15	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. If he has to				
16	leave by 9:30, I just don't think he's going to have a				
17	chance to cross-examine Dr. Tweeten, but maybe I				
18	shouldn't speak for you, Dr. Folwell. Would you prefer				
19	to go forward with your cross-examination of Dr.				
20	Jekanowski tonight so that you might have some chance i				
21	Dr. Tweeten finishes within the first hour tomorrow to				
22	cross-examine him?				
23	DR. FOLWELL: My cross-examination's going to				
24	be more of a practical nature, not dealing with the				
25	hypothetical in relation to this issue, so my cross-				
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.				
	34 North George St., Vork, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077				

1	examination on that will be fairly short. I don't know				
2	what the other members of the committee who I've had				
3	conversations what their plans are.				
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right, Mr				
5	DR. FOLWELL: We just discussing as a				
6	matter of fact, I am not here as a member of their				
7	committee. I'm here as a service to the industry.				
8	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. Mr.				
9	Carswell?				
10	MR. CARSWELL: Yes. I just believe that				
11	having read it, I think Dr. Tweeten's statement will be				
12	very short tomorrow, so perhaps if Dr. Folwell could				
13	cross-examine Mark tonight, and then Dr. Tweeten spoke				
14	first thing in the morning, he could cross-examine Dr.				
15	Tweeten first thing in the morning and that would give				
16	him a chance to cross-examine both and then maybe we				
17	could go back to Mark's cross-examination after that.				
18	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I agree with going				
19	back to Dr. Jekanowski's cross-examination, fitting Dr.				
20	Tweeten in, but Dr. Folwell, I'll still be guided by				
21	whether you would like to do your cross-examination now				
22	of Dr. Jekanowski, or hold that until 8:30 in the				
23	morning.				
24	DR. FOLWELL: Preferring not realizing how				
25	long I sat in that chair, I'd prefer doing it in the				
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.				

1	morning.
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right, I Ms
3	Deskins?
4	MS. DESKINS: Judge Clifton, if Dr. Tweeten
5	has a statement, could he give it to Dr. Folwell now so
6	he can read it and in the morning perhaps things would
7	proceed quicker?
8	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Dr. Tweeten, do you
9	have a prepared statement all ready?
10	DR. TWEETEN: Yes, I do.
11	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: How many copies of
12	it do you have right now?
13	DR. TWEETEN: Approximately 15.
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Wonderful. Could
15	you distribute those before we disband for the night?
16	DR. TWEETEN: Yes.
17	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Very
18	good. Thank you. Excellent suggestion. All right, is
19	there anything further now stay in your places if you
20	want to receive one of these copies to be distributed
21	and please raise your hands so Dr. Tweeten will know you
22	want one. Is there anything further, then, while we're
23	still on record?
24	MS. DESKINS: Judge Clifton, I did have one
25	thing. If Dr. Jekanowski has any other exhibits or
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

-	documents that he wants to be made put into the record,			
2	tonight would be a good time to copy them so in the			
3	morning we don't have to worry about getting copies.			
4	They'll be ready and we can proceed.			
5	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Thank			
6	you. Dr. Jekanowski, are you going to have any			
7	additional copies of your report?			
8	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Possibly.			
9	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: One additional and			
10	one for the court reporter for the typist, for sure.			
11	MS. DESKINS: Judge Clifton, the reason I			
12	asked is I believe when Dr. Folwell testified, he			
13	referred to a report from Sparks, and I don't if they			
14	plan to introduce it or not, but if they do, having			
15	sufficient copies tomorrow would be a great benefit to			
16	the hearing moving forward.			
17	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Sure. That report was			
18	actually a private correspondence with USDA. I think I			
19	have copies of it. If I do, I'll be happy to submit it.			
2 0	MS. DESKINS: It's up to them, Your Honor, but			
21	I just just so that they know if they do want to use			
22	it, they need sufficient copies.			
23	DR. JEKANOWSKI: Sure. I understand that.			
24	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right, good.			
25	Have the copies of Dr. Tweeten's report been			
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.			
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077			

- 1 distributed? Raise your hand if you still need one.
- 2 All right, I thank you all. I'll see you at 8:30 in the
- morning. We now go off record at 5:43.
- 4 ***
- 5 [End of Proceedings]

York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	CERTIF	ICATE OF REPORTER, TRANSCRIBER AND PROOFREADER
2		
3		
4	IN RE:	HOPS PRODUCERS FOR WASHINGTON, OREGON, IDAHO
5		AND CALIFORNIA
6 7		
8	HELD AT.	Yakima, Washington
9	HODD At.	Takina, washington
10	DATE:	October 20, 2003
11 12	Mo the w	
13	foregoing	undersigned, do hereby certify that the pages, numbered 825 through 1104, inclusive,
14	are the t	rue, accurate and complete transcript prepared
15	irom the	reporting by the reporter in attendance at the
16 17	above ide	entified hearing, in accordance with applicable
18	verified	the accuracy of the transcript by (1) comparing
19	the typew	ritten transcript against the reporting or
20	recording	accomplished at the hearings, and (2)
21 22	comparing	the final proofed typewritten transcript
23	hearing.	he reporting or recording accomplished at the
24		
25	Date:	han a man
26 27		Karen D. Martine Karen D. Martini, Transcriber
28		York Stenographic Services, Inc.
29		
30	Date:	Sanal
31 32		Sarah Mowrer, Proofreader
33		York Stenographic Services, Inc.
34		Λο
35	Date:	Kan Chat
36 37		4 Kure Laure
38		Kearney Barton, Reporter York Stenographic Services, Inc.
		101. Stonographic Scrvices, Inc.

York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077